Biozyme and Chitosan effect on Growth and Yield of 'Chandler' Strawberry (*Fragaria X Ananassa* Duch.)

Kibet, M. ^{1*}Gesimba, R.M. ^{1*}&Muthamia, J. ^{1*}

¹ Department of Crops, Horticulture and Soils, Faculty of Agriculture, Egerton University. P.O. Box 536-20115, Egerton, Kenya.

Abstract

Biozyme and chitosan are emerging category of biostimulants that target to increase the production of strawberry and are used as alternative to agrochemicals due to the growing interest of consumers on healthy and safe products. However, due to exclusion of agrochemicals, strawberry production has declined by between 5-34% due to limited nutrition. The purpose of the study was to investigate the response of biozyme and chitosan on the growth, yield and quality of strawberry fruit. The experimental design was randomized complete block design with nine treatments replicated three times. The results showed that the use of 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan positively influenced the growth and yield of strawberry plant. Maximum number of leaves, plant height, flower number, fruits number, fruit weight, fruit length and diameter were achieved with the use of 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm of chitosan in combination. Thus, findings from the current study however, indicate that biozyme, a seaweed extract and chitosan biostimulant obtained from naturally abundant chitin of crustaceans and fungal cell walls at their lowest rates could be used as environment-friendly agent for sustainable production of high quality strawberry with no use of synthetic inputs.

Key words: biostimulants, biozyme, chitosan, foliar spray, plant-growth enhancer, strawberry,

Date of Submission: 14-10-2022

Date of Acceptance: 29-10-2022

I. Introduction

Strawberry (*Fragaria*×*ananassa* Duch.) is one of the most popular and widely consumed berry due to its taste, health benefits and other desirable qualities (Parveen *et al.*, 2012). The main characteristics associated with the quality of ripe strawberries are their texture, flavour (sugar to acid ratio and volatile compounds) and colour (Nadim *et al.*, 2015). Strawberries are also rich in nutrients and antioxidants (e.g. polyphenols and anthocyanins, vitamins and amino acids) (Van *et al.*, 2013). The largest strawberry producers in the world are China, USA, Spain and Japan (FAOSTAT, 2017). China is the leading producer of strawberry with the annual production of 3.7 million tons while in Kenya its production is 942 tons annually (FAOSTAT, 2017).

Biostimulants can enhance the growth, yield, and quality of crops significantly. Several types of plant/algal biostimulant provide added benefit to plants when applied by foliar spray or drenching. Studies in this field have been undertaken for close to 70 years but many things are still remained unknown which limit the use of biostimulants. Some plant/algal residues such as chitosan and seaweed (*Ascophyllum nodosum*) are believed to be good sources of biostimulants (Khan *et al.*, 2009).

Chitosan, a name given to a deacetylated form of chitin, is a natural biodegradable compound derived from crustaceous shells such as crabs and shrimps, whose main attributes corresponds to its polycationic nature (Bautista-Baños *et al.*, 2006). It is considered environment-friendly for agricultural uses as it is easily degraded in the environment and is nontoxic to humans. Chitosan and its derivatives have been reported to elicit natural defense responses in plants and have been used as a natural compound to control pre- and post-harvest pathogens (Malerba *et al.*, 2018). Antimicrobial activities of chitosan against various phytopathogens have been reported (Rahman *et al.*, 2014). Enhancement of storability and preservation of anthocyanin content in chitosan-coated strawberry fruit has been reported from multiple studies (Ghaouth *et al.*, 1991). Chitosan has been widely used as a coating agent of various fruit mainly for the protection of post-harvest losses due to microbial infections (El-Sawy *et al.*, 2010; Sakif *et al.*, 2016). However, many investigators have also reported that using chitosan as a foliar spray increased vegetative growth and yield in vegetable plants (El-Miniawy *et al.*, 2013; Mukta *et al.*, 2017; Pirbalouti *et al.*, 2017).

Biozyme is extracted from *Ascophyllum nodosum* (L.) Le Jolis, a seaweed alga which is known to be rich in cytokinins and auxin precursors, enzymes, some chelating agents, minerals, betaines, polyamines, organic acids, oligosaccharides, amino acids, and hydrolyzed proteins (Khan *etal.* 2009). Seaweed is now recognized as an excellent source of natural plant growth regulators. (Khan *etal.*, 2009; Cardozo *etal.* 2007),

which include cytokinins and gibberellins. Kumar *et al.* (2010) describe biozyme as an environmentally friendly growth stimulant which enhances the plant's physiological system by improving yield. It increases plant nutrient uptake by promoting solubilization of nutrients (Freitas *et al.*, 1997) and symbiotic nitrogen leading to enhanced fruit set, quality and general crop performance. In most strawberry farmlands in the world including Kenya, synthetic fertilizers and pest management products are applied during growth and development to maximize yield. As the use of synthetic chemicals (fertilizers and pesticides) in crop production and protection increases, the threat to both environment and human health is also increasing. Furthermore, the use of the chemicals has been reported to affect the soil fertility, health and crop productivity adversely mainly due to their negative effects on soil fauna and flora (Seneviratne, 2009). Therefore, the present study was aimed to investigate the effects of biozyme and chitosan on growth, yield of 'Chandler' strawberry under field condition.

II. Materials And Methods

Study site

The study was carried out in the Horticulture Research and Teaching Farm (Field 3) of Egerton University, Njoro, Kenya. The site lies at a latitude of 0° 23' South, longitude 35° 35' East in the Lower Highland III Agro Ecological Zone (LH3) at an altitude of approximately 2,238 meters above seas level. The average maximum and minimum temperatures range from 19 °C to 22 °C and 5 °C to 8 °C, respectively, with a total annual rainfall ranging from 1200 to 1400 mm. The soils are well-drained sandy-loam-vintric mollic Andosols (Jaetzold and Schmidt., 2012).

Materials used in the study

Disease free strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch) splits were obtained from Thika strawberry farm in Thika while biozyme was obtained from Arysta Lifescience (K) Ltd which is located in Tulip House, 2nd Floor, Mombasa Road Nairobi. Chitosan, on the other hand was sourced from Kobian Scientific Kenya Limited.

