EpiploicAppendagitis: A Rare Case Report

^{*1}Dr. Neel Shah FRCS Senior consultant, ²Dr.Rahul Patel MS, ³Dr. Kalpitgoriwal DNB

Department of Minimal Access SurgeryIndraprastha Apollo Hospital, New Delhi India. Corresponding Author: Dr. Rahul Patel

I. Introduction

Epiploic appendagitis, also known as appendicitis epiploica, hemorrhagic epiploitis, epiplopericolitis, or appendagitis, is a benign and self-limited condition of the epiploic appendages. Epiploic appendages [EA] are small outpouchings of fat-filled, serosa-covered structures present on the external surface of the colon projecting into the peritoneal cavity. Each appendage encloses small branches of the circular artery and vein that supply the corresponding segment of the colon. Subserosal lymphatic channels either terminate in a lymph node within an appendage or loop through its base en route to mesenteric nodes. They have three morphologically different types: stalked appendices and others that are attached with their base in longitudinal and vertical direction to the colon axis. Although they are located throughout the colonic wall, occasionally they have also been found in the small bowel and in the parietal peritoneum. Their function is still controversial, they are presumed to serve a protective and defensive mechanism similar to that offered by the greater omentum and may have a role in colonic absorption. They may also act as a cushion, protecting colonic blood supply during peristalsis. Although little has been written about epiploic disorders (inflammation, torsion, necrosis, etc.) Inaccurate diagnosis can lead to unnecessary hospitalizations, antibiotic therapy, and surgical intervention. They occur more frequently than expected. Thus, they should be kept in mind.

II. Case Report

A 41-year-old female was admitted to the Indraprastha Apollo Hospital New Delhi, complaining of severe colicky pain in the left lower quadrant on and off in nature for the last 2 days. Her past medical history was unrewarding except for controlled hypothyroidism. At physical examination, the patient was tachycardic (110 beats per minute), and exquisite tenderness and rebound were found in the left lower quadrant. White blood cell count was 12,600, and the rest of the laboratory tests were within normal limits. Abdominal X-rays showed a dilated sigmoid colon, and a CT scan suggestive of epiploicappendagitis.



III. Surgery



An emergency diagnostic laparoscopic procedure was performed under general anesthesia. After establishing the pneumoperitoneum, a 10-mm 0° laparoscope was introduced through the umbilicus. The peritoneal cavity exploration revealed adhesions inflamed left sided epiploic appendages adhere to uterus and pelvic wall present and scanty yellowish exudate in the left pelvic area. Two additional 5-mm ports were placed in the right lower quadrant and in the right flank, and intestinal forceps were used to manipulate the bowel gently, adhesions were released and excision of inflamed epiploic appendages were done, Its pedicle was cauterized using a bipolar electrocautery, Excised specimen was sent for histopathological examination which subsequently confirmed the diagnosis of epiploic appendagitis. The following morning, the patient passed flatus and tolerated a liquid diet followed by soft and normal diet. She was discharged 48 hours later and remains asymptomatic.

IV. Discussion

Epiploic appendages [EA] First anatomically described in 1543 by Vesalius, they were not given any surgical significance until 1853 when Virchow suggested that their detachment might be a source of free intraperitoneal bodies, The term Epiploic appendagitis was introduced by Lynn et al. in 1956 and describes an uncommon diagnosis which is associated with rapid onset of localized left or right lower quadrant pain. Due to the lack of pathognomonic clinical features, the diagnosis is difficult, Acute inflammation, spontaneous torsion, fat necrosis, infarction, and calcification occur in the epiploic appendages, as well as enlargement due to lipomas, malignant tumors (including metastasis), and incarceration in hernias. The incidence of torsion and necrosis is almost impossible to estimate. Aronsky et al reported that abdominal fat tissue necrosis (including the omentum) occurs in 1.1% of patients with abdominal pain. Some authors have found the disease to be more common during the 4th and 5th decades of life, while others cannot find a preferred age group. A slight male preponderance has been described in a review by Carmichael and Organ. Primary epiploic appendagitis with subsequent necrosis is caused by torsion with compromise of its blood supply or by venous thrombosis of its draining system. It tends to occur in the sigmoid colon in more than 40% of the cases. Factors such as obesity and a narrow epiploic appendix base have been implicated in the etiology of torsion, whereas exertion has been related to events of venous thrombosis. Epiploic appendagitis has no specific manifestations. Focal abdominal pain is the most important symptom, and, depending on the localization of the affected appendage, the clinical picture might resemble that of colonic diverticulitis, acute appendicitis, a gynecological disorder, or even acute cholecystitis. As Shvetzov states referring to the torsion of an EA, "It occurs under the mask of other emergencies." Abdulzhavadov describes "two new characteristic symptoms of this disease": 1) pain appearing or intensifying when the abdomen is thrust forward and in mild tapping on the healthy side of the anterior abdominal wall with the fingertips, and 2) intensification of pain when the skin fold on the abdomen is pulled upward. This, of course, needs to be confirmed by others. Although pain is acute in most cases, Chatziioannou et al have reported a patient with abdominal pain of three weeks' duration that was caused by a necrotic EA. In the majority of patients, there are no other significant signs or symptoms, although nausea, vomiting, fever and a palpable mass have been mentioned frequently. Asymptomatic infarctions are sometime found incidentally as loose bodies at laparotomy performed for other reasons. Epiploic appendagitis has been, until recently, exceptionally diagnosed before laparotomy due to the fact that the clinical picture is non-specific and confusing. However, Shvetsov et al claim they have been able to diagnose two-thirds of their patients on clinical findings.

