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#### Abstract

A novel single crystal of piperidin-4-ones, pyrimidines, pyrans and cyclohexanones were successfully grown by slow evaporation solution growth technique at constant temperature ( 303 K ) with dimension $40 \times 5 \times 3$ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ in a period of 3 weeks. Powder X rays (XRD) and Fourier transform infrared transmission (FTIR) have confirmed the formation of the new crystal. IR spectra analyses were used to elucidate the functional group present in the compounds. UV-spectral studies indicates that the grown crystals was transparent in the entire visible region with a lower cutoff wavelength of 505 nm . Thermal properties of the crystal have been investigated using thermo gravimetric analysis (TC) and differential thermal analysis (DTA). The mechanical strength of the crystal was estimated by vicker's hardness method. The bacterial activity against the pathogenic microorganisms, E.coli (gram positive) and Staphylococcusaureus (gram negative) and antifungal activity against Aspergillusniger, were carried out for all synthesized compounds.
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## I. Introduction

A regular and orderly arrangement was always beautiful. Orderly arranged atoms,ions or molecules in crystalline solids provide the external beauty by their shiningappearance and colours. Crystals are still more attractive. The art of growing crystalsartificially had always been a fascinating job to human kind from time immemorial.Crystalline solids were widely used in our daily life. Today we are living in an electronicera. In the modern world, crystalline solids find tremendous applications in differentfields. Crystals of good quality, suitable size and perfection are required intechnological important in industries like electronic industries, computer technology, fiberoptic communications, semiconductors, solid state lasers and ferroelectric materials.Rapid advances in microelectronics, communication technologies and medicalinstrumentation, energy and space technologies are possible simply because of theremarkable progress in the fabrication of large and rather perfect crystals. High-efficiencylight emitting diodes for energy saving illumination and photovoltaic devices fortransforming solar and other radiation energy into electric power with high yield dependon significant advances in crystal growth.

Potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KDP), potassium titanyl phosphate(KTP), niobates of lithium and potassium ( $\mathrm{LiNbO}_{3}, \mathrm{KNbOa}$ ) and L -arginine phosphate(LAP) exhibiting non-linear properties. The main use of Ga As is in thefield of microwave devices, high speed digital integrated circuits and as substrate forepitaxial layer growth to fabricate photonic and electronic devices. There is an increasingdemand for semi-insulating Ga As in mobile communication and digital telephony.Indium phosphide ( InP ) is used as the base material for optoelectronic components inlong distance fiber optic telecommunications. Oxide single crystals are used as the activecomponent in laser systems, substrates for GaN , silicon epitaxy, optical components andsubstrates for magnetic bubble devices. The halogenides are the most widely usedscintillation materials.The synthesis, structural determination and properties of many newmaterials would pave the way for various device applications in the modem world. Thepotential of 'crystal engineering' makes it possible to design new materials forapplications in different fields.But in other case iron complexes of biologically important ligands are sometimes less effective than free ligands. Since iron (III) ion is very flexible in adopting various coordination geometries in its complexes. The majority of known iron complexes have a central atom with a tetra, penta, and hexa coordination environment, leading to distorted tetrahetral, square planer, square pyramidal or octahetral geometries. This paper describes the synthesis and results of structural, thermal, spectroscopic studies and biological activity of eight new iron complexes: $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\quad[\mathrm{Fe}$ (DMP) $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DEP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{MDDP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{M}$ OTTP $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{MDTTP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ DPTTP $\left.) \quad\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DBCH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ we focused our attention on the studies of the coordinative ability of heterocyclic N , O donor ligands such as (piperidin-4-ones,2, 6- diphenyl-3-methyl piperidin-3-methyl piperidin-

4-one,2, 6-diphenyl-3-ethyl piperidin-4-one, N-methyl-2,6-diphenyl-3,5-Dimethyl piperidin-4-one, 2-thioxo-6-Methyl-tetrahydro pyrimindin-4(1H)-one, 3-methyl-2,6-diphenyl-tetra hydro thiopyran-4-one, 2, $2^{1}$-dimethyl-6phenyl tetrahydro thiopyran-4-one, Dibenzlidenecyclohexanone) and to investigate the influence of the obtained iron complexes on their antibacterial and antifungal activity.

## II. Experimental Work

### 2.1 Material and Physical measurements

All chemicals were of reagents grade, purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification $(\mathrm{BDH}$ or Merck) was used. Molar conductance of the complexes were measured at room temperature ( $30 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in DMF solvent ( $\sim 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution). Magnetic moment of the complexes were recorded using VSM instrument. IR spectra of the complexes were recorded in the region 400-4000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ using a double beam Perkin Elmer FT-IR spectrometer employing KBr disc technique. The electronic spectra were recorded in DMSO solvent using Hitachi UV-Visible spectrophotometer in the range $200-1200 \mathrm{~nm}$. TGA and DTA studies were carried out with a shimandzu STA - 1500 instrument (heating rate $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ minute) in nitrogen atmosphere. Cyclic Voltammetry was carried out with an EG and G instrument model 270/250 research electrochemistry hardware and software using Tetrabutylammonium perchlorate as the supporting electrolyte. XRD measurements were carried out with a Jeol JDX 8030 X-ray diffractometer using CuK $\alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=1.54 \AA)$ instrument.

### 2.2 Preparation of ligands

### 2.2.1 Preparation ofpiperidin-4-ones (1)

The compound (1) was prepared by adopting the following procedure ${ }^{1-2}$. A mixture of acetone $(14.5 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.25 mol ), benzaldehyde ( $53 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), ethanol ( 20 ml ) and anhydrous ammonium acetate ( $19.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were heated on a waterbath with constant shaking till the content became pale orange in colour. The flask was then cooled; ether $(125 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to the cold mixture and filtered. Addition of concentrated hydrochloric acid ( 13 ml ) to the clear filterate afforded the hydrochloride of piperidone (m.pt $214-216^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (lit $216-217^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), which was collected after washing several times with ethanol - ether (1:5).The base was liberated by suspending the hydrochloride in acetone and adding ammonia (1:1) till the hydrochloride dissolved and the free base (1) was generated by diluting with water, which was crystallized from ethanol. Yield: $90 \%$, M.pt: $104-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit $104-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). (Anal. calc. \%) for ligand: C-81.2, H-6.77, N-4.9 Molecular weight 249.2. Found C-80.9, H-6.77, $\mathrm{N}-5.88$, Molecular weight 251. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) : 3305(s), 3062(s), 2975(s), 1724(s), 1637(s), 1458(sd), 1078(b), 1002(s), 933(b), 821(b), 754(w), 698(b), 663(b), 611(s), 522(l).