Preparation of the biozyme and chitosan concentrations for used in the study

Practical grade chitosan biopolymer (poly β -1,4-D-glucosamine) available in powder form was purchased from Kobian Scientific Kenya Limited. It is commercially prepared by the alkaline deacetylation of chitin obtained from shrimp shells (*Pandalus borealis*). The degree of de-acetylation is \geq 85% with low viscosity. Three different concentrations, 0, 25, and 50 ppm of chitosan solution were prepared by measuring the required amount of product (25mg) followed by dissolving in 0.1 N HCl and diluting with 1 litre of distilled water with pH adjusted at 6.5 by 0.1 NaOH to give 25ppm (Benhamou *et al*, 2000).

Biozyme was obtained from Arysta Lifescience (K) Ltd which is located in Tulip House, 2nd Floor, Mombasa Road Nairobi. The three different concentrations (0ppm, 25ppm and 50ppm) required were prepared by measuring the needed amount of the biozyme (25ml/litre) and diluted with 1 litre of distilled water before used.

Experimental design and treatments

A factorial experiment was carried out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 3 replications. Nine treatments were used in the study. These were;0ppm biozyme+0ppm chitosan, 25ppm chitosan, 50ppm chitosan, 25ppm biozyme, 25ppm biozyme+25ppm chitosan, 25ppm biozyme+50ppm chitosan, 50ppm biozyme, 50ppm biozyme+25ppm chitosan, 50ppm chitosan. Biozyme was applied at three concentrations (0ppm, 25ppmland 75ppm per liter of distilled water), chitosanwas applied at three levels (0ppm, 25ppmland 75ppm per liter of distilled water). The blocks were used to minimize the occurrence of experimental errors caused by soil fertility. The experiment covered an area of 23.4 m by 9.2 m with individual blocks measuring 23.4 m by 2 m separated by a 1m path. Individual experimental units within a block measured 2 m by 2.4 m with an inter-plot spacing of 0.6 m. The treatments were applied three weeks after planting, during flowering and one week before harvest.

Data collection and analysis

Data were collected on the number of leaves, plant height, number of flowers, number of fruits, fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit weight and yield after 28, 42,56 and 70 days of planting. The number of the leaves was determined by counting all fully grown leaves after three weeks of their establishment. Plant height was determined using a ruler from the base to the top of the plant. Fruit length and diameter were determined using a Vernier caliper (cm). The freshly harvested berries from randomly selected four plants in each treatment per replicate were collected and weighted using a digital balance (HANGPING JA 12002, Japan).

Data were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and significant means separated using Tukey's honestly significant difference (Tukey's HSD) test at $p \le 0.05$. The SAS statistical package (SAS Institute, 2005) was used for data analysis.

III. Results

Number of leaves

The application of the biozyme and chitosan on the strawberry plants significantly ($p \le 0.05$) influenced the number of the leaves throughout the growing period in the two trials (Table 1). Over the two trials, the number of the leaves was recorded highest in the treatment with both 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan compared to all the treated plots. The lowest number of the leaves was recorded from the untreated plot (controldistilled water). There was no significant difference in the number of the leaves from the treatment with 25ppm biozyme and the interaction in trial 1. Treated plots produced the highest number of the leaves compared to the untreated plots in all sampling days. There was no significance difference in the number of the leaves from the interaction in trial 2

Strawberry(Fragariax Ananassa Ducil.)										
Treatments	Trial]	Days Af	ter P	lanting			
				28	42		56	70		
			_							
Control (distilled water)	1		5.0	7d	5.92d		7.83d	9.25c		
25ppm cht	1		8.5	8abc	9.67bc	2	14abc	15.25abc		
50ppm cht	1		6.8	3bcd	8.83bo	cd	11.95bcd	14.17bc		
25ppm bzy	1		8.7	5ab	12.08a	b	14.92ab	17.33ab		
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	1		10a		13.17a	ι	18.5a	20.75a		
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	1		6.2	5d	7.83cd		9.83cd	11.42bc		
50ppm bzy	1		6.4	2cd	7.5cd		10cd	12.53bc		
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	1		5.8	ł	6.67cd		9.12d	11.03bc		
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	1		5.0	8d	6.42cd	1	8.5d	10.17c		
Control (distilled water)	2	4.22c	5.5c	6.42b		8.67	'b			
25ppm cht	2	5.67abc	6.67bc	7.83b		10.5	ab			
50ppm cht	2	6.42ab	7.17bc	8.67ab)	10.6	7ab			
25ppm bzy	2	6.08abc	7.5al	08.42ab)	11ał)			
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	2	7.83a	9.25a	10.58a	L	12.0	8a			
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	5bc	6.5bc	7.5b		9.67	ab			
50ppm bzy	2	5.5bc	6.5bc	7.25b		9.33	b			
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	2	5.58bc	6.25bc	6.83b		9b				
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	4.83bc	5.92bc	6.67b		8.75	Ъ			

 Table 1: Effect of biozyme and chitosan rates on the number of the leaves of 'Chandler'

 Strawberry(FragariaX Ananassa Duch.)

*Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test at p≤0.05.

Plant height

The application of biozymeand chitosan significantly ($p\leq0.05$) influenced plant height throughout the growing period (Table 2). The highest plant height (20.58cm for trial 1 and 18.83cm for trial 2 at 70 DAP) was recorded from the strawberry plants treated with 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan. However, the lowest plant height (14.14cm for trial1 and 14.55cm for trial 2 at 70 DAP) was recorded from the strawberry plants treated with 25ppm biozyme and significance difference in the plant height from the plants treated with 25ppm chitosan alone and also 25ppm biozyme alone in trial 1 together with both 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan in both trials at 28 DAP, 42 DAP, 56 DAP and 70 DAP respectively (Table 2).

Table 2: Effect of biozyme and chitosan rates on plant height leaves of 'Chan	dler' Strawberry (Fragaria
X Ananassa Duch.)	

