

CR-submanifolds of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection

Mobin Ahmad^{*}, Janardan Prasad Ojha^{**} and Mohd. Danish Siddiqui^{*}

^{*}(Department of Mathematics, Jazan University, KSA)

^{**}(Department of Mathematics, SRMGPC, Lucknow-227105, India)

Abstract: This paper deals with the study of CR-submanifolds of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection. We study parallel distribution relating to ξ – vertical CR-submanifolds of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold with quarter symmetric non-metric connection. Further, we obtain the parallel distributions on CR-submanifolds.

Keywords: CR-submanifolds, nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold, quarter symmetric non-metric connection, parallel distribution.

I. Introduction

In 1978, Aurel Bejancu introduced the notion of CR-submanifold of Kaehler manifold [1, 2]. On the other hand CR-submanifold have been studied by Kobayashi [3]. J. A. Oubina introduced a new class of almost contact metric manifold known as trans-Sasakian manifold [4]. Gherghe studied on harmonicity on nearly trans-Sasaki geometry of CR-submanifold of manifold [5]. CR-submanifold of a trans-Sasakian manifold have been studied by Shahid [6]. Later Al-Solamy studied the CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-Sasakian manifold [7]. In 1976, Upadhyay and Dube have studied almost contact hyperbolic structure [8]. Bhatt and Dube studied on CR-submanifold of trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold [9]. Gill and Dube have also worked on CR-submanifold of trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold [10]. Kumar and Dube studied CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold [11]. In this paper we study CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold endowed with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection. Let ∇ be a linear connection in an n – dimensional differentiable manifold M . The torsion tensor T and curvature tensor R of ∇ are given respectively by

$$T(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y]$$

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z.$$

The connection ∇ is symmetric if its torsion tensor T vanishes, otherwise it is non-symmetric. The connection ∇ is metric connection if there is a Riemannian metric g in M such that $\nabla g = 0$, otherwise it is non-metric. It is well known that a linear connection is symmetric and metric if and only if it is the Levi-Civita connection. In [12] S. Golab introduced the idea of a quarter symmetric connection. A linear connection is said to be a quarter symmetric connection if its torsion tensor T is of the form

$$T(X, Y) = \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y.$$

Some properties of quarter symmetric non-metric connection was studied by several authors in ([13], [14], [15], [16]).

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give a brief introduction of nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold. In section 3, we have proved some basic lemmas on nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection. In section 4, we have discussed parallel distributions.

II. Preliminaries

Let \bar{M} be an n -dimensional almost hyperbolic contact metric manifold with almost hyperbolic contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where a tensor ϕ of type (1,1), a vector field ξ , called structure vector field and η , the dual 1-form of ξ satisfying the following

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^2 X = X - \eta(X)\xi, \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X)$$

$$(2.2) \quad \eta(\xi) = -1, \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0$$

$$(2.3) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = -g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for any X, Y tangent to \bar{M} [17]. In this case

$$(2.4) \quad g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y).$$

An almost hyperbolic contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) on \bar{M} is called trans-hyperbolic Sasakian [10] if and only if

$$(2.5) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X)$$

for all X, Y tangent to \bar{M} and α, β are functions on \bar{M} . On a trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \bar{M} , we have

$$(2.6) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -\alpha(\phi X) + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi),$$

where g is the Riemannian metric and $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Riemannian connection.

Let M be an m -dimensional isometrically immersed submanifold of nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \bar{M} . We denote by g the Riemannian metric tensor field on M as well as \bar{M} .

Definition 2.1. An m -dimensional Riemannian submanifold M of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \bar{M} is called a CR-submanifold if ξ is tangent to M and there exists differentiable distribution $D : x \in M \rightarrow D_x \subset T_x(M)$ such that

- (i) the distribution D_x is invariant under ϕ , that is $\phi D_x \subset D_x$ for each $x \in M$;
- (ii) the complementary orthogonal distribution $D^\perp : x \rightarrow D^\perp_x \subset T_x(M)$ of the distribution D on M is anti-invariant under ϕ that is, $\phi D^\perp_x(M) \subset T_x^\perp(M)$ for all $x \in M$, where $T_x(M)$ and $T_x^\perp(M)$ are tangent space and normal space of M at $x \in M$ respectively.