### 2.2.2 Preparation of 2, 6- diphenyl-3-methyl piperidin-3-methyl piperidin-4-one (2)

To a solution of dry ammonium acetate $(9.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol})$ in glacial acetic acid $(12.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{~mol})$, a mixture of benzaldehyde $(29 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol})$ and n -butanone $(9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.125 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added, then it was heated to boiling and allowed to stand at room temperature to overnight. Concentrated hydrochloric acid ( 13 ml ) was added. The precipitated hydrochloride was collected and washed with ethanol-ether mixture (1:5). Crystallization of the pure hydrochloride, 16 g ( $40 \%$ ) was done in ethanol-ether. M.Pt. 223-225 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit 224$226^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). A suspension of the hydrochloride in acetone was treated with ammonia (1:1) and the free base was obtained by dilution with large quantity of water. The pure form of piperidin-4-one ${ }^{3-7}$ was crystallized from ethanol. M.pt $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit $96-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). (Anal. calc. \%) for ligand: C-81.48, H-7.12, N-5.27 Molecular weight 263. Found C-81.51, H-7.17, N-5.28, Molecular weight 265. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) : 3294(s), 3032(s), 2960(s), 1701(s), 1607(s), 1497(sd), 1110(b), 1090(s), 930(b), 822(b), 750(w), 694(b), 663(b), 600(s), 524(l).

### 2.2.3 Preparation of 2,6-diphenyl-3-ethyl piperidin-4-one (3)

Condensation of 2-pentanone ( $10.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.125 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) with benzaldehyde $(29 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol})$ in the presence of dry ammonium acetate ( $31 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ethanol $(80 \mathrm{ml})$ was carried out by heating the mixture to boiling and cooled to overnight. The product was separated as a crystalline solid, washed and recrystalised. The free base was converted into hydrochloride by the addition of concentrated hydrochloric acid ( 50 ml ) and washed with ethanol-ether mixture (1:5). The crystallized hydrochloride was then generated into free base by addition of (1:1) aqueous ammonia in an alcoholic solution and by dilution with water. The product was allowed to recrystallise from petroleum ether ${ }^{8-20}$.. Yield: $88 \%$, M.Pt $94-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit $92-93^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).(Anal. calc. \%) for ligand: C80.7, H-7.47, N-4.98 Molecular weight 269.5. Found C-81.7, H-7.53, N-5.02, Molecular weight 279. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) : 3199(s), 3028(s), 2974(s), 1706(s), 1629(s), 1460(sd), 1209(b), 1085(s), 954(b), 825(b), 757(w), 700(b), 638(b), 511(s), 2100(r).
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### 2.2.4 Preparation of N -methyl-2,6-diphenyl-3,5-Dimethyl piperidin-4-one (4)

To a solution of ammonium acetate ( $11.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ethanol ( 80 ml ), a mixture of benzaldehyde $(20.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mol})$ and diethyl ketone $(8.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ were added. The mixture was heated to boiling and allowed to stand at room temperature. The product 3,5-dimethyl-2,6-diphenyl piperidin-4-one formed was filtered M.Pt: $131-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit: $131-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The corresponding 3,5 -dimethyl-2,6-diphenyl piperidin-4-one ( 0.04 mol ) was dissolved in acetone ( 1.38 mol ). To this solution, anhydrous potassium carbonate ( 0.02 mol ) and methyl iodide ( 0.08 mol ) were added. The mixture was refluxed over a water bath for about 3 hrs and most of the acetone was removed by distillation. 2,6-diphenyl-3,5,N-trimethyl piperidin-4-one was obtained by dilution with water and followed by treatment with aqueous ammonia (1:1). The product formed was filtered, washed with water, dried and crystallized from ethanol M.pt: 131-133 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.(Anal. calc. \%) for ligand: C-80.91, H-7.77, N-4.79 Molecular weight 291. Found C-81.91, H-4.85, N-4.78, Molecular weight 293. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) : 3024(s), 2970(s), 1713(s), 1600(s), 1452(s), 1130(s), 1108(sd), 1070(b), 1027(s), 941(b), 836(b), 748(w), 698(b), 642(b), 619(s), 516(l).

### 2.2.5 General Preparation of 2-thioxo-6-Methyl-tetrahydro pyrimindin-4(1H)-one (5).

A solution of phenyl ethyl ammine $(1.21 \mathrm{~g})$, t-Butyl acetoacetate $(1.58 \mathrm{~g})$ was heated in the presence of O-xylene ( 5 ml ) for 1 hr . Then the reaction mixture was poured over crushed ice and separated. The product 3-oxo-N(1)-phenyl butamide was filtered and recrystalized from ethanol. The product ( 1.025 g ), Benzaldehyde $(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$, thiourea $(0.38 \mathrm{~g})$ dissolved in acetonitrile were reflexed in the presence of $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.931 \mathrm{~g})$ as catalyzed for 6 hrs. The reaction mixture was poured over crushed ice and separated. The product was filtered and recrystalized from ethanol. Yield $50 \%$ melting $144^{\circ}-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Anal. calc. \%) for ligand: C-41.6, $\mathrm{H}-5.50, \mathrm{~N}-$ 19.39 Molecular weight 143 . Found C-41.6, H-5.56, N-19.44, Molecular weight 144. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) : 3114(s), 3085(s), 2931(s), 1701(s), 1635(s), 1483(sd), 1419(b), 1240(s), 1166(b), 1039(b), 748(w), 696(b), 669(b), 655(s), 511(l).

### 2.2.6 Preparation of 3-methyl-2,6-diphenyl-tetra hydro thiopyran-4-one (6).

Gaseous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ was passed into a boiling mixture of 1,4-pentadiene-3-one ( $40 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COONa} .3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{~mol})$ in 400 ml of ethanol for 15 hrs . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and kept at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hrs . The residue was filtered and the alcoholic solution refridgenerated for three days. The white solid that formed was filtered, recrystallised from petroleum ether ( $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield: 11 g ( $25 \%$ ), M.Pt ( $123-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).(Anal. calc. \%) for ligand: C-76.57, H-6.37, S-10.35 Molecular weight 279. Found C76.69, H-6.38, S-11.35, Molecular weight 282. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3158(\mathrm{~s}), 3052(\mathrm{~s}), 2925(\mathrm{~s}), 1701(\mathrm{~s}), 1629(\mathrm{~s}), 1492(\mathrm{sd})$, 1448(b), 1226(s), 1101(s), 1078(s), 1000(s), 933(b), 811(b), 740(w), 586(b), 516(b).

