IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)
e-ISSN: 2278-487X, p-ISSN: 2319-7668. Volume 22, Issue 9. Ser. I (September 2020), PP 65-69
www.iosrjournals.org

Ethical Branding: A Guide for Creating More Ethical Brands

Dr. Rajesh Kumar
Associate professor Commerce Seth Phool Chand Bagla (PG) College Hathras UP

Abstract
Many successful owner-managers and business owners follow similar routines. Although this is a common path for some types of business growth, not all businesses or entrepreneurs follow it. Some of them are closer to the marketing theories taught in standard textbooks, which emphasise empirical evidence and predetermined steps. This conceptualization, however, is meant to demonstrate that marketing is not a foreign concept to a business owner, but rather a classic theory of marketing. On the other hand, they've come to terms with the fact that they can put money into the marketing parts of entrepreneurship, using basic marketing techniques to show off how formidable their business really is. Insufficient resources to lead huge organisations: the inferior conclusion to the more traditional marketing carried out by (for example, Barclays Review, 1997) of corporate marketing not secondary; this is a common theme in the literature on entrepreneurship and small firms. To the contrary, marketing fits well in a business setting.

I. Introduction

Ethics in business and advertising are receiving more attention. Almost every other company issue has also been studied, yet branding has been left out. Extensive literature searching of three internet databases (ABI Inform Global, Ebsco, and Infotrac), three journals, and no scholarly studies of brand ethics was successful (Journal of Business Ethics, Journal of Brand Management and Journal of Product). along with brand management), there are a plethora of resources available.

Labels have been around for over a millennium. The influence of branding is so pervasive in modern culture that it is hard to imagine a time when it was unknown. From production to consumption to one's own identity and way of life to pop culture and politics, brands are everywhere. In today's market, branding is no longer beneficial. Branding promotes and reflects a certain way of life, and that lifestyle eventually becomes the brand's own culture. According to Hazel Kahan (cited in Hall, 1999), consumers' identities, morals, and politics are what corporations should be catering to. True, it's their very essence. The effects of branding extend far beyond traditional advertising channels. Advertising's effects extend beyond the marketplace. Many academics in the fields of marketing and finance have looked into brands as an economic structure. Due to a paucity of scholarly study, brands as a social structure remain elusive. While advertisements grab the most attention, brands are what really drive marketing communications. When there is a problem with advertising, it's usually due to poor brand strategy. Benetton's brilliantly strategic 1990s ads are often cited as an example. However, the effect of branding (without advertising) on brand owners and other stakeholders beyond users, and the connection between branding and firm reputation, are mostly unknown. This paper was written to raise awareness of moral concerns related to corporate identity.

What is ethical branding?

The multiple uses of the word "brand" make it difficult to pin down exactly what it is that you're looking for. According to the American Marketing Association, a brand is "any combination of words, names, symbols, designs, and/or other devices by which a product or service is identified and differentiated from those of other sellers." The more significant a brand is and the more people it is associated with, the more meanings it will have. Owning a brand is typically the defining characteristic of its proprietors. The product's vibrant history and promising future (Kapferer, 1997). Among their consumers, companies have the power to forge the kind of meaningful connections that give rise to iconic symbols. In its most developed form, a brand encompasses not only the goods and services offered by a business, but also the business itself; in this sense, a company's brand is synonymous with its policies (Goodyear, 1996; the Chernatony and McDonald, 2003). The company's brand is no longer its main point of contact with its clientele. To whom and to the public at large, it represents the company. Marketing has a lot of moving parts, and branding isn't simply about giving a product a catchy name. Managing the connections between a company and its constituents and the general public is at the heart of corporate branding. Does branding ethics matter? The solution is intuitively clear. It's a safe bet to assume that most businesses will agree. However, there will be less agreement on a common definition of "ethical branding." In other words, ethics is the study of right and wrong conduct and the use of that knowledge to guide one's behaviour. Defining these concepts might be challenging due to the blurriness between ethics and legitimacy. Cultural diversity, corporate norms, and personal ethics all contribute to a complex picture of ethics.

It evolves as time passes. The field of ethics is incredibly nuanced. The ethics of marketing are not only an element of business ethics but of ethics more generally (Martin, 1985). Prior studies of marketing ethics focused on broad topics like product security, price, advertising, and market research (Laczniak, 1993, Smith, 1995 and Murphy, 1999). Branding is largely ignored. Branding is mentioned in a book on business ethics, while ethics are not discussed in a book on branding (Aaker, 1991, Kapferer, 1997, Keller, 1998, Chernatony and McDonald, 2003). While brands themselves may not be unethical, branding often raises moral questions. Ethical brand marketing is concerned with a set of moral standards that outline what constitutes right and wrong when making marketing choices involving brands. It's important to evaluate products not just economically, but also in terms of ethics.