Laboratory tests are also non-specific and may reveal only a mild increase in the white blood cell count and rarely a shift to the left.

V. Conclusion

Epiploic appendagitis is a surgical diagnosis with clinical features that may guide the surgeon to the right pre-operative diagnosis. In patients with localized, sharp, acute abdominal pain which is not associated with other symptoms like nausea, vomiting, fever or typical abdominal laboratory values, the diagnosis of EA should be considered as a rare differential diagnosis to sigmoid diverticulitis and appendicitis. Although infrequent until now, with the increase of primary abdominal CT scans and ultrasound, which have become standard diagnostic imaging tools, EA will be diagnosed more frequently in the future. This study describes the clinical features and management of EA as a possible guide to the surgeon for the correct diagnosis& management of this rare disease.

References

- [1]. Carmichael DH, Organ CH., Jr Epiploic disorders. Arch Surg. 1985;120:1167-1172 [PubMed]
- [2]. Hansen HH, Heine H. Blood supply and histophysiology of the appendices epiploicae. Lagenbecks Arch Chir. 1976;340:191-197 [PubMed]
- [3]. Mittal VK, Pierce AK, Priestley JC, et al. Infarcted small-bowel appendiceepiploica: a cause of acute abdomen. Am J ProctolGastroenterol Colon Rect Surg. 1981;32:23–24 [PubMed]
- [4]. Bundred NJ, Clason A, Eremin O. Torsion of an appendix epiploica of the small bowel. Br J ClinPract. 1986;40:387. [PubMed]
- [5]. Polukhin SI, Letemin GG, Povorozniuk VS. Torsion of epiploic appendages of the parietal peritoneum. VestnKhirIm II Grek. 1990;145:50 [PubMed]
- [6]. Rioux M, Langis P. Primary epiploic appendagitis: clinical, US, and CT findings in 14 cases. Radiology. 1994;191:523-526 [PubMed]
- [7]. Molla E, Ripolles T, Martinez MJ, Morote V, Rosello-Sastre E. Primary epiploic appendagitis: US and CT findings. EurRadiol. 1998;8:435–438 [PubMed]
- [8]. Ghahremani GG, White EM, Hoff FL, Gore RM, Miller JW, Christ ML. Appendices epiploicae of the colon: radiologic and pathologic features. Radiographics. 1992;12:59–77 [PubMed]
- [9]. Metrevili VV, Gondzhilashvili GV, Kuzanov EI, Chkhikvadze TF. Acute diseases of the appendices epiploicae. Khirurgiia (Mosk). 1989;(4):99–101 [PubMed]
- [10]. Ramdial PK, Singh B. Membranous fat necrosis in appendices epiploicae. A clinicopathological study. Virchows Arch. 1998;432:223– 227 [PubMed]
- [11]. Vesalius A. De humaniscorporisfabricalibriseptem [Title page: AndreaeVesaliiBruxellensis, scholaemedicorumPatauinaeprofessoris De humanicorporisfabricalibriseptem] Basileae [Basel, Switzerland]: Ex officinaJoannisOporini. p. 1543.
- [12]. Vinson DR. Epiploic appendagitis: a new diagnosis for the emergency physician. Two case reports and a review. J Emerg Med. 1999;17:827–32. doi: 10.1016/S0736-4679(99)00090-6. [PubMed][Cross Ref]
- [13]. Lynn TE, Dockerty MB, Waugh JM. A clinicopathologic study of the epiploic appendages. SurgGynecol Obstet. 1956;103:423–33. [PubMed]
- [14]. Habib FA, McAleese P, Kolachalam RB. Laparoscopic approach to the management of incarcerated hernia of appendices epiploicae. SurgLaparoscEndosc. 1998;8:425–428 [PubMed]
- [15]. Aronsky D, Z'graggen, Banz M, Klaiber C. Abdominal fat tissue necrosis as a cause of acute abdominal pain. Laparoscopic diagnosis and therapy. SurgEndosc. 1997;11:737–740 [PubMed]
- [16]. Fieber SS, Forman J. Appendicesepiploicae: clinical and pathological considerations. Arch Surg. 1953;66:329-338 [PubMed]
- [17]. Pines B, Rabinovitch J, Biller SB. Primary torsion and infarction of the appendices epiploicae. Arch Surg. 1941;42:775–787
- [18]. Mazza D, Fabiani P, Casaccia M, Baldini E, Gugenheim J, Mouiel J. A rare laparoscopic diagnosis in acute abdominal pain: torsion of epiploic appendix. SurgLaparoscEndosc. 1997;7:456–458 [PubMed]
- [19]. Vlahakis E. Torsion of an appendix epiploica of the ascending colon. Med J Aust. 1973;2:1148–1149[PubMed]
- [20]. Brady SC, Kliman MR. Torsion of the greater omentum or appendices epiploicae. Can J Surg. 1979;22:79-82 [PubMed]
- [21]. Shvetsov SK, Bol'shakov IA. Torsion of the colonic epiploic appendages. Khirurgiia (Mosk). 1992;2:76–80 [PubMed]
- [22]. Shamblin JR, Payne CL, Soileau MK. Infarction of an epiploic appendix. South Med J. 1986;79:374–375 [PubMed]
- [23]. McGeer PL, McKenzie AD. Strangulation of the appendix epiploica: a series of 11 cases. Can J Surg. 1960;3:252-258
- [24]. Rao PM, Rhea JT, Wittemberg J, Warshaw AL. Misdiagnosis of primary epiploic appendagitis. Am J Surg. 1998;176:81-85 [PubMed]
- [25] Lambre H, Manzur R, Oxenghendler G, et al. Computed tomography of acute primary epiploic appendicitis. ActaGastroenterolLatinoam. 1998;28:337–338 [PubMed]
- [26]. Shirokikh VV. Torsion of an epiploic appendage of the trans-verse colon simulating acute cholecystitis. VestnKhir. 1972;109:102– 103 [PubMed]
- [27]. Abdulzhavadov IM. The symptoms of diseases of the epiploic appendages of the large intestine. Khirurgiia (Mosk). 1992. February;(2):80-83 [PubMed]
- [28]. Chatziioannou AN, Asimacopoulos PJ, Malone RS, Pneumaticos SG, Safi HJ. Torsion, necrosis, and inflammation of an epiploic appendix of the large bowel: a diagnostic and therapeutic dilemma. South Med J. 1995;88:662–663 [PubMed]
- [29]. Levret N, Mokred K, Quevedo E, Barret F, Pouliquen X. Primary epiploic appendicitis. J Radiol. 1998;79:667-671 [PubMed]
- [30]. Diaco JF, Diaco DS, Brannan AN. Endoscopic removal of an infarcted appendix epiploica. J Laparoendosc Surg. 1993;3:149–151 [PubMed]
- [31]. Cueto J, Diaz O, Garteiz D, Rodríguez M, Weber A. The efficacy of laparoscopic surgery in the diagnosis and treatment of peritonitis. Experience with 107 cases in Mexico City. SurgEndosc. 1997;11:366–370 [PubMed]
- [32]. Memon MA, Fitzgibbons RJ., Jr The role of minimal access surgery in the acute abdomen. SurgClin North Am. 1997,77:1333– 1353 [PubMed]
- [33]. Chung RS, Diaz JJ, Chari V. Efficacy of routine laparoscopy for the acute abdomen. SurgEndosc. 1998;12:219–222 [PubMed]
- [34]. Salky BA, Edye MB. The role of laparoscopy in the diagnosis and treatment of abdominal pain syndromes. SurgEndosc. 1998;12:911– 914 [PubMed]
- [35]. Navez B, Tassetti V, Scohy JJ, et al. Laparoscopic management of acute peritonitis. Br J Surg. 1998;85:32-36 [PubMed]