### 2.2.7 Preparation of 2, $\mathbf{2}^{1}$-dimethyl-6-phenyl tetrahydro thiopyran-4-one (7).

Into a boiling solution of sodium acetate trihydrate $(40 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{~mol})$, 1-phenyl-5-methyl-1,4-hexadien-3one ( 1,4 - pentadien-3-one- $50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.268 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and ethanol ( 300 ml ) was added. Then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ gas was passed for 8 hrs . The reaction mixture was then poured into water $(100 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted with ether $(3 \times 200 \mathrm{ml})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. The solution was filtered and concentrated and the residue was distilled to yield $48.2 \mathrm{~g}(81.5 \%)$ of (7) ${ }^{21-30}$. The light yellow viscous oil solidified upon standing was recrystallised from petroleum ether $\left(60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Yield; $81.5 \%$ M.Pt: $45-46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).(Anal. calc. \%) for ligand: C-70.85, H-7.22, S-14.0 Molecular weight 217. Found C-70.91, H-7.27, S-14.55, Molecular weight 220. IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) : 3025(s), 2960(s), 1708(s), 1600(s), 1494(sd), 1448(b), 1220(s), 1141(s), 1070(s), 1029(s), 931(b), 808(b), 761(w), 597(b), 533(b).

### 2.2.8 Preparation of Dibenzlidenecyclohexanone (8)

To the solution of cyclohexane, benzaldhyde $(53 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{~m})$ was added. The reaction was catalysed by potassium fluoride supported on alumina. The solution mixture was stirred for 2 hrs . the solid formed was collected by filtration and crystallized from ethanol. Yield; $55 \%$, M.Pt: $113-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit $114^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).(Anal. calc. \%) for ligand: C-88.71, H-6.62, Molecular weight 261. Found C-88.89, H-6.67, Molecular weight 270. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) : 3058(s), 2931(s), 1660(s), 1606(s), 1433(sd), 1203(b), 1072(s), 1029(s), 939(b), 838(b), 771(w), 615(b), 518(l).

### 2.2.9 Preparation of Fe (III) Complexes with compounds (1-8).

The corresponding compounds (1-8) ( 0.004 mol ) and ferric Nitrate $(0.002 \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in ethanol separately, mixed the solutions and refluxed on a water bath for two hours at $35-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A colour change was observed indicating the complex formation; the complexes were separated out by evaporating the solvent, filtered, washed with ethanol, then with ether and dried in vacucum ${ }^{31}$.

## III. Results and Discussion

Iron (III) complexes with ligands (1-8): All the Fe (III) complexes of ligands (1-8) were non-hygroscopic in nature and brown in colour. They are insoluble in benzene, carbontetrachloride, chloroform etc., but moderately soluble in methanol, DMF and DMSO. The analytical data and composition of the complexes are presented in Table 1.The molar mass of the complexes were determined by Rast method and are presented in Table 1. The values obtained were in good agreement with those calculated values (given in bracket) from the suggested formula of the complexes.

Table 1 Analytical Data of Fe (III) complexes with various Ligands (1-8)

| Complex | Carbon \% Found (Cal) | Hydrogen \% Found (Cal) | Nitrogen \% Found (Cal) | Sulphur \% Found (Cal) | Metal Ion \% Found (Cal) | Nitrate Ion \% Found (Cal) | M.Wt <br> Found <br> (Cal) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{Pt} \\ { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.12 \\ (35.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.67 \\ & (4.63) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.97 \\ & (9.61) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 10.09 \\ & (9.58) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.80 \\ (15.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 591.91 \\ (582.84) \end{gathered}$ | 200-203 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DMP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.94 \\ (36.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.08 \\ (4.86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10.11 \\ & (9.38) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 10.01 \\ & (9.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14.42 \\ (14.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 597.81 \\ (596.84) \end{array}$ | 190.192 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DEP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.91 \\ (37.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.86 \\ (5.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 9.55 \\ (9.17) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 9.47 \\ (9.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 13.92 \\ (14.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 611.84 \\ & (610.84) \end{aligned}$ | d230 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{MDDP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.69 \\ (38.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.35 \\ (5.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.99 \\ (8.96) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 9.19 \\ (8.94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 13.86 \\ (14.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 624.89 \\ (624.84) \end{gathered}$ | d230 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{MOTTP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.77 \\ (12.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.84 \\ (3.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.91 \\ (14.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.90 \\ & (6.72) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.88 \\ (11.74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14.20 \\ (14.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 476.01 \\ & (475.84) \end{aligned}$ | 304 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{MDTTP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.27 \\ (35.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.99 \\ (4.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.93 \\ (6.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.50 \\ & (5.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.51 \\ (9.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14.12 \\ (14.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 614.06 \\ (613.84) \end{gathered}$ | 251-253 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DPTTP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 28.31 \\ & (28.27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.87 \\ (4.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.92 \\ (7.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.99 \\ (5.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.45 \\ (10.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14.23 \\ (14.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 552.07 \\ (551.84) \end{gathered}$ | 146-147 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DBCH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.82 \\ (39.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.75 \\ & (4.62) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.15 \\ & (6.93) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9.44 \\ & (9.22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 13.22 \\ (13.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 606.01 \\ & (605.84) \end{aligned}$ | 215.216 |

### 3.1 Electrical Conductance

Molar conductance values of different Iron (III) complexes ( $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ ) in DMF were given in Table 2 and these were in the range of $143-197 \mathrm{ohm}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The values obtained were compared with standard values.

