IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-JESTFT)
e-ISSN: 2319-2402,p- ISSN: 2319-2399.Volume 16, Issue 4 Ser. I (April 2022), PP 64-67
www.iosrjournals.org

A Study Based On Climate Change Impacts on Birds Species

GEETIKA
Associate Professor (Zoology)
S.P.N.K.S. Govt (PG) College, Dausa Rajasthan.

Abstract - *As a result of climate change, it has been discovered that the distribution, abundance, and life cycles of bird species are changing, which alters biodiversity and community compositions that can be quantified, for instance, by climate change indicators. There are numerous instances when the distributional ranges of species have shifted, with the abundance of some species decreasing as they get closer to their latitudinal or altitudinal boundaries. Phenology, genetics, and population sizes, however, have also been hypothesized to be impacted by climate change. Even though there is only recent evidence for localized climate-driven extinction events for birds, climate change is one of the major risk factors that could lead to the extinction of all bird species. It has been shown that climate change poses a threat, particularly to cold-adapted, restricted-range, and slowly adapting species. This risk factor coexists with population consequences and changes in land use (and occasionally conflicts with them). However, changes in land-use patterns brought about by climate change mitigation methods are also putting indirect pressure on widespread generalist species under more and more strain. Even while niche conservatism has been demonstrated in numerous instances, organisms are adjusting to climate change and altering the multidimensional niches in which they dwell. Range restrictions in the north and south do not alter at the same rate, and birds do not effectively monitor climate change.*
As a result, altered migration distance and phenology have been observed, and phenotypic adaptation has been proposed as the likely cause. In some cases, however, it appears that a particular bird species' response to climatic change is influenced by genetic predisposition and/or microevolution. There is widespread consensus that communities will alter as a result of climate change, and that various species will experience distinct selection pressures. For example, the extent of the anticipated changes varies widely across research due to the intrinsic unreliability of climate change forecasts. The niche spaces occupied by many species, nevertheless, are likely to change in the future. These modifications may improve or worsen the chances of the relevant species or communities surviving, as well as the effectiveness of bird protection, which should be modified to take climate change into consideration.
Keywords- *Climate change ,Climatic niche ,Climate change indicator, Birds etc.*

I. Introduction

Large-scale changes in plant and animal populations have frequently been brought about by historical climate changes. At least five large-scale extinction events occurred all at once in Earth's history; the sixth is thought to be currently underway. Climates and societies that were non-analog encouraged the emergence of new species as well as the extinction of existing ones. Such stressors for birds include the mass extinction of the Cretaceous and Paleogene eras, as well as ice ages and the development of new weather patterns like the monsoon in Southeast Asia. An anthropogenic increase of 0.74 C in Earth's surface temperature has been experienced since the 20th century, with mean temperature increases reaching two degrees in several regions of the temperate and arctic climate zones. According to future climate estimates, the Earth's surface temperature will continue to rise by 0.3–4.8 C through the end of the twenty-first century. The latter allegedly did not occur at such tiny temporal scales and were not accompanied by changes in land use that act—at least in part—independently of them. As a result, ongoing climate change significantly differs from previous changes. This obviously prompts us to consider how those remarkable changes might affect the future of bird species once we accept climate change as a scientific truth.

Does the impact of climate change apply to birds?

When focusing on the effects of climate change, it becomes clear that there is already a substantial body of evidence demonstrating different types and magnitudes of impacts on bird species. We particularly notice changes in phenology, genetics, population size, and biogeography. Phenology has shown changes in the timing of migratory species' arrival (and, to a lesser extent, departure), in some cases a reduction in migratory activity, in migratory distances, and in breeding behavior, including earlier breeding onset and timing, longer breeding seasons, and more broods. However, no significant changes in clutch sizes could be shown.

