# FoetalOutcomes in Women with Pregnancy Related Acute Kidney Injuryin a Referral Facility in Kenya

Kivai JM<sup>a</sup>\*, Kayima JK<sup>b</sup>, Were AO<sup>c</sup>, Qureshi Z<sup>d</sup>.

a, Department of Clinical Medicine & Therapeutics, University of Nairobi

b. Department of Clinical Medicine & Therapeutics, University of Nairobi

 $c. \ Department \ of \ Clinical \ Medicine \ \& \ The rapeutics, \ University \ of \ Nairobi$ 

d. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Nairobi

\*Corresponding Author: Dr Jayne M Kivai, Department of Clinical Medicine & Therapeutics, University of Nairobi. P.O Box: 4766-00506, Nairobi, Kenya.

## Abstract

Background: Pregnancy related acute kidney injury (PRAKI) is an obstetric complication, which can occur at any stage of pregnancy and in postpartum, often associated with significant adverse foetaloutcomes.

Objective: To determine foetal outcomes among patients with PRAKI at Kenyatta National Hospital (KNH), Kenya.

Methods: We carried out a descriptive study on pregnant women with gestation age equal or above 28 weeks and postpartum women within six weeks after deliverywho were admitted in labour ward or the post-natal wards at KNH. Study commenced following approval by the KNH- University of Nairobi Ethics and Research Committee. Data was obtained from both the patient and the medical records. Participants were followed up until discharge orfor a maximum of two weeks. Patient management was at the discretion of attending clinician. Results: ATotalof 66participants with a mean age of 28years and peak age between 26-30 years were enrolled into the study out of 2068 admissions. The prevalence of PRAKI was 3.2%. Sixty (91%) participants gave birth during the follow-up period and sixremained pregnant pastthe two weeks after enrollment. Average gestation age at delivery was 35 weeks. Life infant were 43(71.7%) and fresh still births were 17(28.3%). Preterm births were the majority33(55%),of whom 23were life infants and 10 were fresh still births.Comparing between participants and women without PRAKI, the ratio of fresh still births was 1:4 while the ratio of fresh still births among women without PRAKI was 1:23deliveries.

Conclusion: We demonstrate anaverage gestation age of 35 weeks and a six (6) fold increase in fresh still births among women with pregnancy related acute kidney injury at KNH.

Key Words: PRAKI, KNH, Gestation age, Fresh still births, Kenya

Date of Submission: 07-11-2019 Date of Acceptance: 23-11-2019

# I. Introduction

Pregnancy related acute kidney injury (PRAKI) is a rare obstetric complication that is poorly understood.PRAKI is characterized by rapid deterioration of renal functions within hoursto days, in pregnancy or in the postpartum period, in women with previously healthykidneys. It is often associated with significant foetal morbidity and mortality (1-10).

There is greatdiversity in the incidence of PRAKI and great variation in foetal outcomes across socioeconomic boundaries. The variability is also seen in different patient demographics and in the availability of healthcare services (3-5).

In the 1960s, PRAKI used to contribute 20-40% of all AKI in the general population. The incidence dropped to less than 3% Worldwide following reduction of septic abortions and improvement in perinatal care in the 1980's. In the developed world, PRAKI contributes 0-1% of all the AKI in the general population and had decreased from 1:3000 to 1:20000 pregnancies. However, recent studies in the United States and Canada demonstrate a paradoxical rise in the incidence of PRAKI, a public health concern. In the United States, the incidence rose from 2.3 to 4.5 /10000 deliveries between 1998 and 2008, in Canada from 1.6to2.7/10000 between 2003and 2010. The temporal rise in the incidence of PRAKI is presented with increase in lifestyle diseases related to obesity such as diabetes mellitus and hypertensionand rising maternal age.

The rise in maternal age is attributed to advances in reproductive services and probably due to improved education of girls (4, 7, 8).Unfortunately, in the developing World, the incidence of PRAKI has remained high between 5-15% and contributes 5-20% of all AKI in the general population (1-12,15-18).

The aetiologies of PRAKI include prerenal, renaland post renal causes, like those in the general population.

It has been observed that, approximately 75% of all cases of PRAKI are related to hypertensive disorders of pregnancy(preeclampsia, eclampsia, hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, low platelets (HELLP) syndrome, and thrombotic microangiopathies) and occur in third trimester and in the post-partum period(6-15,19).

Specific obstetric causes of PRAKI include obstetric hemorrhages, ureteral and bladder outlet obstruction, iatrogenic injuries of bladder and/or theureter andpuerperal sepsis. Maternal characteristics such as, multifetal gestation and multiparty may also increase the riskandcontribute towards development of PRAKI (1-4,6,14).