If $\dim D^\perp_x = 0$ (resp. $\dim D_x = 0$), then CR-submanifold is called an invariant (resp. anti-invariant). The distribution D (resp. D^\perp) is called horizontal (resp. vertical) distribution. The pair (D, D^\perp) is called ξ -horizontal (resp. ξ -vertical) if $\xi_x \in D_x$ (resp. $\xi_x \in D^\perp_x$) for $x \in M$.

For any vector field X tangent to M , we write

$$(2.8) \quad X = PX + QX,$$

where PX and QX belong to the distribution D and D^\perp respectively.

For any vector field N normal to M , we put

$$(2.9) \quad \phi N = BN + CN,$$

where BN (resp. CN) denotes the tangential (resp. normal) component of ϕN .

Now, we remark that owing to the existence of the 1-form η , we can define a quarter symmetric non-metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$ in almost contact metric manifold by

$$(2.10) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \bar{\nabla}_X Y + \eta(Y)\phi X$$

$$\text{such that } (\bar{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = -\eta(Y)g(X, Z) - \eta(Z)g(X, Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the induced connection with respect to g on M , η is a 1-form and ξ is a vector field.

Using (2.5) and (2.10), we get

$$(2.11) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X) - \eta(Y)X + \eta(Y)\eta(X)\xi.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \alpha(g(Y, X)\xi - \eta(X)\phi Y) + \beta(g(\phi Y, X)\xi - \eta(X)\phi Y) - \eta(X)Y + \eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi.$$

On adding above equations, we obtain

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X &= \alpha(2g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) \\ &\quad - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y + \eta(Y)\phi X) - \eta(X)Y \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

This is the condition for an almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection to be nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold.

From (2.10) and (2.6), we get

$$(2.13) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -(\alpha + 1)(\phi X) + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi).$$

We denote by g the metric tensor of \bar{M} as well as that induced on M . Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the quarter symmetric non-metric connection on \bar{M} and ∇ be the induced connection on M with respect to the unit normal N .

Theorem 2.2. The connection induced on the CR-submanifolds of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection is also a quarter symmetric non-metric connection.

Proof. Let ∇ be the induced connection with respect to the unit normal N on a CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection $\bar{\nabla}$. Then

$$(2.14) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + m(X, Y),$$

where m is a tensor field of type $(0, 2)$ on CR-submanifold M . If ∇^* be the induced connection on CR-submanifolds from Riemannian connection $\bar{\nabla}$, then

$$(2.15) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla^*_X Y + h(X, Y),$$

where h is a second fundamental tensor.

Now, from (2.14) and (2.15) we have

$$\nabla_X Y + m(X, Y) = \nabla^*_X Y + h(X, Y) + \eta(Y)\phi X.$$

Equating the tangential and normal components from both the sides in the above equation, we get

$$h(X, Y) = m(X, Y)$$

and

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla^*_X Y + \eta(Y)\phi X.$$

Thus ∇ is also a quarter symmetric non-metric connection.

Now, the Gauss formula for a CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection is

$$(2.16) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)$$

and the Weingarten formula for M is given by

$$(2.17) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla^\perp_X N$$

for $X, Y \in TM, N \in T^\perp M$, where h and A are called the second fundamental tensors of M and ∇^\perp denotes the operator of the normal connection. Moreover, we have