- [36]. Cuesta MA, Eijsbouts QA, Gordijn RV, Borgstein PJ, de Jong D. Diagnostic laparoscopy in patients with an acute abdomen of uncertain etiology. SurgEndosc. 1988;12:915-917 [PubMed]
- [37]. Saeian K, Reddy KR. Diagnostic laparoscopy: an update. Endoscopy. 1999;31:103-109 [PubMed]
- [38]. Bruch HP, Schiedeck T. Abdominal pain of uncertain origin-value of laparoscopy. "If in doubt, carry it out." Chirurg. 1997;68:12-16 [PubMed]
- [39]. Rao PM, Wittenberg J, Lawrason JN. Epiploic appendagitis: imaging evolution at CT. Radiology. 1997;204:713–717 [PubMed]
- [40]. terMeulen PH, Prakken WJ, Ooms HW. Epiploic appendicitis. Ned TijdschrGeneeskd. 1999;143:159–161 [PubMed]
 [41]. Romaniuk CS, Simpkins KC. Case report: pericolic abscess secondary to torsion of an appendix epiploica. ClinRadiol. 1993;47:216– 217 [PubMed]
- [42]. Silva PD, Ripple J. Laparoscopic diagnosis and treatment of an infarcted epiploic appendage. J Am AssocGynecolLaparosc. 1996;3:325–327 [PubMed]
- [43]. Caironi C, Re S, Zanaboni M, Perucci C. A case of massive hemoperitoneum caused by spontaneous detachment of epiploic appendices. Minerva Chir. 1980;35:267-270 [PubMed]

Dr. Neel Shah FRCS Senior consultant "EpiploicAppendagitis: A Rare Case Report"." IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 17, no. 01, 2018, pp. 34-37.

DOI: 10.9790/0853-1701013437