Owing to the anatomic and physiologic adaptations during pregnancy, PRAKI lacks international consensus for definitionand diagnosis. Hence, diagnosis is based on combination of both clinical features and laboratory findings for the estimation of glomerular filtration rate(3,4, 6,12,19).

Pregnancy related acute kidney injury impacts negatively on foetal outcome regardless of the primary cause the AKI.

## 1.1 Foetaloutcome in patients with PRAKI

An opinion piece in the Lancet, published in 1975 stated:

'Children of women with renal disease used to be born dangerously or not at all'(14).

This is a statement that emphasized the impact of renal disease on the foetal outcome in women with kidneyinjury during pregnancy. Neonatal mortality isnotably highin women with acute kidney injuryduring pregnancy according to Liu etal, (11) and Yuehong et at, (17) and the odds of perinatal mortality in women with PRAKI increases 3.4 fold compared to pregnancies in women without PRAKI (19). PRAKI is also associated increase inother poorfoetal outcomes such as premature births, small for gestational ageand intrauterine growth restriction (2-9)

The actual factors responsible for the increase in foetal morbidity and mortality in PRAKI are not clear (1-4,7,18-19). However, theaetiology of foetal morbidity and mortality could be related to the primary cause ofmaternal acute kidney injuryor directly related touremia or both. Pyelonephritis is associated with increased risk of preterm deliveries whereas premature delivery of foetusissometimes indicated in women with preeclampsia,in which case,the delivery of the placenta is therapeutic. The need for such early deliveries to save the mother may compromise foetal wellbeing(2-11,19-20).Prenatal blood pressure  $\geq 160/110$  mmHg and uric acid above 363µmols/l, common in preeclamptic women with PRAKIare independent risk factors for poor fetal outcomes (17-19). Bilateral compression of the ureters by the gravid uterus is a rare cause of PRAKI and is also associated with high foetal morbidity especially in multifetal gestation (20).

Foetal outcomes vary widely across socioeconomic settings (1-4,7,9,15,19-20). Studies in developing countries such as India and Malawi demonstrate high perinatal mortality ranging from 10-40% in women with PRAKI (8, 9, 16,19), while in developed countries like Canada as demonstrated in a study by Hildebrand et al (4), perinatal morbidity such as preterm deliveries, low birth weight and small for gestation was common at 35.6% in women with PRAKI requiring dialysis. However, in the same study, perinatal mortality was less than 2.7% in women with PRAKI compared with 0.8% in the general population (4).

We have no local publications on foetal outcome in patients with PRAKI in Kenya. Therefore, in this study we desired to find out what were the foetal outcomes in patients with PRAKIat K.N.H inKenya.

# II. Materials And Methods

2.1 Study design: The design was a descriptive study

2.2 Study site: The study was carried out in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, in Labour ward and Post-natal wards of KNH.

**2.3** *Study population*: The target population consisted of pregnant women with gestation age equal to or above 28 weeks and postpartum women within six weeks after delivery admitted to the Labour ward or the post-natal wards of K.N.H.

**2.4 Inclusion criteria**: Pregnant women with gestation of  $\geq 28$  weeks and postpartum women within six weeks after delivery with a diagnosis of acute kidney injury or with deranged serum creatinine fulfilling the operational definition of PRAKI in any of the stated wards willing to sign consent to enrollin the study.

**2.5** *Exclusion criteria: Women* with chronic kidney disease, or pregnant < 28 weeks or in postpartum >six weeks.

2.6 Sampling method: Consecutive patient sampling

**2.7** *Ethical considerations:* The study commenced upon approval by the Ethics and Research Committee of KNH and University of Nairobi.Approval number: P635/11/2017

2.8Diagnosis of PRAKI: Given the limitations in estimation of glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in pregnancy due to the physiologic adaptations and challenges in the use of 24-hour urine for creatinine clearance, rise in serum creatinine was used for estimation of GFR in this study. Hence PRAKI was defined using Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) creatinine Criteria as increase of serum creatinine by  $\geq 26.5 \mu mols/L$  within 48 hours, or a similar rise in creatinine above upper limit of normal of the reference laboratory or increase in serum creatinine 1.5 times of the baseline within seven (7) days , assuming a normal GFR of 75mls/minute per 1.73m<sup>2</sup>.

Renal function tests are not part of the routine antenatal profile, so the patients did not have their pre pregnancy or early pregnancy baseline serum creatinine.