Treatments	TrialDays Afte	er Planting			
		28	42	56	70
Control (distilled water)	1	11.43c	12.52c	13.25e	14.14d
25ppm cht	1	15.40abc	16.26abc	17.62abc	18.04abc
50ppm cht	1	13.63bc	15.01bc	16.50bcd	17.33abcd
25ppm bzy	1	15.87ab	17.89ab	18.36ab	19.17ab

Biozyme and	Chitosan effect	on Growth an	d Yield of 'C	Chandler' S	Strawberry .
~			0		~

25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	1	19.28a	19.64a	20.63a	20.58a
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	1	12.76bc	14.24bc	14.85cde	16.58bcd
50ppm bzy	1	13.7bc	14.22bc	15.85bcde	16.42bcd
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	1	12.28bc	13.59c	14.48de	15.48cd
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	1	12.21bc	12.76c	13.86de	14.72d
Control (distilled water)	2	11.65d	12.36d	13.52c	14.55c
25ppm cht	2	16ab	16.33ab	16.17ab	17.09abc
50ppm cht	2	13.83c	15bc	16.11ab	17.48ab
25ppm bzy	2	14.41c	14.87bc	15.32abc	16.39abc
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	2	16.48a	16.72a	17.2a	18.83a
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	13.53c	14.55c	14.76bc	15.73bc
50ppm bzy	2	14.67bc	14.83bc	15.35abc	15.33bc
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	2	13.86c	13.78cd	14.12bc	14.73c
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	13.63c	14.28c	14.5bc	15.07bc

*Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test at $p \le 0.05$.

Number of flowers

Application of biozymeand chitosanresulted in a significant ($p\leq0.05$) increase in the number of flowers per plant (Table 3). The highest number of flowers (0.92 and 1.17) were recorded at the plot treated with both 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan in trial 1 and 2 respectively (Table 3). However, there were no significant differences in plots treated with both 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan in both trials (Table 3). The lowest flower number (0.12 and 1.17) was recorded in the plots treated with the highest rates of both biozyme and chitosan (50ppm) in both trials.

Treatments	TrialDays After H	Planting	,		
		28	42	56	70
Control (distilled water)	1	0.03b	0.07b	0.15b	0.22cd
25ppm cht	1	0.25b	0.33b	0.42ab	0.68ab
50ppm cht	1	0.18b	0.28b	0.32b	0.53bc
25ppm bzy	1	0.25b	0.33b	0.43ab	0.45bcd
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	1	0.67a	1a	1.08a	0.92a
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	1	0.07b	0.17b	0.25b	0.33bcd
50ppm bzy	1	0.15b	0.37b	0.42ab	0.45bcd
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	1	0.11b	0.18b	0.18b	0.18cd
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	1	0.03b	0.13b	0.17b	0.12d
Control (distilled water)	2	0.58d	0.67b	0.38b	0.25b
25ppm cht	2	2.17ab	0.92b	1.17ab	0.75ab
50ppm cht	2	1.42abcd	0.83b	0.45b	0.62ab
25ppm bzy	2	1.75abc	0.92b	0.92ab	0.63ab
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	2	2.42a	2.12a	1.78a	1.17a
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	1cd	0.67b	0.67ab	0.58ab
50ppm bzy	2	1.08cd	1.08ab	0.67ab	0.73 ab
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	2	1.33bcd	0.83b	0.3b	0.38b
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	0.75cd	0.33b	0.25b	0.23b

 Table 3: Effect of biozyme and chitosan rates on the number of flowers of 'Chandler' Strawberry (Fragaria X Ananassa Duch.)

*Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test at p≤0.05.

Number of fruits per plant

There was significant ($P \le 0.05$) influence of biozyme and chitosan in the number of fruits per plant (Table 4). The highest and the lowest number of fruits per plant were recorded in the treated and the untreated plots respectively. The treatment with both 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan recorded the highest number of fruits per plant (3.50 and 2.58) in both trials respectively. However, the untreated plots (control) recorded the lowest number of fruits per plant (0.90 and 1.08) in trial 1 and 2 respectively. There was no significance difference in the number of the fruits per plants in the interactions of 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan at all sampling days in both trials while in the treatment with 25ppm biozyme, there was no significance difference in

the number of the fruits per plants as at 42 DAP, 56 DAP and 70 DAP in trial 2.

Treatments	TrialDays	After Planting				
		28	42	56	70	
Control (distilled water)	1	0.07b	0.25c	0.5b	0.00b	
25ppm cht	1	0.070	0.250 1.58ab	0.50 1.52b	1.026	
50mm abt	1	0.42a0	1.36aU 1.25aba	1.320 1.92ab	1.920 2.08ab	
Soppin cht	1	0.42a0	1.25abc	1.65a0	2.08a0	
25ppm bzy	1	0.33ab	1.25abc	1.67b	1.98ab	
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	1	1a	2.33a	3.08a	3.50a	
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	1	0.22b	0.75bc	0.92b	1.67b	
50ppm bzy	1	0.22b	1bc	1.30b	1.33b	
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	1	0.15b	0.75bc	1.05b	1.17b	
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	1	0.12b	0.67bc	1.13b	0.92b	
Control (distilled water)	2	0.33c	1.23c	2.07b	1.08c	
25ppm cht	2	1.58ab	2.13abc	2.67ab	2.25ab	
50ppm cht	2	1.08bc	2.12abc	2.73ab	1.75abc	
25ppm bzy	2	1.08bc	2.32ab	2.80ab	2.23ab	
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	2	2.42a	2.73a	3.50a	2.58a	
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	1.33abc	1.67bc	2.02b	1.58abc	
50ppm bzy	2	0.92bc	1.45bc	2.58ab	1.92abc	
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	2	1bc	2abc	2.28b	1.50abc	
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	1bc 1.	.5bc	2.02b 1.2	7bc	

Table 4: Effect of biozyme and chitosan rates on the number fruits per plantof 'Chandler' Strawberry (Fragaria X Ananassa Duch.)

*Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test at p≤0.05.

Fruit length

The results presented in the Table (5) clearly revealed that the application of biozyme and chitosan as a foliar significantly ($p\leq0.05$) influenced the fruit length in both trails. The interaction of 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan recorded the highest fruit length (3.62cm and 3.47cm) on 70 DAP compared with the rest of the treatments in trail 1 and 2 respectively. However, the strawberry plants treated with both 50ppm biozyme and 50ppm chitosan recorded the lowest fruit length (2.22cm and 2.13cm) in trail 1 and 2 respectively. There was no significance difference in fruit length from the plots treated with both 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan at all sampling days in both trails. Furthermore, there was no significance difference in fruit length from the plots treated with 25ppm biozyme in all sampling days in trail 1. In trail 2, there was no significance difference in fruit length the interaction of 50ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan and also 50ppm biozyme and 50ppm chitosan in all sampling days.