Table 2 Molar Conductance of Fe (III) Complexes with Ligands (1-8) ( $\mathbf{o h m}^{-1} \mathbf{c m}^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ )

| Complex | Molar conductance |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{DP} \mathbf{m}^{-1} \mathbf{c m}^{2} \mathbf{~ m o l}^{-1}\right)\right.$ |  |
| $\left.\left[\mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 167 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ DMP $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 159 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ DEP $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 160 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ MDDP $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 192 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ MOTTP $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 143 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ MDTTP $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 197 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\right.\right.$ DPTTP $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 181 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ DBCH $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 159 |

### 3.2 Magnetic Behaviour

Magnetic moment values of different Iron (III) complexes with various alkyl substituted 2,6-diphenyl piperidin-4-ones, pyrans, pyrimidines and cyclohexanones as ligands were given in the Table 3. These values were very useful in establishing the geometry of the complexes. In high spin complexes, the magnetic moments were always very close to the spin only value of 5.9 BM because the ground state has no orbital angular momentum and there was no effective mechanism for introducing any substituents by coupling with excited states ${ }^{35-50}$. The low spin complexes, which usually have considerable orbital contributions to their moment at above room temperature, exhibit the values of $\sim 2.3 \mathrm{BM}$. The magnetic moment values of Fe (III) complexes of the ligands were found to be in the range 5.94 to 6.04 BM . These values were in good agreement with values reported for high spin octahedral $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes and they are diamagnetic in nature.

The magnetic moment values of Fe (III) complexes of the ligands were found to be in the range 5.6 5.9 BM which corresponds to five unpaired electrons as expected for Fe (III) complexes having no metal -
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metal interaction. These values were in good agreement with values reported for high spin octahedral complexes ${ }^{51-70}$ and this fact confirms an octahedral geometry for Fe (III) complexes.

Table 3Magnetic Moment of Fe (III) Complexes with Ligands (1-8)

| Complex | Molar conductance ( $\mu$ eff $)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5.8 BM |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DMP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5.9 BM |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ DEP $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5.8 BM |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ MDDP $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5.6 BM |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ MOTTP $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5.7 BM |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ MDTTP $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5.9 BM |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ DPTTP $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5.8 BM |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\right.$ DBCH $\left.)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5.7 BM |

### 3.3 Electronic Spectra

The electronic spectra of all the complexes were recorded in solid state. Since the colour of all the complexes were the same, the spectra of all were found to be the same in nature. The absorption frequencies were presented in Table 4. The electronic spectra of all the complexes exhibit three bands, all in visible region. These absorption frequencies were in accordance with those expected for an octahedral geometry. The absorption bands at the ranges 10141-13333, 16424-22727 and $25641-28571 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were assigned to the transitions of ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{~T}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{G})$, and ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}(\mathrm{G}),{ }^{6} \mathrm{~A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ (G) respectively.

Table 4 Electronic spectra of Fe (III) complexes with ligands (1-8)

| Complex | ${ }^{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1 g}}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1 g}}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ | ${ }^{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1 g}}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2 g}}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ | ${ }^{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1 g}}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow{ }^{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1 g}}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ | $\mathbf{C . T} \quad\left(\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 10141 | 16474 | 26723 | 37174 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DMP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 10752 | 20000 | 25641 | 37123 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DEP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 11037 | 17829 | 25764 | 30769 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{MDDP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 13333 | 22727 | 28320 | 37231 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{MOTTP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 12345 | 17234 | 28571 | 35209 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{MDTTP}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ | 11652 | 17474 | 25723 | 37323 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DPTTP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 123323 | 17434 | 28571 | 37241 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DBCH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 12223 | 16424 | 27777 | 37593 |

### 3.4 Infrared Spectra

IR spectral bands of the ligands and the corresponding Fe (III) complexes with their probable assignments were listed in Table 5and are shown in Fig1. The moderately strong bands around $3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for free ligands (1-5) were attributed to the stretching mode of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ vibration ${ }^{71-100}$. But in the complexes (Fig. 2), these bands exhibit a considerable downward shift of $30-50 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This spectral observation suggests that the coordination of the ligands to the metal ion takes place through the lone pair of secondary amine nitrogen atoms and sulphur of the ligands moiety. The observed decreasing trend of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ stretching frequencies in accordance with ligands and its complexes confirms the coordination involvement of lone pair of electrons present in the tertiary amine nitrogen atom and sulphur atom of the ligands ${ }^{101-107}$. The existence of slightly increased or decreased absorption bands in the range $860-836 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in those complexes confirmed the noninvolvement of carbonyl oxygen in coordination. In the case of 2,6-dibenzylidene cyclohexanone ligand, the decrease in carbonyl stretching frequency from the normal frequency of $1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ confirmed the coordination of carbonyl group along with benzylidene groups moiety which occurs due to conjugation effect. IR has been proved to be a valuable tool in the analysis of the stereochemistry of hetereocyclic compounds, pyrimidine, thianones and cycloheranone on the basis of a series of bands in the region $2850-2700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ called Bohlmann bonds. These bands have also been used in the assessment of conformational equilibria in piperidinesystems • It has been pointed out that the Bohlmann hands arise because of the interaction of ' P ' electrons of nitrogen with the antiperiplanar C-H bonds. Piperidin-4-ones, pyrimidine, thianones and cyclohexanones exhibit these bands in the same region indicating that the hydrogen of C-2 and C-6 carbon atoms were antiperiplanar with respect to the lone pair of electrons in nitrogen. The involvement of nitrogen in coordination was further confirmed by presence of new band in region $445-416 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to $v(M-N)$.A broad IR band in the region $3452-3398 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and medium intensity band in the region $1625-1616 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which were attributed to $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bending vibrations respectively in all complexes of the ligand (1-8) confirmed the coordination of water molecules to the metal ion. The appearance of a new band in the region $546-515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to M-O bonding ${ }^{108}$ can also be considered as a supporting evidence for the coordination of water molecules to the metal ion.


Fig. 1 IR spectrum of Iron complex with ligands (1-8)
Table5Important IR Bands (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of Fe (III) complexes with ligands (1-8)

| CPD | $\begin{aligned} & \gamma \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \\ & \left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\gamma^{*} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ <br> $\mathbf{c m}^{-1}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \gamma \mathrm{N}- \\ & \mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-}\right. \\ & \mathrm{l}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \gamma * \mathrm{~N}- \\ & \mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \gamma \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}- \\ & \mathrm{C}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\gamma^{*} \mathrm{M}-$ <br> $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\gamma^{*} \mathrm{M}-$ <br> $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \gamma^{*} \mathrm{O}- \\ \mathrm{H}\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\gamma^{*} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-$ <br> $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1724 | 1726 | 3305 | 3568 | - | - | 823 | 503 | 3143 | 1199 | 1637 |
| 2 | 1703 | 1724 | 3298 | 3110 | - | - | 823 | 505 | 3124 | 1126 | 1606 |
| 3 | 1706 | 1713 | 3287 | 3189 | - | - | 824 | 554 | 3319 | 1145 | 1670 |
| 4 | 1722 | 1722 | 3346 | 3319 | - | - | 811 | 518 | 3145 | 1141 | 1637 |
| 5 | 1701 | 1722 | 3287 | 3250 | - | - | 844 | 546 | 3440 | 1133 | 1620 |
| 6 | 1701 | 1736 | - | - | 669 | 657 | 839 | 567 | 3384 | - | 1608 |
| 7 | 1708 | 1731 | - | - | 663 | 663 | 845 | 531 | 3336 | - | 1649 |
| 8 | 1718 | 1703 | - | - | - | - | 831 | 540 | 3442 | - | 1616 |