**2.9** *Clinical methods*: The patient management was at the discretion of attending clinician. The principal investigator or the research assistant screened patients for deranged kidney function tests in the respective wards by looking through the file for laboratory results and applying the operational criteria for definition of PRAKI.Patients withresults thatfitted operational criteria for PRAKI were approachedand introduced to the study. The study requirements were verbally introduced to each patient,by the principal investigator or the research assistant and permission was sought to access and extract information from the patient's medical file. Participants were informed that, benefits of participation would include sharing the interpretation of results with the patient and the primary healthcare provider for relevant intervention, that no risks, and that, participation in the study was voluntary.Those who consented to enroll into the study were assigned a study serial number placed on their medical record file for easy access.The medical records of each participant were then assessed, and verbalinterviews were used for any necessary additional information. Follow up was until discharge or a maximum of two weeks which ever came first.

Captured baseline data included both demographic and clinical profile such as age, residence, maritaland referralstatus, presentingcomplaint, past medical and obstetric history, obstetric and non-obstetric diagnosis and laboratoryresults such as full hemogram and kidney function tests.

The outcomes documented included both pregnancy and foetaloutcomes. Pregnancy outcomes were: delivered or pregnancy on- going after two weeks of enrollment or until discharge, which ever came first. Mode of delivery as spontaneous vertex delivery or caesarian section. Foetaloutcomes included gestation age at birth andstatus at birth. Delivery before 37 completed weeks was defined aspremature birth. Status at birth was either life infant or fresh still birth.

**2.9 Data processing and analysis:** Raw data was screened, coded and entered into a password protected Computer. Statistical analysis was performed in Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0 by a Statistician. Data were summarized into descriptive statistics, where, continuous variables were described in means or median and categorical variables were summarized into frequencies. Other results were presented in tables.

# III. Results

Sixty-six(3.2%) participants were enrolled into the study out of 2068 admissions. They were young women with mean ageof 28 (SD5.9) years, peak age, 26-30 years (34.8%) and age range of 15 to 44 years.

N=66			
Age in years	n	%	
Below 20	6	9.1	
21 – 25	18	27.3	
26-30	23	34.8	
31-35	11	16.7	
Above 35	8	12.1	

 Table 1 Age distribution among participants with PRAKI

Sixty pregnancies were delivered, 39(65%) through spontaneous vertex delivery and 21(35%) by caesariansection. The average gestation was 35 weeks. 33 (55%) were preterm infants. Life 43(71.7%) were life infants and fresh still births were 17(28.3%).

Status of foetusat birth (N=60)				
Age at birth	Life infants n= 43	Fresh still births n=17	Total %	
Preterm births < 37 weeks	23	10	33 (55.0)	
Termbirths >37 weeks	20	7	27 (45.0)	
Total	43 (71.7)	17(28.3%)	60 (100)	

## IV. Discussion

The incidence of PRAKI remains high in developing regions of the world and is associated with significant foetal morbidity and mortality. In this study ,66 participants were enrolled out of 2068 admissions in the labour ward and the postnatal wards. We demonstrate a prevalence of PRAKI of 3.2%. This prevalence was higher than a prevalence of 1.8% reported in India by Prakash et al, 2010 (7) and less than 8.1% in Malawi(10). These differences in prevalence between developing countries may be related to differences in risk factor profiles, patient selection challenges in the definition of PRAKI.

Pregnancy related acute kidney injury is associated with increased perinatal morbidity such as premature deliveries and intrauterine growth restriction and increased mortality (1-7,11,13-18). The cause of which is related to the maternal factors leading to PRAKI and because of uremia (3-7,19-20).

To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study to assess foetal outcomes in women with pregnancy related acute kidney injury in Kenya.

We demonstrate a mean gestational ageof 35 weeks at delivery. The majority, 33 (55%)were pretermbirths born before 37 completed weeks.Fresh still birthswere 17(28.3%) out of whom 10 were prematurebirths.The rateof premature births in our study was higher than in Canada whereprematurity was 32.5% premature infants but with no stillbirths(4).Our findings were different from those from a study in India, where the rate of prematurity was much higher as reported by Mahesh et al, (16) with 89% preterm infants and 26% fresh still births.

Foetalmortality was notably high among participants in our study owing tovarious maternalcomplications including PRAKI. The number 17(28.3%) of fresh still births werehigher than11.7% reported in Morocco by Kibbali et al (7) and lower than 41% reported in a study in Indiaby Munna et al (9). The overall foetal mortality was comparatively higher than that reported in developed countries like Canada where there were no fresh still births and neonatal deaths were less than 2.7% (4). These differences in foetal outcome may be dueto differences in maternal risk factor profile for PRAKI and socioeconomic differences in perinatal care.

The ratio of still births among the participants was 1:4 and the ratio of still births among patients without PRAKI in the same setting was 1:23.