It is still uncertain whether genetic alterations or phenotypical plasticity actually causes the majority of those impacts. In some instances, it has been postulated that climate change may have modified the selection pressures that resulted in changes to body size, color, and migration patterns during a short period of time. Particularly, selection for reproductive and dispersion qualities is believed to increase toward range margins. Depending on the species' adaptability, population shifts can be more or less pronounced as a result of altered biotic and abiotic environments. The consequences of climate change are increasingly being linked to changes in species abundance.

The aforementioned variables lead to biogeographic changes, which initially manifest as changes in spatial abundance, local extinction, and colonization events, and then, finally, as changes in area that result in either an expansion or contraction of species' ranges. Range loss is significantly less frequently recorded in Europe, where range extension is more easily shown. Although it already appears to be a primary or secondary threat of extinction for about 10% of bird taxonomy and local extinctions have already been demonstrated in both northern temperate and tropical parts of the Americas, climate change-driven global or continental extinction events for bird species have not yet been conclusively proven. Range shifts have drawn more attention in the last 20 years as evidence of the observable effects of climate change on bird species and communities.

While evidence for equivalent shifts of the southern or trailing range edges is still lacking, shifts at the northern or leading range margins toward the northeast or northwest have been documented and appear to be constrained by winter temperatures. Additionally, reports of range shifts in tropical or southern temperate regions have been less common. However, even when shifts are established, they aren't always in the expected directions (e.g., shifts toward western or eastern ranges, shifts downslope, or shifts into tropical latitudes), and sometimes claimed shifts are refuted. Additionally, the existing body of literature does not conclusively support altitudinal alterations brought on by climate change.

Given these contradictory findings, it has occasionally even been questioned whether apparent range shifts are really caused by climate change or more likely by changes in land use or demographics. Thus, even while climate change and its consequences are widely acknowledged as scientific facts, they shouldn't be viewed as the sole cause of changes in bird populations or communities because their effects can often be difficult to separate from those of other factors like land use.

Indicators of climate change

We nevertheless discover a generalizable effect of climate change on a vast spatial and temporal scale, particularly at the community level, despite all those hazy perspectives. In order to measure effects that might be hidden by the wide range of responses of a single species or group, climate change indicators have been developed.

In order to disclose generalizable impacts, this particular relationship must be recorded in one common framework because some species are more and others are less dependent on the climate. This has been attempted on numerous occasions. Examining shifts in species richness as a gauge of the overall climate impact on birds is one of them. The climate associations of individual species were more frequently calculated using species distribution modeling and then aggregated to community level, e.g., producing the climate impact indicator. This method was recently changed to allow for diverse responses to climate change by the same species depending on the region in which they are found. Regression models of species occurrence/abundance in a given area at a particular time and the climatic conditions in the same area and time period can be used to quantify changes in the local environmental appropriateness for different bird species. The climatic variations in the same time period and region can then be connected to those patterns in climatic adaptability.

In addition to suitability changes, calculating the average temperature over a species' range of occurrence provides a simpler indicator of a species' climatic reliance or niche. It is possible to distinguish between warm- and cold-adapted species using this value. Furthermore, a community temperature index can be produced, which represents the "fever curve" of the bird community, by dividing the abundance (compared to other species) of a species in a given year by its temperature value and averaging over the entire community of species. This indicator reveals changes occurring inside ranges rather than concentrating just on range edges since it is sensitive to both changes in abundance and changes in species distribution.All of those methods have significantly improved how we understand how birds will be affected by climate change. As a result, it was discovered that the diversity of bird species is changing, particularly as a result of immigration and the emergence of new species, but also regionally as a result of the extinction and/or decline of species. Additionally, suggested range adjustments accurately mirrored prospective "winners" and "losers" of climate change on continental scales, if not across continents. Additionally, it was shown that communities were shifting and that smaller-scale changes in the impact of the climate could be tracked.