$\gamma=$ Ligand Frequency, $\gamma^{*}$ - Complexes frequency

### 3.5 Thermal Analysis

TGA \& DTA curves of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes were given in Fig.2-3. TGA and DTA data of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DMP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were presented in the Table 6 and were treated as representative model for all Fe (III) ion complexes of ligands (1-8) to characterize the complexes and to study their thermal stability. The TGA and DTA of Fe(III) - DP complex (Fig. 2) and Fe(III) - DMP complex (Fig. 3) depict four decomposition steps in the range of $125-533^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $118-531^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively with two endothermic and two exothermic changes.


Fig.3simultaneous TGA \& DTA of Iron complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right){ }_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Fig. 3 simultaneous TGA \& DTA of Iron complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DMP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

The first decomposition zone attributes to slow decomposition at $125-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the corresponding weight loss of $9.06 \%$ (theoretical value 9.58 ) which was due to loss of one coordinated water molecule. The second decomposition with weight loss of 31.61 (theoretical value 32.60 ) at $165-445^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ represents loss of the two nitrate ions. The thermal curve exhibits endothermic DTA peaks at $162^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $593^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ indicating the dehydration of the complex. The loss of mass at the temperature range $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-445^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ corresponds to the stepwise loss of water molecules which were in coordination sphere. Since the dehydration takes place at above $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was assumed that the water molecules were coordinated to the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{III})$ ion. Further decomposition occurs in the range $445^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-533^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the elimination of one DP ligand with mass loss of $36.17 \%$ (theoretical value 36.40). Thereafter, a continuous mass loss was observed in the range of $533-603^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the organic part of the complex was completely eliminated leading to the formation of metal oxide $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ with a mass loss of $76.95 \%$ (theoretical value 76.64). Sharp exothermic peaks were observed at $432^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $513^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the third and
the fourth stages of decomposition in DTA curve. The various processes occurring in the thermal changes of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were represented in the following sequence of reactions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad 125-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \xrightarrow{\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DMP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

In this case $134^{\circ}-228^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 228^{\circ}-325^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 325-404^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $404^{\circ}-519^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, were the decomposition temperature ranges with four endothermic DTA peaks at $149^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 228^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 418^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $561^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and two exothermic peaks at $166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $509^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The various decomposition processes occurring in the thermal changes of [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DMP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were represented in the following sequence of reactions.


Considering the temperature at which the dehydration processes takes place and the way they proceed, one can determine whether water molecule was coordinated or not. ${ }^{110}$ According to Nicolaevet al. ${ }^{111}$ two coordinated water molecules were eliminated around the temperature range $161-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while the remaining one water molecule along with three nitrate ions was eliminated above $254^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for this complex.

The first and the second arrests in TG curves of these complexes show a mass loss corresponding to three water molecules. The DTA curve of Iron (III) complexes shows two sharp endothermic peaks at $199^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $259^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thus the thermal studies confirmed the presence of coordinated water molecules in Iron (III) complexes of DP and DMP ligands.

Table 6 Thermal Analysis of $\mathbf{F e}$ (III) Complexes

| Complex | DTA <br> Peak $\operatorname{Temp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Thermogravimetry |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Temperature Range ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Mass Loss \% |  |
|  |  |  | Observed | Calculated |
| [Fe (DP) $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 162 (+) | 125-165 | 9.06 | 9.58 |
|  | 593 (+) | 165-445 | 31.61 | 32.60 |
|  | 200 (-) | 445-533 | 36.17 | 36.40 |
|  | 432 (-) | 533-603 | 76.95 | 76.64 |
|  | 513 (-) |  | 96.37 | 96.91 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DMP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 172 (+) | 118-174 | 15.80 | 9.36 |
|  | 252 (+) |  | 23.72 | 19.74 |
|  | 353 (+) | 174-235 | 61.09 | 64.14 |
|  | 576 (+) |  | 77.63 | 76.21 |
|  | 196 (-) |  | 95.81 | 96.98 |
|  | 287 (-) | 235-348 |  |  |
|  | 513 (-) | 348-531 |  |  |

### 3.6 Cyclic Voltammeteric Studies

The cyclic voltammogram of the Iron complex comparises two reduction peaks at 0.16 and -0.88 V , which were shown in the Table 7 . The peak at 0.16 V probably corresponds to the reduction of Fe (III) -Fe (II) ${ }^{112}$. All the complexes were electro active only with respect to the metal center. In these redox couples, electrons were gained or lost from the $\mathrm{d} \pi$ level orbital of the coordinated metalcomplexes showed redox couples with peak to peak. Separation values $\left(\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ ranging from 1.1 to 1.22 V revealing that this process was at best quasi-reversible. This was attributed to slow electron transfer and adsorption of the complexes onto the
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electrode surface. The first oxidation and followed by reduction was attributed to the oxidation and reduction of one of the Fe (III) centers to the corresponding mixed valence complex. Hence it was inferred from the electrochemical data that the present ligand system was ideally suitable for stabilizing the higher oxidation state of Fe (III). It has been observed that there was not much variation in the redox potentials due to the replacement of ligands and the nature of bridging ligand present in the complex.