$$(2.18) \quad g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y).$$

III. Some Basic Lemmas

Lemma 3.1 Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection. Then

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &P(\nabla_X \phi PY) + P(\nabla_Y \phi PX) - P(A_{\phi QX} Y) - P(A_{\phi QY} X) \\ &= \phi P \nabla_X Y + \phi P \nabla_Y X + 2\alpha g(X, Y)P\xi - \alpha \eta(X)\phi PY - \alpha \eta(Y)\phi PX \\ &\quad - \beta \eta(Y)\phi PX - \beta \eta(X)\phi PY - \eta(X)PY - \eta(Y)PX + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)P\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &Q(\nabla_X \phi PY) + Q(\nabla_Y \phi PX) - Q(A_{\phi QX} Y) - Q(A_{\phi QY} X) \\ &= 2Bh(X, Y) + 2\alpha g(X, Y)Q\xi - \eta(X)QY \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)QX + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)Q\xi, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h(X, \phi PY) + h(Y, \phi PX) + \nabla_x^\perp \phi QY + \nabla_y^\perp \phi QX \\
 (3.3) \quad & = \phi Q \nabla_y X + \phi Q \nabla_x Y + 2Ch(X, Y) - (\alpha + \beta)\eta(Y)\phi QX \\
 & - (\alpha + \beta)\eta(X)\phi QY,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$.

Proof. By direct covariant differentiation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\nabla}_x \phi Y &= (\bar{\nabla}_x \phi)Y + \phi \nabla_x Y + \phi h(X, Y), \\
 \bar{\nabla}_x \phi Y &= \bar{\nabla}_x \phi PY + \bar{\nabla}_x \phi QY.
 \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (2.8), (2.11), (2.16) and (2.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{\nabla}_x \phi)Y + \phi \nabla_x Y + \phi h(X, Y) &= P \nabla_x \phi PY + Q \nabla_x \phi PY + h(X, \phi PY) \\
 &+ \nabla_x^\perp \phi QY - PA_{\phi QY} X - QA_{\phi QY} X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{\nabla}_y \phi)X + \phi \nabla_y X + \phi h(Y, X) &= P \nabla_y \phi PX + Q \nabla_y \phi PX + h(Y, \phi PX) \\
 &+ \nabla_y^\perp \phi QX - PA_{\phi QX} Y - QA_{\phi QX} Y.
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ((\bar{\nabla}_x \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_y \phi)X) + \phi P \nabla_x Y + \phi Q \nabla_x Y + \phi P \nabla_y X + \phi Q \nabla_y X \\
 (3.4) \quad & + 2Bh(X, Y) + 2Ch(X, Y) = \alpha(2g(X, Y)P\xi + \alpha(2g(X, Y)Q\xi \\
 & - \alpha\eta(Y)\phi PX - \alpha\eta(Y)\phi QX - \alpha\eta(X)\phi PY - \alpha\eta(X)\phi QY \\
 & - \beta\eta(X)\phi PY - \beta\eta(X)\phi QY - \beta\eta(Y)\phi QX - \beta\eta(Y)\phi PX \\
 & - \eta(X)PY - \eta(X)QY - \eta(Y)PX - \eta(Y)QX + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)P\xi \\
 & + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)Q\xi + \phi P \nabla_x Y + \phi Q \nabla_x Y + \phi P \nabla_y X + \phi Q \nabla_y X \\
 & + 2Bh(X, Y) + 2Ch(X, Y)
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$.

Now, equating horizontal, vertical and normal components in (3.4) we get the desired result.

Lemma 3.2. Let M be a CR-Submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 2(\bar{\nabla}_x \phi)Y &= \nabla_x \phi Y - \nabla_y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y] \\
 (3.5) \quad & + \alpha(2g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X + \eta(X)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y \\
 & + \eta(Y)\phi X) - (\eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 2(\bar{\nabla}_y \phi)X &= \alpha(2g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y \\
 (3.6) \quad & + \eta(Y)\phi X) - (\eta(X)Y + \eta(Y)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi) - (\nabla_x \phi Y) \\
 & - h(X, \phi Y) + \nabla_y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) + \phi[X, Y]
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $X, Y \in D$.