However, there are still some questions about whether community-based indicators can separate the effects of climate and land-use change. Some effects that appear to be related to climate may really be the result of land-use changes that only affect one aspect of a community that has unique climatic niche characteristics, such as changes in temperate woodland birds, which typically prefer colder climates. On the other hand, differential impacts on particular populations (such as those based on their sensitivity to land-use change) may even include climate change consequences. In order to rule out these impacts, more recent community-based metrics try to account for the effects of communities' habitat specialization.

Effects of Climate Change on Birds

Changes in bird phenology and distribution brought on by climate change have been identified as significant hazards to individual bird species. Less obviously, certain species might benefit from climate change. As a result, some species in the climate impact indicator have been classified as possible "winners" of climate change, while others have been classified as "losers". However, the two are mixed up a little in the new edition because the same species may experience favorable climatic effects in one area of its habitat while suffering adverse effects in another. Additionally, there are certain species where no clear correlations with climate change are found at all.

Increasing abundance and/or ranges for sedentary, widespread, and warm-dwelling species are among the benefits of climate change. Additionally, altered competition structures may favor certain species over others, and particularly, some species' wintering conditions have already improved. However, for the majority of species, negative effects are predicted to predominate in most publications. Phenological inconsistencies have been theorized and demonstrated locally. Additionally, breeding, passage, and wintering sites are experiencing unequal climate change, and long-distance migrants in particular have less flexibility to adapt to changes. As a result, migrants now pose a greater hazard.

Changes in wintering or passage circumstances have additional negative effects on bird populations, and increased extreme weather occurrences during the breeding season are having a negative impact on certain bird species. At the community level, however, concerns from climate change appear to be exacerbated by changes in species' wintering and breeding patterns and the resulting reorganization of bird populations. Asynchronous changes, in particular, disrupt the competition and facilitation structures and increase the susceptibility of communities to other negative (often anthropogenic) influences.

Depending on how well a species adapts to the changing climate, ranges may grow or contract. New habitats are made available by climatic change for generalist species or species that can adjust their habitat preferences fast, however other species may lose ranges if habitat quality declines as a result of climatic change. Even while climate change may have surpassed land use locally as the greatest hazard element, land-use change can be more significant for experts on habitat.The greatest hazard potential, however, is most likely not caused by climate or land-use changes alone, but rather by their interplay. Climate change is known to influence changes in land use patterns by altering the environmental conditions for forestry or agriculture. Additionally, climate change mitigation strategies have the potential to lessen the effects of climatic change on birds by up to 20% and, in certain cases, are already showing encouraging results in terms of improving bird communities.

Agriculture has become more intensive, notably with the increased production of rapeseed for biofuel and winter wheat, rye, and particularly corn for the creation of biogas. A "biodiversity disaster" has likely been caused by large-scale monocultures and the concurrent loss of both substantial grassland and fallow land. This could continue to exacerbate the loss of agricultural bird abundance and diversity. If monocultures were avoided and ecosystems were improved when growing bioenergy crops or grasslands, these adverse consequences might be reduced.

Potential Impacts of Climate Change Projections:

Uncertainty and discrepancies in future forecasts of the effects of climate change are common. It is interesting to note that while future predictions and perceived effects of climate change frequently diverge, enormous species losses are anticipated. In Europe, significant distribution shifts of up to 550 km northward on average have been proposed (Huntley et al. 2008). At least according to the expectation of niche conservatism, including land use in such estimates results in less significant shift projections of 335 km. However, from a global viewpoint, significant changes in land use coupled with climate change may very probably result in an even greater threat to biodiversity. Furthermore, it is hypothesized that species with limited ranges, those that live in cold climates, and those that depend on seasonal habitats will be more negatively impacted by climate change. In contrast, it is anticipated that warm-dwelling, generalist, and adaptable species will benefit from predicted climate shifts.