Table 7 Cyclic voltammetric data of ligands (1-8) and Iron (III) Complex

| Compounds | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P C}}(\mathbf{V})$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P a}}(\mathbf{V})$ | $\Delta \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{V})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DP | 1.70 | -8.27 | 1.1 |
| DMP | 1.66 | -8.13 | 2.7 |
| DEP | 1.64 | -7.81 | 2.4 |
| MDDP | 1.58 | -8.11 | 1.5 |
| MOTTP | 1.62 | -8.16 | 2.9 |
| MDTTP | 1.57 | -7.85 | 2.8 |
| DPTTP | 2.00 | -8.60 | 3.4 |
| DBCH | 1.96 | -8.39 | 2.9 |
| Iron (III) Complex | 0.16 | -0.88 | 1.22 |

### 3.7 X-ray (Powder) Diffraction Study

The X-ray (powder) diffraction photograph was recorded for the iron complex with ligands (1-8). For example $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ photograph reveals 21 reflextions with maximum reflection at 10.45 (20) with the spacing of $4.35 \AA$. All the lines in the powder photograph were indexed based on Ito's method. The Fe (III) complexes crystalises in a triclinic lattice. The agreement between observed and computed $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{hkl}}$ (within $1 \%$ ) was displayed in Tables 8-13. The direct cell dimensions calculated from the reciprocal cell dimensions were collected in Table 14 and Fig.4. The molecule is non-centro symmetric and it was a neutral monomer in which Fe(III) was octahedrally coordinated by two each of crystallographically independent N coordinated and NCS ligands.

The $-\mathrm{NH},-\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ groups were quasilinear with $172.1^{\circ}$ and $177.7^{\circ}$ bonded to the Fe (III) ion through an angle of $168.33^{\circ}$ and $167.85^{\circ}$ respectively. Interestingly the respective N atoms of ligands were Cis- located whereas the same were trans-positioned in the reported Fe (III) complexes. The complex crystallizes in an orthorhombic type of lattice dimensions as, $\mathrm{a}=17.87, \mathrm{~b}=15.01$ and $\mathrm{C}=13.60$. On the basis of above studies, an octahedral geometry for complexes of (1-8) ligands have been proposed.

Table 8X-ray (Powder) Diffraction data for complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{2 \theta}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {obs }}=\mathbf{1 / \mathbf { d } ^ { \mathbf { 2 } }}$ | $\mathbf{d - s p a c i n g}[\mathbf{A}]$ | Rel. Int. [\%] | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {cal }}$ | Index <br> $(\mathbf{h} \mathbf{k ~ I})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.4577 | 4.35 | 0.8029 | 8.45934 | 1.34 | 0.004275 | $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ |
| 14.2312 | 107.61 | 0.2007 | 6.22366 | 33.24 | 0.005692 | $\mathrm{Q}_{010}$ |
| 15.2115 | 169.61 | 0.1338 | 5.82472 | 52.39 | 0.006290 | $\mathrm{Q}_{001}$ |
| 18.7535 | 92.47 | 0.2676 | 4.73183 | 28.56 | 0.007271 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 19.3590 | 323.66 | 0.1506 | 4.58519 | 99.98 | 0.010919 | $\mathrm{Q}_{111}$ |
| 20.2240 | 89.09 | 0.3346 | 4.39099 | 27.52 | 0.015486 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 20.5831 | 53.15 | 0.1673 | 4.31517 | 16.42 | 0.019662 | $\mathrm{Q}_{102}$ |
| 21.1287 | 18.91 | 0.2676 | 4.20496 | 5.84 | 0.031702 | $\mathrm{Q}_{301}$ |
| 22.7838 | 25.00 | 0.2676 | 3.90310 | 7.72 | 0.031592 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |
| 24.2408 | 234.85 | 0.1673 | 3.67171 | 72.54 | 0.030193 | $\mathrm{Q}_{310}$ |
| 25.3024 | 32.06 | 0.1840 | 3.52001 | 9.90 | 0.034153 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |
| 25.7276 | 240.59 | 0.2175 | 3.46280 | 74.32 | 0.038131 | $\mathrm{Q}_{310}$ |
| 26.4881 | 323.74 | 0.3011 | 3.36507 | 100.00 | 0.040385 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |
| 28.9476 | 74.06 | 0.1673 | 3.08452 | 22.88 | 0.052834 | $\mathrm{Q}_{130}$ |
| 31.7033 | 46.08 | 0.2676 | 2.82242 | 14.23 | 0.056877 | $\mathrm{Q}_{230}$ |
| 32.5839 | 31.51 | 0.2676 | 2.74813 | 9.73 | 0.06248 | $\mathrm{Q}_{132}$ |
| 33.5926 | 44.70 | 0.1673 | 2.66788 | 13.81 | 0.07864 | $\mathrm{Q}_{112}$ |
| 34.4296 | 24.80 | 0.2007 | 2.60491 | 7.66 | 0.08148 | $\mathrm{Q}_{130}$ |
| 39.2928 | 36.60 | 0.1673 | 2.29299 | 11.31 | 0.08123 | $\mathrm{Q}_{202}$ |
| 40.1147 | 15.70 | 0.3346 | 2.24789 | 4.85 | 0.08815 | $\mathrm{Q}_{240}$ |
| 41.6153 | 21.23 | 0.4015 | 2.17023 | 6.56 | 0.08858 | $\mathrm{Q}_{204}$ |
| 43.9759 | 45.43 | 0.2856 | 2.05736 | 14.03 | 0.09574 | $\mathrm{Q}_{040}$ |
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Table 9X-ray (Powder) Diffraction data for complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DMP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{2 \theta}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {obs }}=\mathbf{1 / d}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{d - s p a c i n g}[\mathbf{A}]$ | Rel. Int. [\%] | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {cal }}$ | Index <br> $(\mathbf{h ~ k ~ l ) ~}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.5545 | 118.37 | 0.1840 | 6.08616 | 84.50 | 0.004455 | $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ |
| 15.7005 | 24.90 | 0.3346 | 5.64439 | 17.77 | 0.005092 | $\mathrm{Q}_{010}$ |
| 16.0934 | 60.75 | 0.1673 | 5.50746 | 43.37 | 0.006230 | $\mathrm{Q}_{001}$ |
| 17.5839 | 30.56 | 0.2676 | 5.04384 | 21.81 | 0.007201 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 18.5014 | 140.08 | 0.2007 | 4.79572 | 100.00 | 0.010829 | $\mathrm{Q}_{111}$ |
| 19.7847 | 61.75 | 0.2676 | 4.48747 | 44.08 | 0.015436 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 21.4257 | 55.23 | 0.2676 | 4.14733 | 39.43 | 0.019662 | $\mathrm{Q}_{102}$ |
| 22.4537 | 56.61 | 0.5353 | 3.95974 | 40.41 | 0.031752 | $\mathrm{Q}_{301}$ |
| 23.6823 | 39.01 | 0.2676 | 3.75702 | 27.85 | 0.031542 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |
| 24.6533 | 13.81 | 0.2676 | 3.61120 | 9.86 | 0.033193 | $\mathrm{Q}_{310}$ |
| 25.3129 | 23.49 | 0.2676 | 3.51858 | 16.77 | 0.035183 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |
| 26.6513 | 41.32 | 0.2007 | 3.34484 | 29.49 | 0.038171 | $\mathrm{Q}_{310}$ |
| 27.6298 | 9.88 | 0.2007 | 3.22857 | 7.05 | 0.039115 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |
| 28.1870 | 13.40 | 0.2007 | 3.16600 | 9.57 | 0.052284 | $\mathrm{Q}_{130}$ |
| 29.3753 | 18.46 | 0.2007 | 3.04058 | 13.18 | 0.051577 | $\mathrm{Q}_{230}$ |
| 30.3763 | 16.03 | 0.3011 | 2.94262 | 11.44 | 0.062448 | $\mathrm{Q}_{132}$ |