Table 5: Effect of biozyme and chitosan rates on fruit lengthof 'Chandler' Strawberr	y (Fragaria X	
Ananassa Duch.)		

		1 Intantass	a Daem.)				
Treatments	Trail Days A	After Planting	5				
		28	42		56	70	
Control (distilled water)	1	2.70b	2.45bc	2.1	l8d	2.28b	
25ppm cht	1	3.08ab	2.88ab	2.	87bc	2.78ab	
50ppm cht1 2	.83ab 2.8	5ab	2.88bc	2.97ab			
25ppm bzy1 2	2.95ab 2.3	83ab	3.33ab	3.00ab			
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy1	3.38a	3.2.	3a	3.57a	3.62a		
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht1	2.67b	2.8	3ab	2.85bc	2.70b		
50ppm bzy1 2	2.92ab 2.3	85ab	2.65cd	2.53b			
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht1	2.48b	2.6	0bc	2.62cd	2.52b		
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht1	2.63b	2.2	2c	2.37cd	2.22b		

Biozyme and Chitosan effect on Growth and Yield of 'Chandler' Strawberry ...

Control (distilled water)	2	2.50c	2.50bc	2.58c	2.15c	
25ppm cht	2	3.37ab	2.90abc	3.05abc	2.75abc	
50ppm cht	2	3.27abc	2.97ab	3.05abc	2.73bc	
25ppm bzy	2	2.93abc	2.73bc	3.22ab	3.00ab	
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	2	3.68a	3.37a	3.38a	3.47a	
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	2.93abc	2.57bc	2.87abc	2.70bc	
50ppm bzy	2	3.17abc	2.62bc	2.87abc	2.38bc	
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	2	2.68bc	2.48bc	2.73bc	2.38bc	
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	2.49c	2.40c	2.60c	2.13c	

*Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test at $p \le 0.05$.

Fruit diameter

The production of strawberry using biozymeand chitosansignificantly (P \leq 0.05) influenced fruit diameter in the study (Table 6). The highest fruit diameter (2.68cm and 2.80 at 70 DAP) was recorded in plots treated with the interaction of 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan compared with the other treatments in both trials. However, the lowest fruit diameter (1.70cm and 1.80cm) was recorded from the plots treated with the interaction of 50ppm biozyme and 50ppm chitosan. In trial 1, there was no significance difference in the fruit diameter in the plots treated with the combination of 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan at all sampling days while in trial 2, there was significance difference in the fruit diameter in the plots treated with 25ppm chitosan at all sampling days.

Table 6: Effect of biozyme and chitosan rates on Fruit diameterof 'Chandler' Strawberry	(Fragaria X	K
Ananassa Duch)		

Treatments	Trial Day	s After Planting	,			
		28	42	56	70	
Control (distilled water)	1	2.13c	2.12bc	1.97c	de 1.98bc	
25ppm cht	1	2.25bc	2.48ab	2.45a	ib 2.25abo	с
50ppm cht1	2.28abc	2.58a	2.43abc	2.43ab		
25ppm bzy1	2.40abc	2.33ab	2.37abcd	2.30ab		
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy1		2.58a	2.70a	2.68a	2.68a	
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht1		2.37abc	2.13bc	1.95de	1.97bc	
50ppm bzy1	2.53ab	2.30ab	2.23abcde	2.03bc		
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht1		2.12c	2.27ab	2.07bcde	2.15abc	
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht1		2.12c	1.82c	1.87e	1.70c	
Control (distilled water)	2	2.02c	2.02c	2.15b	2.12bc	
25ppm cht	2	2.65ab	2.40ab	2.40al	o 2.35ab	
50ppm cht	2	2.33bc	2.23abo	e 2.37ab	2.45ab	
25ppm bzy	2	2.37bc	2.23ab	c 2.45at	o 2.35ab	
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	2	2.77a	2.53a	2.72a	2.80a	
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	2.20c	2.08bc	2.17b	1.97bc	
50ppm bzy	2	2.28bc	2.40ab	2.13b	2.12bc	
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	2	2.25c	2.06c	2.20b	2.17bc	
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	2.10c	1.92c	2.07b	1.80c	

*Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test at $p \le 0.05$.

Fruit weight

Fruit weight was significantly ($p \le 0.05$) influenced by the application of biozyme and chitosan in the experiment study (Table 7). The highest fruit weight (14.83grams and 14.67grams) was recorded in plots treated with the combination of both 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan at 70 DAP in both trials respectively. The lowest fruit weight (8.78grams and 7.00grams) was recorded from the plots treated with the combination of 50ppm chitosan at 70 DAP in both trials respectively. There was no significance difference in the fruit weight from the plots treated with both 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan in all sampling days (Table 7). There was no significance difference in fruit weight from the plots treated with both 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan at all sampling days in both trials.

		(r ragaria	A Ananassa Du	cn.)	
Treatments	Trial Da	ys After Planti	ng		
		28	42	56	70
Control (distilled water)	1	7.08	b 9.33b	c 8d	8.83c
25ppm cht	1	10.3	3ab 13.60	ab 12.83abc	12.67ab
50ppm cht1	9.67ab	11abc	11bcd	10.17bc	
25ppm bzy1	10.83ab	12.93abc	13.83ab	12.67ab	
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy1		13.33a	16.50a	16.45a 14.	83a
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht1		8.77b	8.83bc	8.83d 8.	83c
50ppm bzy1	10ab	9.83bc	10.50bcd	9.50bc	
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht1		7.67b	9.33bc	9.83cd 8.5	50c
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht1		7.50b	7.50c	7.77d 8.′	78c
Control (distilled water)) 2	7.17	o 6.17a	7.33b	7.33d
25ppm cht	2	8.83	ab 8.50a	9.67ab	12.00abc
50ppm cht	2	8.50	ab 9.17a	9.17ab	10.50abcd
25ppm bzy	2	8.50	ab 8.00a	10.17ab	12.83ab
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	2	10.3	3a 12.33	a 11.83a	14.67a
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	7.17	b 8.17a	8.33ab	9.00bcd
50ppm bzy	2	8.00	ab 8.25a	8.67ab	9.00bcd
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	2	7.67t	9.44a	8.00b	8.33cd
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	2	7.83	b 7.75a	8.50ab	7.00d

Table 7: Effect of biozyme and chitosan rates on Fruit weight in gramsof 'Chandler' Strawberry (Fragaria X Ananassa Duch.)

*Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test at p≤0.05.

Fruit yield per plant

The application of biozyme and chitosan at different rates significantly ($p \le 0.05$) influenced the yield of strawberry fruits per plant (Table 8). The treated plots produced the highest yield of fruits per plant compared to the control. The highest fruit yield per plant (61.117 grams and 49.167 grams) was recorded in plots treated with the combination of both 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan in both trials respectively. The lowest fruit yield (33.250 grams and 28.000 grams) was recorded from the control. There was significance difference in fruit yield from all the treated plots except plots treated with 25ppm bzy+50ppm cht, 50ppm bzy+25ppm cht and 50ppm bzy+50ppm cht attrial 2.

Table 8: Effect of biozyme and chitosan rates on the fruit yield per plant of 'Chandler	' Strawberry
(Fragaria X Ananassa Duch)	

Treatments	Trial 1 Trial	2				
Control (distilled water)	33.250de28.0	00c				
25ppm cht		48.933bc		39.000ab		
50ppm cht		41.833bcd	37.333bc			
25ppm bzy	50.267b	39.500ab				
25ppm cht+25ppm bzy	61.117a	49.167a				
25ppm bzy+50ppm cht	35.267de	32.667bc				
50ppm bzy	39.833cde		33.917bc			
50ppm bzy+25ppm cht	35.333de		33.444bc			
50ppm bzy+50ppm cht	31.544e		31.083bc			
*Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different according						

to Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference test at p≤0.05.

IV. Discussion

In the present study, the foliar application of biozyme and chitosan on the strawberry plant at different ratessignificantly influenced its the growth and yield. Sharma (1990) found the same results in guava and apple respectively with the application of Biozyme crop plus and Protozyme in different concentrations. Thus, it is apparent that Biozyme, which consist of precursor of auxins, enzyme, protein and micronutrients positively improve vegetative growth and in turn yield of crop. The obtained results of vegetative growth characteristics in the current study are in agreement with those reported by Spinelli *et al.*, (2010) and Abdel-Mawgoud *et al.*,

(2010) on watermelon, Shehata *et al.*, (2011) on celeriac, Abou El-Yazied *et al.*, (2012) on snap bean and Fawzy *et al.*, (2012) on garlic. The improved vegetative growth traits could be due to endogenous growth substances as well as other compounds in the extracts (Durand *et al.*, 2003) which affect cellular metabolism in treated plants leading to enhanced growth and crop yield.

Biozyme has shown positive influence on the growth and vigour of the plant. This might be due to higher uptake of plant nutrient as well as quicker relocation of plant metabolites in the plant canopy. Chitosan on the other hand is known to promote plant growth and development and provide enhanced disease suppression capability to plants through multiple mechanisms including induced systemic resistance (Malerba *et al.*, 2018). Foliar application of varying doses of chitosan on strawberry canopy in this study stimulated all aspects of vegetative growth (leaf number, leaf length and plant height) with concurrent improvement of fruit yield and fruit quality compared with untreated plants.

Many investigators have reported that chitosan controls numerous pre- and post-harvest diseases and increase yield of various ornamental as well as horticultural commodities in different parts of the world (Bautista-Baños *et al.*, 2006). Results from this study indicate that the rate of chitosan at which it promotes growth and yield of field grown strawberries is milligram per liter concentration, which is in full agreement with previous findings (Mukta *et al.*, 2017). A similar positive influence of chitosan on plant vegetative features was observed in multiple genera from family *Orchidaceae*, such as *Cymbidium* (Nahar *et al.*, 2012) or *Dendrobium* (Tantasawat *et al.*, 2010). The research by Lee *et al.* (2005) showed a positive chitosan influence on soy seed-ling growth and the stimulating action of chitosan was directly proportional to the molecular weight of the compound used in the experiment.

The application of biozyme and chitosanin the present studysignificantly enhanced growth of the plant giving taller strawberry plants compared to control. These results are in agreement with Cuibu and Shiayama (2001) who reported positive effects of chitosan incorporated into the soil on early growth stages of soybean, mini-tomato, upland rice and lettuce. This improvement included plant height, leaf area, and dry weight of plants. The results obtained for vegetative growth characteristics in the present study are also in agreement with those reported by Abou El-Yazied *et al.*, (2012)andon snap bean, Fawzy *et al.*, (2012) on garlic who found that seaweed extract foliar spray also increased plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area and fresh and dry weight of biomass of these crops.

Mohamed *et al.*, (2018), revealed that chitosan may additionally provide a few amino compounds required for plant growth that led to increase total N content increasing in leaves or higher capacity of plant absorption of N from soil as chitosan would possibly increase key enzymes of nitrogen metabolism and promote transportation of N within the functional leaves. Also, chitosan may increase the availability, uptake and transport of essential nutrients via adjusting cell osmotic pressure and thereby progresses plant growth and development e.g. plant shoots, number of leaves, leaf area and total leaf area per plant thus reversing in increasing its fresh and dry weight.

The number of flowers and fruit per plant were significantly affected by the application of biozyme and chitosan rates. More flowers and fruits were observed in treated plants compared to control. In this concern, Ohta *et al.* (1999) found that flower number of *Eustoma grandiflorum* was greatest in plants grown in chitosan treated. A stimulating effect of chitosan on the number of flowers was observed in plants such as gerbera (Wanichpongpan *et al.*, 2001) and gladioli (Ramos-Garcia *et al.*, 2009). Reeta *et al.*, (2010) observed increased number of flowers and fruits in tomato with application of seaweed liquid fertilizers. Similarly, increased number of fruits per plant and per cent fruit set in tomato with the application of biozyme was also observed by Ofosu-anim *et al.*, (2007). Reduced flower drops and increase in fruit set might be due to delay in abscission (the effect of cytokinins and auxins) through preservation of loss of pectin material in middle lamella (Kachave and Bhosale, 2007) and enhance resistance to water as well as nutrient stress. Biozyme also enhanced photosynthesis and mobilization of Gore *et al.*, (2007) and Kumar *et al.*, (2000) who reported that application of biozyme significantly increased the yield of chilli and bell pepper.