We thereforedemonstrate that, PRAKI was associated with six (6) fold increase in fresh still births. The 6-fold increase in fresh still births in our study was higher than reported from a meta-analysis by Liu etal, 2015(11) where PRAKI was documented to cause a 3.9-fold increase in fresh still births. The high rate of fresh still births in our setting may be due to late referrals, high patient turnover and strained human resources within the facility. These findings may as well reflect differences in antenatal and perinatal care practices and the challenges in handling non -obstetric emergencies like acute kidney injury in resource strained setting.

#### V. Conclusion

We demonstrate average gestation age of 35 weeks at delivery and a six (6) fold increase in fresh still births among women with pregnancy related acute kidney injury at Kenyatta National Hospital.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest

#### References

- [1]. Van Hook JW. Acute kidney injury during pregnancy. Clin. Obstet Gynaecol.2014 Dec;57 (4):851-61.
- [2]. Chunhong H,Shanying C. Acute kidney injury during pregnancy and puerperium, BMC Nephrology.2017 May1;18:146.
- [3]. Machado S, Nuno F, Andreia B, Maria S, Luís F, Paulo M, et al. Acute kidney injury in pregnancy: A clinical challenge. J Nephrol. 2012 Feb; 25(01): 19- 30.
- [4]. Hildebrand AM, Liu K, Shariff SZ, Ray JG, Sontrop JM, Clark WF, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of AKI treated with Dialysis during pregnancy and the postpartum period. J Am Soc Nephrol.2015 Dec; 26(12):3085-91.
- [5]. Prakash J. and Ganiger C. Acute Kidney injury in pregnancy specific disorders. Indian J Nephrol.2017;27 (4):258-270.
- [6]. Katherine L, Cheung, Richard A.L. Renal physiology of pregnancy. Adv Chronic kidney Dis.2013 May;20(3):209-214.
- [7]. Kabbali N, Tachfouti N, Arrayhani M, Harandou M, Tagnaouti M, Bantata Y, et al. Outcome assessment of pregnancy-related acute kidney injury in Morocco: A national prospective study. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl. 2015 May20;26 (3):619-24.
- [8]. Prakash J, Kumar H, Sinha DK, Kedalaya PG, Pandey LK, Srivastava PK et al. Acute renal failure in pregnancy in a developing country: twenty years of experience. Ren. Fail. 2006; 28: 309–313
- [9]. Munna LP, Rekha S, Radheshyam, Pushpalata S. Acute renal failure in pregnancy: Tertiary centre experience from north India. Niger Med. J .2013:53(3);191-195.
- [10]. Cooke WR, Hemmila UK, Craik A, Mandula CJ, Mvula P, Msusa A, Dreyer G, Evans R. Incidence, aetiology and outcomes of obstetric-related acute kidney injury in Malawi: a prospective observational study. BMC Nephrol2018 Feb 2; 19: 25
- [11]. Liu YM, Bao HD, Jiang ZZ, Huang YJ, Wang NS. Pregnancy-related Acute Kidney Injury and a Review of the Literature in China. Intern Med; 2015 Jul; 54(14):1695-703.
- [12]. Chawla LS, Kimmel PL. Acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease: an integrated clinical syndrome. Kidney Int. 2012; 82:516–24.

- [13]. Coca SG, Singanamala S, Parikh CR. Chronic kidney disease after acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Kidney Int. 2012; 81:442–8.
- [14]. Pregnancy and renal disease. Lancet 1975;2(7939):801-2.
- [15]. Sibai B, Villar M, Mabie B. Acute renal failure in hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Pregnancy outcome and remote prognosis in thirty-one w consecutivecases. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1990; 162:777–83
- [16]. Mahesh E, Puri S., Varma V. Pregnancy-related acute kidney injury: an analysis of 165 cases. Indian J Nephrol. 2017; 27:113–117
- [17]. YuehongL, Wei W, Yujuan W, and Qi C. Risk factors and Maternal Renal complications in Pregnancy with Preexisting Chronic glomerulonephritis Med Sci Monit.2018,24:1008-1016
- [18]. Syed R., Faisal I, Mah J, Zumar S, Sabin N, Amtul Z, etal. Characteristics and Outcome of Obstetric Acute Kidney Injury in Pakistan: A Single-center Prospective Observational Study. Cerus 2018,10(9): e3362
- [19]. Jena M and Mitch W. Rapidly reversible acute renal failure from ureteral obstruction in pregnancy. Am J Kidney Dis. 1996:28:457-460
- [20]. Swati R and Belinda J. Acute Kidney Injury in Pregnancy: The Changing Land scape for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Kidney Int Rep.2018;3(2):247-257

Dr Jayne M Kivai. "FoetalOutcomes in Women with Pregnancy Related Acute Kidney Injuryin a Referral Facility in Kenya." IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS), vol. 18, no. 11, 2019, pp 52-56.

DOI: 10.9790/0853-1811095256