Table 10X-ray (Powder) Diffraction data for complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DEP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{2 \theta}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {obs }}=\mathbf{1 / \mathbf { d } ^ { \mathbf { 2 } }}$ | $\mathbf{d - s p a c i n g}[\mathbf{A}]$ | Rel. Int. [\%] | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {cal }}$ | Index <br> $(\mathbf{h ~ k ~ l ) ~}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.7965 | 19.10 | 0.8160 | 5.27413 | 1.67 | 0.040385 | $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ |
| 19.4589 | 207.57 | 0.1632 | 4.55809 | 18.20 | 0.052834 | $\mathrm{Q}_{010}$ |
| 21.4102 | 581.44 | 0.0816 | 4.14687 | 50.98 | 0.056877 | $\mathrm{Q}_{001}$ |
| 22.1630 | 377.11 | 0.1632 | 4.00769 | 33.07 | 0.06248 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 22.7726 | 1140.49 | 0.0816 | 3.90177 | 100.00 | 0.07864 | $\mathrm{Q}_{111}$ |
| 23.7371 | 71.99 | 0.6528 | 3.74538 | 6.31 | 0.08148 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 25.8859 | 11.62 | 0.9792 | 3.43913 | 1.02 | 0.08123 | $\mathrm{Q}_{102}$ |
| 32.6860 | 515.89 | 0.0816 | 2.73750 | 45.23 | 0.08815 | $\mathrm{Q}_{301}$ |
| 58.1748 | 318.03 | 0.0816 | 1.58451 | 27.89 | 0.08858 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |
| 68.4318 | 179.94 | 0.1020 | 1.36988 | 15.78 | 0.09574 | $\mathrm{Q}_{310}$ |

Table 11 X-ray Diffraction data for complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{MDDP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{2 \theta}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {obs }}=\mathbf{1 / \mathbf { d } ^ { \mathbf { 2 } }}$ | $\mathbf{d - s p a c i n g}[\mathbf{A}]$ | Rel. Int. <br> $[\mathbf{\%}]$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {cal }}$ | Index <br> $(\mathbf{h} \mathbf{~ k ~ I})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.0926 | 322.09 | 0.0612 | 8.75727 | 100.00 | 0.004945 | $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ |
| 10.2337 | 297.64 | 0.1632 | 8.63688 | 92.41 | 0.005147 | $\mathrm{Q}_{010}$ |
| 14.1925 | 96.67 | 0.1836 | 6.23541 | 30.01 | 0.006010 | $\mathrm{Q}_{001}$ |
| 14.7278 | 44.67 | 0.1428 | 6.00996 | 13.87 | 0.007211 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 20.0838 | 34.02 | 0.1428 | 4.41766 | 10.56 | 0.010809 | $\mathrm{Q}_{111}$ |
| 20.5553 | 20.32 | 0.2040 | 4.31737 | 6.31 | 0.015486 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 22.0776 | 98.86 | 0.1836 | 4.02301 | 30.69 | 0.019664 | $\mathrm{Q}_{102}$ |
| 22.5911 | 59.77 | 0.1428 | 3.93271 | 18.56 | 0.031707 | $\mathrm{Q}_{301}$ |
| 23.2688 | 62.39 | 0.2244 | 3.81968 | 19.37 | 0.031591 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |
| 25.7090 | 13.55 | 0.1224 | 3.46239 | 4.21 | 0.033194 | $\mathrm{Q}_{310}$ |
| 26.0507 | 27.41 | 0.1224 | 3.41775 | 8.51 | 0.035853 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |
| 29.6676 | 22.64 | 0.1836 | 3.00879 | 7.03 | 0.029131 | $\mathrm{Q}_{310}$ |
| 30.5415 | 12.43 | 0.4896 | 2.92466 | 3.86 | 0.039385 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |
| 36.6541 | 16.30 | 0.1224 | 2.44974 | 5.06 | 0.052834 | $\mathrm{Q}_{130}$ |
| 37.2178 | 47.91 | 0.1428 | 2.41393 | 14.87 | 0.056877 | $\mathrm{Q}_{230}$ |

Table 12X-ray (Powder) Diffraction data for complex [Fe(MDTTP)( $\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{2 \theta}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {obs }}=\mathbf{1 / \mathbf { d } ^ { \mathbf { 2 } }}$ | $\mathbf{d}$-spacing [£] | Rel. Int. [\%] | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {cal }}$ | Index <br> $(\mathbf{h ~ k ~ I})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17.2666 | 18.33 | 0.2007 | 5.13581 | 16.71 | 0.034475 | $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ |
| 21.0477 | 89.97 | 0.1171 | 4.22097 | 81.99 | 0.015092 | $\mathrm{Q}_{010}$ |
| 22.8303 | 8.41 | 0.4015 | 3.89526 | 7.67 | 0.006270 | $\mathrm{Q}_{001}$ |
| 25.5553 | 70.55 | 0.1338 | 3.48575 | 64.29 | 0.067211 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 26.3127 | 109.74 | 0.1506 | 3.38711 | 100.00 | 0.010810 | $\mathrm{Q}_{111}$ |
| 28.4717 | 11.02 | 0.3346 | 3.13498 | 10.05 | 0.015486 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 34.3290 | 37.80 | 0.1338 | 2.61232 | 34.45 | 0.020662 | $\mathrm{Q}_{102}$ |
| 48.5141 | 52.10 | 0.2040 | 1.87498 | 47.48 | 0.031540 | $\mathrm{Q}_{301}$ |