Proof. From Gauss formula (2.16), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \bar{\nabla}_x \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_y \phi X = \nabla_x \phi Y + h(X, \phi Y) - \nabla_y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X).$$

Also, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \bar{\nabla}_x \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_y \phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_x \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_y \phi)X + \phi[X, Y].$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{\nabla}_x \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_y \phi)X &= \nabla_x \phi Y + h(X, \phi Y) - \nabla_y \phi X \\
 &- h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y].
 \end{aligned}$$

Also for nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold with quarter symmetric non-metric connection, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X &= \alpha(2g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) \\ &\quad - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y + \eta(Y)\phi X) - (\eta(Y)X \\ &\quad + \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Adding (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y &= \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X + h(X, \phi Y) - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y] \\ &\quad + \alpha(2g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X + \eta(X)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)\phi X) - (\eta(Y)X + \eta(X)Y - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (3.9) from (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X &= \alpha(2g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)\phi X) - (\eta(X)Y + \eta(Y)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi) \\ &\quad - (\nabla_X \phi Y) - h(X, \phi Y) + \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) + \phi[X, Y]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence Lemma is proved.

Lemma 3.3. Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)Z &= A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi Z - \nabla_Z^\perp \phi Y - \phi[Y, Z] + \alpha(2g(Y, Z)\xi \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\phi Z - \eta(Z)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(Y)\phi Z + \eta(Z)\phi Y) - (\eta(Y)Z \\ &\quad + \eta(Z)Y - 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\xi) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\bar{\nabla}_Z \phi)Y &= -A_{\phi Y}Z + A_{\phi Z}Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi Z + \nabla_Z^\perp \phi Y + \phi[Y, Z] + \alpha(2g(Y, Z)\xi \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\phi Z - \eta(Z)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(Y)\phi Z + \eta(Z)\phi Y) - (\eta(Y)Z \\ &\quad + \eta(Z)Y - 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\xi) \end{aligned}$$

for any $Y, Z \in D^\perp$.

Proof. From Weingarten formula (2.17), we have

$$(3.11) \quad \bar{\nabla}_Z \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi Z = A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi Z - \nabla_Z^\perp \phi Y.$$

Also, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \bar{\nabla}_Z \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi Z = (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)Z - (\bar{\nabla}_Z \phi)Y + \phi[Y, Z].$$

From (3.11) and (3.12), we get

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)Z + (\bar{\nabla}_Z \phi)Y &= \alpha(2g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi Z - \eta(Z)\phi Y) \\ &\quad - \beta(\eta(Y)\phi Z + \eta(Z)\phi Y) - (\eta(Y)Z \\ &\quad + \eta(Z)Y - 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\xi). \end{aligned}$$

On adding (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)Z &= A_{\phi Y}Z - A_{\phi Z}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi Z - \nabla_Z^\perp \phi Y - \phi[Y, Z] \\ &\quad + \alpha(2g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi Z - \eta(Z)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(Y)\phi Z \\ &\quad + \eta(Z)\phi Y) - (\eta(Y)Z + \eta(Z)Y - 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (3.13) and (3.14), we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\bar{\nabla}_Z \phi)Y &= -A_{\phi Y}Z + A_{\phi Z}Y - \nabla_Y^\perp \phi Z + \nabla_Z^\perp \phi Y + \phi[Y, Z] \\ &\quad + \alpha(2g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi Z - \eta(Z)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(Y)\phi Z \\ &\quad + \eta(Z)\phi Y) - (\eta(Y)Z + \eta(Z)Y - 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\xi). \end{aligned}$$

This proves our assertions.

Lemma 3.4. Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y &= -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y] \\
 &\quad + \alpha(2g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y \\
 &\quad + \eta(Y)\phi X) - (\eta(X)Y + \eta(Y)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi), \\
 2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X &= A_{\phi Y} X - \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) + \phi[X, Y] + \alpha(2g(X, Y)\xi \\
 &\quad - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y + \eta(Y)\phi X)
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $X \in D$ and $Y \in D^\perp$.