In addition, it has been suggested that protected areas might be able to at least partially buffer the effects of climate change because they provide habitat niches and enable range shifts by acting as refuges or stepping-stones for bird populations and communities, even though projections do not show associations to conservation prioritization. Another discrepancy can be seen in the connection between climate change and land-use change. According to some theories, climate change may end up being a greater concern than changes in land usage. However, there is still much disagreement regarding this matter. In the tropics, as well as in temperate regions, land-use change is anticipated to continue to be more significant than climate change, while in arctic, boreal, and alpine environments, a greater impact of climate change is anticipated.

II. Discussion and Conclusions

Future predictions of bird distributions frequently ignore both climate change and land-use change, which increases uncertainty further despite the open question of whether element may be more crucial. Additionally, ecological traits of species that affect their dispersal, adaptability, resilience, and persistence are frequently disregarded. Studies that take into account those variables typically show incredibly different or even opposing potential results from pure climate-based estimates.

The degree to which a single species, geographical region, or ecosystem may be impacted by climate change remains very unknown, even when interaction effects and biological mechanisms are taken into account in future estimates. Forecasts for the future are still highly hazy for a number of reasons. They rely heavily on a number of presumptions, including those on the fundamental and actual niches that species occupy as well as the climate and the equilibrium of species distributions.

They may even need to extrapolate to non-analog situations on occasion. Additionally, not only is it unknown how much the climate will change globally in the future, but it is also unknown how it might affect areas closer to home. However, despite the lack of knowledge regarding the impacts that climate change and other factors may have on biodiversity, the effects of climate change are already being felt, as seen by present trends in bird populations that are consistent with expected climatic changes.

[1]. Clavero, M., Villero, D. & Brotons, L. (2011) Climate change or land use dynamics: do we know what climate change indicators indicate? PLoS One, 6, e18581.
[2]. Coetzee, B.W.T. (2009) Ensemble models predict Important Bird Areas in southern Africa will become less effective for conserving endemic birds under climate change. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 18, 701–710.
[3]. Coetzee, B.W.T., Gaston, K.J. & Chown, S.L. (2014) Local scale comparisons of biodiversity as a test for global pro- tected area ecological performance: a meta-analysis. PLoS One, 9, e105824.
[4]. Coumou, D. & Rahmstorf, S. (2012) A decade of weather extremes. Nature Climate Change, 2, 491–496.
[5]. Davey, C.M., Chamberlain, D.E., Newson, S.E., Noble, D.G. & Johnston, A. (2012) Rise of the generalists: evidence for climate driven homogenization in avian communities. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 21, 568–578.
[6]. Devictor, V., Godet, L., Julliard, R., Couvet, D. & Jiguet, F. (2007) Can common species benefit from protected areas? Biological Conservation, 139, 29–36.
[7]. Devictor, V., Julliard, R., Couvet, D. & Jiguet, F. (2008) Birds are tracking climate warming, but not fast enough. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 275, 2743–2748.
[8]. Devictor, V., van Swaay, C., Brereton, T. et al. (2012) Differences in the climatic debts of birds and butterflies at a continental scale. Nature Climate Change, 2, 121–124.
[9]. Hannah, L. & Midgley, G. (2007) Protected area needs in a changing climate. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 5, 131–138.
[10]. Hole, D.G., Willis, S.G., Pain, D.J., Fishpool, L.D., Butchart, S.H.M., Collingham, Y.C., Rahbek, C. & Huntley, B. (2009) Projected impacts of climate change on a continent-wide protected area network. Ecology Letters, 12, 420– 431.
[11]. Jiguet, F., Gadot, A.-S., Julliard, R., Newson, S.E. & Couvet, D. (2007) Climate envelope, life history traits and the resilience of birds facing global change. Global Change Biology, 13, 1672–1684.
[12]. Johnston, A., Ausden, M., Dodd, A.M. et al. (2013) Observed and predicted effects of climate change on species abundance in protected areas. Nature Climate Change, 3, 1055–1061.
[13]. Julliard, R., Jiguet, F. & Couvet, D. (2003) Common birds facing global changes: what makes a species at risk? Global Change Biology, 10, 148–154.