Fe (III) complexes with hetero cyclic and cycloketones synthesis by mannich reaction it's Growth, ..
Table 13 X-ray (P) Diffraction data for complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DBCH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{2 \theta}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {obs }}=\mathbf{1 / d}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{d - s p a c i n g}[\mathbf{\AA}]$ | Rel. Int. [\%] | $\mathbf{Q}_{\text {cal }}$ | Index <br> $(\mathbf{h ~ k ~ l )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.3198 | 94.80 | 0.2448 | 8.56499 | 3.81 | 0.003474 | $\mathrm{Q}_{100}$ |
| 14.1945 | 530.90 | 0.0816 | 6.23450 | 21.35 | 0.005122 | $\mathrm{Q}_{010}$ |
| 17.9111 | 166.83 | 0.2448 | 4.94835 | 6.71 | 0.005270 | $\mathrm{Q}_{001}$ |
| 19.3148 | 2486.19 | 0.1224 | 4.59177 | 100.00 | 0.007211 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 20.6503 | 205.98 | 0.1632 | 4.29773 | 8.29 | 0.011809 | $\mathrm{Q}_{111}$ |
| 20.9849 | 139.88 | 0.1632 | 4.22995 | 5.63 | 0.005486 | $\mathrm{Q}_{101}$ |
| 22.0499 | 70.94 | 0.3264 | 4.02800 | 2.85 | 0.019662 | $\mathrm{Q}_{102}$ |
| 23.6491 | 533.49 | 0.0816 | 3.75910 | 21.46 | 0.021702 | $\mathrm{Q}_{301}$ |
| 26.4230 | 605.09 | 0.0816 | 3.37043 | 24.34 | 0.029582 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |
| 29.1142 | 80.02 | 0.1020 | 3.06471 | 3.22 | 0.033193 | $\mathrm{Q}_{310}$ |
| 39.3588 | 108.94 | 0.1632 | 2.28741 | 4.38 | 0.034153 | $\mathrm{Q}_{122}$ |

Table 14 Crystal Parameters of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{DP})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| Cell length $(\AA)$ | Angular parameters $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Cell Volume $\left(\AA \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{8}}{ }^{\mathbf{3}}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a}=17.87$ | $\alpha=112.1$ | 2975.57 |
| $\mathrm{~b}=15.01$ | $\beta=147.7$ |  |
| $\mathrm{c}=13.60$ | $\gamma=188.0$ |  |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |



Fig. 5 XRD (Powder) Crystallography with Fe(III) complexes with ligand (1-8)

### 3.8 Antibacterial and antifungal study

In this work and many previous reports, the coordination of ligands to metal improves Antibacterial and antifungal activity. The overtones concept and tweedys chelation theory were used for explanation of increased activity of the complexes respect to parent ligands. As mentioned earlier, the in-built spiro carbocyclic or heterocylic systems in a ring increases the biological potency, with the systems having smaller rings. On this basis, the synthesized compounds were evaluated for their in-vitro antibacterial and antifungal activities.

The evaluations were carried out using "paper disc diffusion method" for antibacterial activity and the "Turbidity method" for antifungal activity. All the synthesized compounds (1-8) were evaluated for their invitro antibacterial activity against the pathogenic micro-organisms, Escherichia coli (gram-positive) and Staphylococcus aureus (gram-negative) using paper disc diffusion method.Sterilized 10 mm whatman No. 1 paper discs were taken, impregnated with 0.1 ml of the sample solutions ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in DMF) and placed in the petridish containing $25-30 \mathrm{ml}$ of nutrient agar inoculated with 18-24hrs test culture. Incubation was carried out at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hrs and the zone of inhibition was measured in mm . All the experiments were done in triplicate. Phenol was taken as standard.

Antifungal activity of the compounds were determined in-vitro against Aspergillus Niger adopting the turbidity method. In this method, 0.1 ml of the sample solutions ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in DMF) in 5 ml sterilized fungi medium were treated with 3-4 drops of 48 hrs old culture in a test tube. The test tubes were then shaken well and incubated for 48 hrs at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The extent of inhibition was determined by measuring the decrease in turbidity interms of $\%$ transmission at $660 \mu$. Salicyclic acid was taken as standard. Antibacterial and antifungal activities of all the compounds in-vitro against the pathogenic micro-organisms Escherichia coli (gram activity) with solvent control: DMF (positive), staphylococcus aureus (gram-negative) and Asperigillus Niger fungal are given in Table 15.

Fe (III) complexes with hetero cyclic and cycloketones synthesis by mannich reaction it's Growth, ..
Table 15Result of the Antibacterial and Antifungal activities with various alkyl substituted 2,6-diphenyl piperidin-4-ones, pyrimidine, thianones and cyclohexanone

| Compounds | Antibacterial Activity |  | Antifungal Activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E.C | S.A | A.N |
| 1 | - | - | + |
| 2 | + | + | ++ |
| 3 | + | + | ++ |
| 4 | + | + | ++ |
| 5 | + | + | ++ |
| 6 | + | + | ++ |
| 7 | + | + | ++ |
| 8 | - | - | + |

## IV. Conclusion

The Fe(III) complexes were non-hygroscopic in nature and brown in colour. They were moderately soluble in methanol, DMF and DMSO. The general formula assigned to the complexes were $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{L})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The conductance values $143-197 \mathrm{ohm}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ indicate that these complexes were 1:2 electrolytes. The molecular mass determined by Rast method also support the $1: 2$ electrolyte behavior of the complexes. A comparison of IR spectra of the ligands and the corresponding complexes confirm the involvement of lone pair of electrons in ring nitrogen / sulphur atom (1-7) and the benzylidene carbonyl moiety (8) in cooridnation and monodentate nature of the ligands.The thermal curves (TGA and DTA) of the complexes indicate the presence of four water molecules and one nitrate ion along with a ligand in coordination sphere and one nitrate ion and one water molecule in outersphere of the complexes. The magnetic moment values of the complexes ( $5.6-5.9 \mathrm{BM}$ ) indicate that the metal ion contains five unpaired electrons with no metal-metal bonding. The appearance of three strong absorption bands in visible region in electronic spectra reveals that the complexes were in octahedral geometry. Cyclic voltammetric studies show that the metal ion of the complexes were in easy reversibility. XRD shows that all the complexes were in triclinic lattice arrangement.
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