Proof. By using Gauss and Weingarten equation for $X \in D$ and $Y \in D^\perp$ respectively, we get

$$(3.15) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X).$$

Also, we have

$$(3.16) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y \phi X = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X + \phi[X, Y].$$

From (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y - (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y].$$

Also for nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection, we have

$$(3.18) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + (\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X = \alpha(2g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y \\ + \eta(Y)\phi X) - (\eta(X)Y + \eta(Y)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi).$$

Adding (3.17) and (3.18), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 2(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y &= -A_{\phi Y} X + \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X - h(Y, \phi X) - \phi[X, Y] \\
 &\quad + \alpha(2g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y \\
 &\quad + \eta(Y)\phi X) - (\eta(X)Y + \eta(Y)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi).
 \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (3.17) from (3.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 2(\bar{\nabla}_Y \phi)X &= A_{\phi Y} X - \nabla_X^\perp \phi Y + \nabla_Y \phi X + h(Y, \phi X) + \phi[X, Y] + \alpha(2g(X, Y)\xi \\
 &\quad - \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(X)\phi Y + \eta(Y)\phi X).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence Lemma is proved.

IV. Parallel Distributions

Definition 4.1. The horizontal (resp. vertical) distribution D (resp. D^\perp) is said to be parallel with respect to the quarter symmetric non-metric connection on M if $\nabla_X Y \in D$ (resp. $\nabla_Z W \in D^\perp$) for any vector field $X, Y \in D$ (resp. $W, Z \in D^\perp$).

Proposition 4.1. Let M be a ξ -vertical CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \bar{M} with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection. If the horizontal distribution D is parallel, then

$$(4.1) \quad h(X, \phi Y) = h(Y, \phi X)$$

for all $X, Y \in D$.

Proof. For horizontal distribution D , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \nabla_X \phi Y \in D, \nabla_Y \phi X \in D \text{ for any } X, Y \in D.$$

Using the fact that $QX = QY = 0$ for $X, Y \in D$, (3.2) gives

$$(4.3) \quad Bh(X, Y) = -\alpha g(X, Y)Q\xi, \text{ for any } X, Y \in D.$$

Also, since

$$(4.4) \quad \phi h(X, Y) = Bh(X, Y) + Ch(X, Y),$$

Therefore,

$$(4.5) \quad \phi h(X, Y) = -\alpha g(X, Y)Q\xi + Ch(X, Y) \text{ for any } X, Y \in D.$$

From (3.3), we have

$$(4.6) \quad h(X, \phi Y) + h(Y, \phi X) = 2Ch(X, Y) = 2\phi h(X, Y) + 2\alpha g(X, Y)Q\xi$$

for any $X, Y \in D$. Putting $X = \phi X \in D$ in (4.6), we get

$$(4.7) \quad h(\phi X, \phi Y) + h(Y, \phi^2 X) = 2\phi h(\phi X, Y) + 2\alpha g(\phi X, Y)Q\xi$$

or

$$(4.8) \quad h(\phi X, \phi Y) - h(Y, X) = 2\phi h(\phi X, Y) + 2\alpha g(\phi X, Y)Q\xi.$$

Similarly, putting $Y = \phi Y \in D$ in (4.6), we find

$$(4.9) \quad h(\phi Y, \phi X) - h(X, Y) = 2\phi h(X, \phi Y) + 2\alpha g(X, \phi Y)Q\xi.$$

Hence from (4.8) and (4.9), we have

$$(4.10) \quad \phi h(X, \phi Y) - \phi h(Y, \phi X) = \alpha g(\phi X, Y)Q\xi - \alpha g(X, \phi Y)Q\xi.$$

Operating ϕ on both sides of (4.10) and using $\phi\xi = 0$, we get

$$(4.11) \quad h(X, \phi Y) = h(Y, \phi X)$$

for all $X, Y \in D$.