Improvement in yield with different treatments over control was due to the direct or indirect effects of growth and yield attributes including;number of branches per plant, number of flowers per plans, fruit length, fruit setting percentage and number of fruits per plant and also fruit weight. Thus, it can be inferred that the biozyme which consist of precursors of auxin, enzyme, protein and micronutrients may have some beneficial role in improving growth and productivity of chilli. These results are in line with Ahmed *et al.*, (2016) who studied pre-harvest foliar application of Washington navel orange tree by chitosan. They declared that there was a significant increase in total number of flowers /tree and fruit set percentage over controls especially at low concentration. The positive effect of chitosan in stimulating flowering and increasing its number was reported by Wanichpongpan *et al.*, (2001) on gerbera and Ramos-Garcia *etal.* (2009) on gladioli. According to what was previously mentioned, chitosan may provide a few amino compounds that led to increasing total N content in leaves or higher capacity of plant absorption of nitrogen from soil. Iqbal *et al.*, (2004) stated that the rate of leafy inflorescence formation and its ovaries growth was determined by various nitrogenous compounds since

these show a higher polyamine content. Moreover, many investigators observed that exogenous application of chitosan had a promotive effect on increasing fruit set of citrus trees; (El-Sese, 2005) on Balady mandarin, (Abd El-moneim *et al.*, 2007 and Abd El-Rahman *et al.*, 2012) on washington navel orange and (Baghdady *et al.*, 2014) on Valencia orange. Plant biostimulants, particularly chitosan and biozyme had an important role on flowering and fruit set of different crops, since it promotes fruit set and reduce fruit drop in many citrus species and varieties. In this concern, Ghoname *et al.*, (2010) observed that foliar application of chitosan on sweet pepper significantly increased the number of fruits per plant and the mean weight of fruit, as well as fruit quality characteristics.

Fruit length and diameter

Fruit length and diameter of the strawberry fruits were significantly influenced by application of biozyme and chitosan. Treated plots with the lowest rates of biozyme and chitosan produced the longest fruit length and diameter over the control. This increase in fruit size with the application of biozyme and chitosan could be due to nature of auxins (NAA) to stimulate cell division and cell enlargement of the fruits (Taiz and Zeiger, 2006; Chaudhary *et al.*, 2006). Increased fruit size is in corroboration with the findings of (Singh, 2008) and (Hoang, 2003) who reported that application of NAA increased fruit size of pomegranate. Biozyme not only provided required nutrients for cell activation but also stimulate cellular differentiation, ensuring the number and strength of floral buds that contribute to a higher number of fruits. The application of biozyme during fruit growth results in the formation of more epidermis cells, allowing the fruits to increase their size and commercial grade consistency.

Fruit weight

In the present study, the application of biozyme and chitosan significantly influenced the fruit weight of strawberry in both seasons. The strawberry fruit weight was higher from the plots treated with the combination of 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosan over the other treated plots. However, plots treated with the highest combination rates of biozyme and chitosan (50ppm) recorded the lowest fruit weight in both seasons. These results are in agreement with those reported by Agnieszka *et al.*, (2004) and Roussos *et al.*, (2009) on soybean, Abdel-Mawgoud *et al.*, (2010) on watermelon. These increases in fruit weight may be closely linked to the increase in vegetative growth characteristics (Table 3 and 4). In this concern, Ghoname *et al.*, (2010) observed that foliar application of chitosan on sweet pepper significantly increased the number of fruits per plant and the mean weight of fruit, as well as fruit quality characteristics.

Foliar application of varying doses of chitosan on strawberry canopy in this study stimulated all aspects of vegetative growth (leaf length, leaf number and height) with concurrent improvement of fruit yield and fruit quality compared with untreated control. Many investigators reported chitosan to control numerous pre- and post-harvest diseases, and increase yield of various ornamental as well as horticultural commodities in different parts of the world (Pichyangkura *et al.*, 2015). Kossak and Dyki, (2008) showed more numerous and larger xylem cells and phloem vascular bundles in the stems of tomato plants treated with biostimulants compared to the control plants. This phenomenon can contribute to a more effective transport of mineral elements, water, and assimilates, and, consequently, can increase fruit weight and thus fruit yield.

V. Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the findings, it can be concluded that; Use of biozyme and chitosaninfluenced the growth and yield of strawberry fruits. The use of 25ppm biozyme and 25ppm chitosanincombination, therefore be recommended for use in strawberry production as an alternative to inorganic chemicals

Acknowledgement

The study was made possible by the support from African Development Bank program under World Bank at Egerton University. Thank you, Egerton University and Department of Crops Horticulture and Soils, for support.

References

- [1]. Abd El-Moneim., Eman A.A., Abd El Migeed., Omayma M.M.M., Ismail M.M. (2007). GA3 and zinc sprays for improving yield and fruit quality of Washington Navel Orange trees grown under sandy soil conditions. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 3 (5): 498-503.
- [2]. Abd El-Rahman, G.F., Hoda M., Mohamed M., Ensherah, A.H. Tayh. (2012). Effect of GA3 and potassium nitrate in different dates on fruit set, yield and splitting of Washington Navel Orange. Nature and Science., 10 (1): 148-157.
- [3]. Abdel-Mawgoud A.M.R., Tantawy A.S., Hafez M.M., Habib H.A.M. (2010). Seaweed extract improves growth, yield and quality of different watermelon hybrids. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 6 (2): 161-186.
- [4]. Abou El-Yazied A., El-Gizawy A.M., Ragab M.I., Hamed E.S. (2012). Effect of seaweed extract and compost treatments on growth, yield and quality of snap bean. J. Amer. Sci., 8 (6): 1-20.
- [5]. Agnieszka, M., Basak A., Żurawicz E. (2004). Effects of foliar applications of Kelpak SL and Goëmar BM 86 preparations on yield and fruit quality in two strawberry cultivars. J. Fruit Ornam. Plant Res., 12: 23-27.
- [6]. Ahmed, H.H.A., Aboul-Ella Nesiem M.R., Allam H.A., El-Wakil A.F. (2016). Effect of pre-harvest chitosan foliar application on growth, yield and chemical composition of Washington navel orange trees grown in two different regions. Afr. J. Biochem. Res., 10 (7): 59-69