Ethical branding

Brands with good morals shouldn't be harmful to society. Instead, we should help advance public interest or the public interest. Investigation reveals that these brand objectives may not be without ethical complications. It wouldn't be an issue if a brand just dominated the market; it becomes problematic when that brand is monopolised in an aggressive endeavour to get out of competition, as in Microsoft's case. Anti-competitive practises in the European Union. All human actions must be evaluated morally before they are marked. When fighting tooth and nail for market share, companies often put ethics last on their list of priorities. Contrarily, commercial dominance often correlates with a lack of ethical rigour in branding practises. Take a look at the following scenarios:

Sensitive 5-year-olds are the target audience. Alcoholic soft drink advertising aimed at minors; in a nutshell, disproportionate profits not found in commodity goods. Ads that exaggerate benefits or play on consumers' fears lead to increased spending and complacency (such as alcohol abuse and consumer debt).

Weak assets

Non-brand decisions at the marketing or business level, such as exploitation, animal testing, and labour issues, can also have an impact on brand perception. In the end, the brand manager is the only person in the company who is expected to factor ethical considerations into commercial decisions that potentially impact the company's public perception. Things can move from being a minor occurrence to a massive issue in an instant. Labeled as a loan product. Damage to a company's reputation and brand is inevitable. Bad business practises were to blame for the logo vs. The Prologocam (2001) debate, not the fact that the trademark is neither a trademark nor a logo. "There has been no negative impact on the brand's reputation or the environment.

Companies are guilty, and laws that allow unethical acts are guilty, too; this is not even a representation of unethical business operations."

(Consumer feedback posted anonymously on brandchannel.com on October 29, 2001) argument that branding, rather than crooked management, is to blame for Enron's demise. Most precious asset in your company is also its most susceptible. Brand equity may have been built over many years and many millions of pounds, but it is also extremely vulnerable to damage and can be lost overnight if proper precautions are not taken.

Multiple images of your brand

Owners of brands have the ability to cultivate an enduring, positive perception of their products or services. Images of a brand can be stored in a variety of ways, including those that are favourable, neutral, or negative, depending on the viewer. Take Coca-Cola as an example. The work that everyday residents do to improve their towns and the markets helps keep them vibrant and fresh. According to estimates, it is the most valuable brand in the world by a margin of $689,000,000,000. (Coca-Cola .com). Former Coca-Cola vice president says "after drinking as many people as feasible the amount of Coca-Cola, more money may be accessible to the corporation." Zagman (1992) and Kapferer (2001) Amazing disparities can be seen between horse and behaviour. It's not surprising that Nike and other well-known companies, like McDonald's, have such a large price difference. French Connection, a clothing brand, is another member of the FU word coalition thanks to its property. As many in the marketing industry continue to believe that "ethics is not marketed" and that ethical considerations of this nature fall outside of their purview, it is debatable whether this branding strategy is responsible or not. If former Enron CEO Jeffrey Skilling's predicament (It is true what Jeffery Skilling says: It is the responsibility of the government, not me as a businessman, to ensure the safety of my goods. This is in line with the influential but now-outdated concept that corporate social responsibility promotes earnings (Friedman, 1970) (The Observer, 2002). There could also be discrepancies between the public's perception of the brand and the ideal portrayed in marketing materials. It is impossible for outsiders to evaluate or misunderstand brand marketing that is directed at a certain demographic. This is a one-of-a-kind difficulty. One universal aesthetic cannot work for a brand. In order to attract a specific demographic, a brand may risk alienating or even offending members of other demographics. What makes you think that?

Lack of brand model

For the past two decades, brand managers have ignored the importance of their company's brand in favour of promoting their products. In the fast-moving consumer products industry in particular, this is evident in brand-modeling and -research efforts. In the context of preexisting brand models (Aaker, 1991; Kapferer; 1997; Keller; 1998), there are two distinct categories of audiences: (brand owners and the brand users). From a monetary perspective, brand value is defined and assessed. Although this paradigm is helpful in explaining "brand strength," it has significant limitations. First, trustworthiness and integrity are the bedrocks of a successful brand. The best brands are those that are both reliable and moral. Brand worth, then, needs to be evaluated using both monetary and moral criteria. Second, the current brand models tend to prioritise product branding over company branding. Branding and marketing have far-reaching effects on culture and the individuals who gravitate toward them. There is a wider distribution of employees, suppliers, and the community at large than just shareholders and consumers who may be affected by brand decisions. Sometimes tailoring a brand to please one demographic can backfire and alienate an entirely different set of consumers. These parties' perspectives should also be taken into account when evaluating brand effects. It is claimed that a strong brand adds both monetary and psychological value to its owner and consumer base. Is there a common understanding of the brand and what it represents? Is it true that brand owners' and consumers' interests always take precedence over those of other stakeholders? If we examine brands in a broader social context, should we expect them to serve the public interest by representing universally held human (moral) values? Or is it asking for too much?