- [7]. Baghdady, G.A., Abdelrazik A. M., Abdrabboh G. A., Abo-Elghit A.A. (2014). Effect of foliar application of GA3 and Some Nutrients on Yield and Fruit Quality of Valencia Orange Trees. Nature and Science., 12: 93-100.
- [8]. Bautista-Baños, S., Hernández-Lauzardo A.N., Velázquez-Del Valle M.G. (2006). Chitosan as a potential natural compound to control pre and postharvest diseases of horticultural commodities. Crop Protection., 25: 108-118.
- Benhamou N., Kloepper J.W., Tuzun S. (2000). Induction of resistance against Fusarium wilt of tomato by combination of chitosan [9]. with an endophytic bacterial strain: ultrastructure and cytochemistry of the host response. Planta., 204 (2):153-68.
- [10]. Bhatia, D.S. and Kaur, J. (1997). Effect of homobrassinolide and humicil on chlorophyll content, hill activity and yield components in mungbean (VignaradiataL.Wilczek). Phytomorphol., 47:421-426.
- [11]. Cardozo, K., Guaratini T., Barros M. P., Falcão V. R., Tonon A. P., Lopes N. P., Campos S., Torres M. A., Souza A. O., Colepicolo P., Pinto E. (2007). Metabolites from algae with economical impact. Comparative Physiology and Biochemistry, Part C., 146: 60-78
- Chaudhary B.R., Sharma M.D., Shakya S.M., Gautam D.M. (2006). Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and quality [12]. of chilly (Capsicum annuum L.) at Rampur, Chitwan, J. Inst. Agric, Anim. Sci., 27: 65-68.
- [13]. Chibu H and Shibayama H (1999). Effects of chitosan applications on the early growth of several crops. Report of Kyushu Branch of the Crop Science Society of Japanese 65, 83-87.
- De Freitas, J. R., Banerjee, M. R., Germida, J. J. (1997). Phosphate-solubilizing rhizobacteria enhance the growth and yield but not [14]. phosphorus uptake of canola (Brassica napus L.). Biol. Fertility of Soils., 24 (4): 358-364. Durand, N., Briand X., Meyer C. (2003). The effect of marine bioactive substances (NPRO) and exogenous cytokinins on nitrate
- [15]. reductase activity in Arabidopsis thaliana. Physiologia Plantarum., 119: 489-493.
- [16]. El-Miniawy, Sm., Ragab, M.E., Youssef, S.M., Metwally A.A. (2013). Response of strawberry plants to foliar spraying of chitosan. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 9(6): 366-372
- [17]. El-Sawy, N.M., El-Rehim, H.A., Elbarbary, A.M., Hegazy E.S. (2010). Radiation-induced degradation of chitosan for possible use as a growth promoter in agricultural purposes. Carbohydrate Polymers., 79(3):555-562.
- [18]. El-Sese, A.M.A. (2005). Effect of gibberellic acid (GA3) on yield and fruit characteristics of Balady mandarin. Assiut. J. Agri. Sci., 36(1): 23-35.
- [19]. Faostat. (2017). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistics Division. Available in: http://faostat3. Fao.org. Accessed on 12.11.2019
- [20]. Fawzy, Z.F., El-Shal Z.S., Yunsheng L., Zhu O., Sawan O.M. (2012). Response of garlic (Allium Sativum L.) plants to foliar spraying of some bio-stimulants under sandy soil condition. J. Appl. Sci. Res., 8 (2): 770-776.
- Fujioka, S.S.A. (1997). Biosynthesis and metabolism of brassinosteroids. Physiologia Plantarum., 100: 710-715. [21].
- Ghoname A.A., El-Nemr M.A., Abdel-Mawgoud A.M.R., El-Tohamy W.A. (2010). Enhancement of sweet pepper crop growth and [22]. production by application of biological, organic and nutritional solutions. Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 6(3): 349-355.
- [23]. Gore, A. K., Jadhav, S. B., Gore, A. K., Ghuge, T. D. (2007). Effect of different bio-enzymes on growth, flowering and yield of green chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) variety "Pusa Jwala". J. Soils and Crops., 17:105-09.
- Hoang N.H. (2003). Effect of plant growth regulators and other chemicals on fruit thinning, fruit drop, yield and quality in [24]. pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) cv. G-137. Dr Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan HP, India, M.Sc. Thesis
- [25]. Iqbal, N., Sen F., Virk N.A. (2004). Effect of inflorescence types on fruits quality of Owari cultivar of Satsuma mandarin (Citrus unshiu, Marc.). Pak. J. Biol. Sci., 7(11): 1840-1846.
- [26]. Jaetzold R. and Schmidt H.(2012). Farm management handbook of Kenya. Natural conditions and farm management information. Ministry of Agriculture Kenya.
- Kachave D.B. and Bhosale A.M. (2007). Effect of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on fruitng and yield parameters of [27]. Kagzi lime (Citrus urantifoliaswingle) fruits. Asian J. Hort., 2: 75-79.
- [28]. Khan W., Rayirath U.P., Subramanian S., Jithesh M.N., Rayorath P., Hodges D.M., Critchley A.T., Craigie J.S., Norrie J., Prithiviraj B. (2009). Seaweed extracts as biostimulants of plant growth and development. J. Plant Growth Regulation., 28: 386-399.
- [29]. Kossak K. and Dyki B. (2008). Effects of biostimulators on cultivation of Aboney F1 greenhouse tomato. In: Biostimulators in Modern Agriculture. Solanaceous crops (Dabrowski Z.T., ed.). Editorial House Wieś Jutra, Warsaw, Poland, pp. 13-20.
- [30]. Kumar R., Bakshi P., Srivastava J.N. (2010). Fruit Cracking: A Challenging Problem of Fruit Industry. Krishi Sandesh.
- [31]. Lee Y.S., Kim Y.H and Kim S.B. (2005). Changes in the respiration, growth, and vitamin C content of soybean sprouts in response to chitosan of different molecular weights. Horticulture Science. 40: 1333-1335.
- [32]. Malerba M. And Cerana R. (2018). Recent advances of chitosan applications in plants. Poly., 10(2): 118.
- Mohamed., Shaimaa A., Ahmed H. S., Amal A., El-Baowab (2018). Effect of Chitosan, Putrescine and Irrigation Levels on the [33]. Drought Tolerance of Sour Orange Seedlings Egypt. J. Hort. Vol. 45, No. 2, pp. 257-273.
- [34]. Mukta J.A., Rahman M., Sabir A.A., Gupta D.R., Surovy M.Z. (2017). Chitosan and plant probiotics application enhance growth and yield of strawberry. Biocatal. Agri. Biotechnol., 11: 9-18.
- Nadim, Z., Ahmadi, E., Sarikhani, H., Amiri Chayjan, R.(2015). Effect of methylcellulose -based edible coating on strawberry [35]. fruit's quality maintenance during storage. J.Food Processing and Preservation., 39 (1): 80-90.
- Nahar S.J., Shimasaki K., Haque S.M. (2012). Effect of different light and two polysaccharides on the proliferation of protocorm-[36]. like bodies of Cymbidium cultured in vitro. Acta Hort., 956:307-313.
- [37]. Ofosu-Anim J., Blay E.T., Bening L. (2007). Effect of Biozyme T.F. on yield and quality of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum). Ghana J. Agril. Sci., 40:113-117.
- [38]. Ohta K., Taniguchi A., Konishi N., Hosoki T. (1999). Chitosan treatment affects plant growth and flower quality in Eustoma grandiflorum. Hortic. Sci., 34(2): 233-234.
- [39]. Parveen S., Din A., Asghar M., Khan M. R., Nadeem M.(2012). Value addition in strawberry, a tool for long term storage- a review. Pak. J. Food Science., 22:206-208.
- [40]. Pichyangkura R., and Chadchawan S.(2015). Biostimulant activity of chitosan in horticulture. Scientia Hort., 196: 49-65.
- [41]. Pirbalouti A.G., Malekpoor F., Salimi A., Golparvar A. (2017). Exogenous application of chitosan on biochemical and physiological characteristics, phenolic content and antioxidant activity of two species of basil (Ocimum ciliatum and Ocimum basilicum) under reduced irrigation. Scientia Hort., 217, 114-222
- Rahman M.H., Shovan L.R., Hjeljord L.G., Aam B.B., Eijsink V.G. (2014). Inhibition of fungal plant pathogens by synergistic [42]. action of chito-oligosaccharides and commercially available fungicides. PLOS One. 9 (4):258-259
- Ramos-Garcia M., Ortega-Centeno S., Hernandez-Lauzardo A.N., Alia-Tejacal I., Bosquez-Reeta E., Inderdeep K., Bhatnagar A. K. [43]. (2009). Effect of seaweed liquid fertilizer on growth and yield of Lycopersicon esculentum. XX Int. Seaweed Symp. Ensenada Baja California, Mexico., pp. 92.

- [44]. Reeta K., Inderdeep K., Bhatnagar A. K. (2010). Effect of seaweed liquid fertilizer on growth and yield of Lycopersicon esculentum. XX International Seaweed Symposium. Ensenada Baja California, Mexico., p. 92.
- [45]. Roussos P.A., Denaxa N.K., Damvakaris T. (2009). Strawberry fruit quality attributes after application of plant growth stimulating compounds. Scientia Hort., 119: 138-146.
- [46]. Sakif T.I., Dobriansky A., Russell K., Islam T. (2016). Does Chitosan Extend the shelf life of fruits? Advances in Biosci. Biotechnol., 7,337.
- [47]. Seneviratne G., 2009 Collapse of beneficial microbial communities and deterioration of soil health: a cause for reduced crop productivity. Curr. Sci., 96: 633.
- [48]. Shehata S.M., Abdel-Azim H.S., Abou El-Yazied A., El-Gizawy A.M. (2011). Effect of foliar spraying with amino acids and seaweed extract on growth chemical constitutes, yield and its quality of celeriac Plant. Euro. J. Sci. Res., 58 (2): 257-265.
- [49]. Singh G. (2008). Effect of irrigation, foliar fertilization and plant bioregulators on growth, yield and quality of pomegranate cv. G-137. Dr Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, H.P., India, Ph.D. Thesis.
- [50]. Spinelli F., Fiori G., Noferini M., Sprocatti M., Costa G. (2010). A novel type of seaweed extract as a natural alternative to the use of iron chelates in strawberry production. Scientia Hort., 125: 263-269.
- [51]. Taiz L. and Zeiger E. (2006). Plant Physiology. (2nd ed.), Sinauer Associates Publishers, Sunderland., pp. 617-634.
- [52]. Tantasawat P., Wannajindaporn A., Chantawaree C., Wangpunga C., Poomsom K., Sorntip A. (2010). Chitosan stimulates growth of micropropagated Dendrobium plantlets. Acta Hortic., 878: 205-212.
- [53]. Van D.V.F., Tarola A.M., Güemes D., Pirovani M.E. (2013). Bioactive compounds and antioxidant capacity of camarosa and selva strawberries (Fragaria×ananassa Duch). Foods., 2 (2): 120–131.
- [54]. Wanichpongpan P., Suriyachan K., Chandrkrachang S. (2001). Effect of chitosan on the growth of gerbera flower plant (Gerbera jamesonii). In T. Uragami, K. Kurita, T. Fukamizo (Eds.), Chitin and Chitosan in Life Science, Yamaguchi., 198-201.

Kibet,M, et. al. "Biozyme and Chitosan effect on Growth and Yield of 'Chandler' Strawberry (Fragaria X Ananassa Duch.)." *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)*, 15(10), 2022, pp. 39-49.