Company brands

The primary goal of brand creation is to Profitability and sales volume. Corporate branding's primary objective is the dissemination of the company's guiding principles and the enhancement of the business's standing in the marketplace. Brand equity for corporations is correlated with how various groups of people feel about the company and its products (Larkin, 2003). The organisational setting in which a brand is conceived, created, and administered is inextricably intertwined with the brand itself (Feld wick, 1996). Brand value, business culture, and the organization's mission can all be used as justifications. The most up-to-date style of the company is its own internal brand, and we think that when staff have a firm grasp on the brand, they can provide customers with a positive experience (Ind, 2001; Kunde and Cunningham, 2002). The use of a trademark precludes the possibility of a truly unique selling proposition. It needs to serve as a guiding "organisational concept" for the whole company. The good day is over for employees. They are obligated to "represent the brand in all they do" (Mitchell, 2001). Is there consensus on the brand's meaning between upper management and workers and consumers? The brand's two most vital connections are with the company and its clients, and with the company and its other stakeholders and the public at large. A brand's economic foundation is its ability to deliver on the tangible and intangible benefits promised to its target audience. Similarly, a brand's social foundation should be committed to pillars of virtue like trust, honesty, and integrity. Building and keeping a brand's reputation over time requires trust, just like any other long-term relationship. Companies in the United States and Europe are doomed to collapse in the wake of some of the biggest business scandals in history after trust has been lost or shattered due to dishonest activities. In the same vein as some academics (Vitell and Grove, 1987; Dunfee et al., 1999), marketers should be critical of marketing when it is most susceptible to unethical activity because of its own inherent qualities.

Branding and corporate social responsibility

The terms "corporate social responsibility" (CSR) and "business ethics" are commonly used interchangeably and have similar meanings. Other concepts like firm reputation, corporate image, and business citizenship further muddy the waters here (for a thorough introduction to CSR, see Carroll (1999)). Business ethics necessitates that corporations conduct in accordance with norms or moral systems that they have carefully considered, as argued by Robin and Reidenbach (1987). Morally indifferent or even ethically justifiable actions are not socially acceptable, but actions motivated by a commitment to social responsibility are. Critics CSR is based entirely on secrecy. Many businesses have merely used it for advertising purposes, rather than making any serious effort to alter CSR's relationship with the public (WARC, 2003). CSR that is driven just by the need to mitigate risk is inauthentic, unsustainable, and doomed to failure (Kitchin, 2003). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is a sophisticated pantomime used to avoid public scrutiny or deflect attention away from occupational hazards. When it comes to the inner workings of a corporation, CSR studiously avoids discussing such details. In the past few years, Enron has launched its most extensive CSR presentation to date. In addition to receiving six environmental honours in 2000, Enron was named one of Fortune's 100 Best Companies to Work For in the United States. The policies we have in place concerning climate change, human rights, and the elimination of corruption are solid. The CEO gave a speech at the ethical conference and summarised a value declaration that highlighted "communication, respect, and integrity." When the stock was removed from numerous investment mutual funds, its value dropped (Kelly, 2002). Third wave branding, or cause-related marketing, is another development. The premise of customer relationship management is that loyal customers can be converted into social capital if the company is linked to an issue that is important to them (Dowling, 2001). Due to a lack of expertise and resources, most marketing managers use CRM just when it's convenient for them. In the worst situation, this could end up causing more issues than it solves for your company. Benetton sets an excellent example by backing a wide range of potentially contentious consumers who have little to do with the primary activities. Many factors, including the quality of the product or service provided and the company's track record of customer satisfaction, contribute to the buyer's impression of the company's reputation (Levitt, 1965). The reputation of a firm is tied to the general impression that people have of it based on its public profile, products or services, employees, and achievements and failures in the past and present (Fombrun, 2000). (or incorrect information). According to Keller (1998), consumers who engage in socially responsible image consultations with businesses are made aware of the company's efforts to bolster local communities through funding for arts and social initiatives. Reputation is crucial to the success of any business, and company brands play a significant role in that. As the public persona of a company that has built an enterprise brand, it must reach out to more people than just customers and shareholders. The connection between a company's standing in the market and its financial success is fascinating. Reputation helps boost market share, which in turn boosts market value. The stock price of the company can be explained by the company's reputation for 8-15%, according to a study of long-term equity movements and changes in corporate reputation (Grayser, 1996). In contrast, a company's reputation is shaped by its financial and social track records. Ethical branding and a company's standing in the market go hand in hand. The characteristics of an ethical brand are as follows: honesty, integrity, diversity, quality, respect, responsibility, and responsibility (coca-cola.com). Companies with good reputations benefit from having ethical brands. In turn, this enhances the brand's already solid status. Companies and individual products can both benefit from research into ethical branding. Corporate branding is an integral aspect of PR campaigns for large businesses. Recent high-profile scandals, such as those involving Enron and Anderson Consulting, show that any unethical action is detrimental to or destroys the entire intangible asset. Labels, packaging, and messaging all fall under the umbrella term "branding" when applied to individual products. They may not be able to change the company's image directly, but they may affect how others perceive it. Sponsorship and charitable contributions are two examples of public relations practises that typically fail to sway public opinion unless the firm in question is both dishonest and widely disregarded. Tobacco business sponsorship of academic think tank CRRC is just one such example. Donations and CSR from corporations should not be used as a smokescreen for unethical behaviour. A company's reputation and competitive edge can both benefit from a consistent and well-executed effort to build and maintain an ethical corporate image for the brand.

Are consumers really interested in branding ethics?

However, even if a product is popular or profitable, it may not be ethical (it can be a controversial brand for chainsaws). While an ethical brand can help a business, it can't ensure it will thrive. While moral concerns are common among consumers, they aren't always reflected in consumers' actual purchasing habits. Why do you think moral branding is crucial? The literature appears to be split in two camps, one for proponents and another for detractors of the product. American customers are willing to pay a premium for products made in an ethical manner, according to a recent survey (Cryer and Ross, 1997). It has been found in a study conducted in the United Kingdom that modern consumers are not given credit for their sophistication, and instead show a preference for unethical businesses (Carrigan and Attalla, 2001). Despite having more options and lifestyle shifts available to them, modern consumers are showing signs of decline, according to a new study from the United States. The result of this drop is an increase in the proportion of people who reward dishonest business activities and punish those who are ethical. There are two things you need to ask yourself regarding ethical branding: "Are you interested in your brand?" Also, "Do you bring it to the public's attention?" Although studies have produced mixed results, modern culture does tend to place a greater emphasis on moral concerns. Expectations for public ethical behaviour in regard to a brand increase in direct proportion to the increase in the brand's value over the past two decades. In today's ethically conscious market, people provide ethical considerations to brands they support. As a result, branding becomes more morally sound.

II. Conclusion

Since business is a human endeavour, it, like all other human endeavours, has been and will continue to be judged according to its moral merits (Robin and Reidenbach, 1987). As with every other facet of a business, branding is an integral aspect of the whole. There is still a great deal of ambiguity about whether or not the brand in question is immoral. There is no inherent value or defect in the brand. It's important to remember that marketing in general includes both ethical and unethical brand value and marketing decision making. As a result of a lack of distinguishing features, product and service distinction has all but vanished. Shoppers are cognizant of this fact. Marketing Forum / Consumentenbond research found that consumers have a high level of mistrust and cynicism when it comes to branding. Even though I agree with 78% of customers that "companies are the most brands and want to practise, but the difference is very little," he answers, 76%, many businesses look to the brand as a means to increase their costs (Mitchell, 2001). Both employees and shareholders, who now have a greater understanding of corporate social responsibility, are putting pressure on today's businesses to take measures that boost their official financial performance. Corporate communication is crucial in times of crisis, especially when it comes to issues of ethics and social responsibility, as these can have a significant impact on a company's reputation—an intangible asset of great value. The importance of ethical business branding in PR campaigns has grown. A company's corporate branding should have a set of values that represent the company's aim for making the world a better place (the Chernatony and McDonald, 2003). This principled brand positioning might gain an edge in the market. It also has the potential to assist consumers get over their natural cynicism and distrust of brand communication. The field of ethical branding is quite new, and there are a lot of difficult questions that need to be answered. There are essentially two groups into which these issues might be classified. Name-calling, rebranding, targeting, and segmentation are the first ethical challenges of brand management. The brand's fame stems from the numerous testimonials from satisfied customers and proud proprietors (Ambler, 1997). The question, "what is ethical branding?" should be posed as part of future studies. What characteristics set ethical branding apart from unethical branding? Which steps may businesses take to build and promote trustworthy brands? Do consumers consider ethical branding when making purchases? Second, there is a philosophical inquiry into the brand-society link that must be made. Is maximising stockholder value the primary goal of principal property branding? What role does branding play in society? What results and repercussions might we anticipate? If brands were to embody human ideals, would they be successful? What does this have to do with corporate social responsibility?

References

[1]. https://visme.co/blog/ethical-branding/
[2]. dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/844931
[3]. sparkandbloomstudio.com/journal/2020/11/05what-is-ethical-branding