Now, for the distribution D^\perp we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. Let M be a ξ -vertical CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \overline{M} with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection. If the distribution D^\perp is parallel with respect to the connection on M , then

$$(4.12) \quad A_{\phi Y}Z + A_{\phi Z}Y \in D^\perp \text{ for any } Y, Z \in D^\perp.$$

Proof. Using Gauss and Weingarten formula, we obtain

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & -A_{\phi Z}Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \phi Z - A_{\phi Y}Z + \nabla_Z^\perp \phi Y = \phi \nabla_Y Z + \phi \nabla_Z Y + 2\phi h(Y, Z) \\ & + \alpha(2g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi Z - \eta(Z)\phi Y) - \beta(\eta(Z)\phi Y + \eta(Y)\phi Z) \\ & - (\eta(Y)Z + \eta(Z)Y - 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\xi) \end{aligned}$$

for any $Y, Z \in D^\perp$. Taking inner product with $X \in D$ in (3.13), we get

$$(4.14) \quad g(A_{\phi Y}Z, X) + g(A_{\phi Z}Y, X) = g(\nabla_Y Z, \phi X) + g(\nabla_Z Y, \phi X).$$

If the distribution D^\perp is parallel, then $\nabla_Y Z \in D^\perp$ and $\nabla_Z Y \in D^\perp$ for any $Y, Z \in D^\perp$.

So from (4.14), we get

$$(4.15) \quad g(A_{\phi Y}Z, X) + g(A_{\phi Z}Y, X) = 0 \text{ or } g(A_{\phi Y}Z + A_{\phi Z}Y, X) = 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$(4.16) \quad A_{\phi Y}Z + A_{\phi Z}Y \in D^\perp$$

for any $Y, Z \in D^\perp$.

This completes the proof.

Definition 4.3. A CR-submanifold with a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection is said to be mixed totally geodesic if $h(X, Z) = 0$ for all $X \in D$ and $Z \in D^\perp$.

The following Lemma is an easy consequence of (2.18).

Lemma 4.4. Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \overline{M} with a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection. Then M is mixed totally geodesic if and only if $A_N X \in D$ for all $X \in D$.

Definition 4.5. A normal vector field $N \neq 0$ is called D -parallel normal section if $\nabla_X^\perp N = 0$ for all $X \in D$.

Now, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.6. Let M be a mixed totally geodesic ξ -vertical CR-submanifold of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold \overline{M} with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection. Then the normal section $N \in \phi D^\perp$ is D -parallel if and only if $\nabla_X \phi N \in D$ for all $X \in D$.

Proof. Let $N \in \phi D^\perp$. Then from (3.2), we have

$$(4.17) \quad Q(\nabla_Y \phi X) = 0 \text{ for any } X \in D, Y \in D^\perp.$$

In particular, we have $Q(\nabla_Y X) = 0$.

By using it in (3.3), we get

$$(4.18) \quad \nabla_X^\perp \phi QY = \phi Q \nabla_X Y \quad \text{or} \quad \nabla_X^\perp N = -\phi Q \nabla_X \phi N.$$

Thus, if the normal section $N \neq 0$ with quarter symmetric non-metric connection is D -parallel, then by definition and (4.18), we get

$$(4.19) \quad \phi Q(\nabla_X \phi N) = 0$$

which is equivalent to $\nabla_X \phi N \in D$ for all $X \in D$.

The converse part easily follows from (4.18).

This completes the proof of the proposition.

References

- [1]. Bejancu, *CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold. I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1978), 135-142.
- [2]. A. Bejancu, *Geometry of CR-submanifolds*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Holland, 1986.
- [3]. M. Kobayashi, *CR-submanifolds of Sasakian manifold*, Tensor (N.S.) 35 (1981), no. 3, 297-307.
- [4]. J. A. Oubina, *New class of almost contact metric structures*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 32 (1985), 187-193.
- [5]. C. Gherghe, *Harmonicity on nearly trans-Sasaki manifolds*, Demonstratio Math. 33(2000), no. 1, 151-157.
- [6]. M. H. Shahid, *CR-submanifolds of a trans-Sasakian manifold*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 22 (1991), 1007-1012.
- [7]. F. R. Al-Solamy, *CR-submanifolds of a nearly trans-Sasakian manifold*, IJMMS, 31 (3) (2002), 167-175.
- [8]. M. D. Upadhyay and K. K. Dube, *Almost contact hyperbolic (f, g, η, ξ) -structure*, Acta. Math. Acad. Scient. Hung., Tomus 28 (1976), 1-4.
- [9]. L. Bhatt and K. K. Dube, *On CR-submanifolds of a trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold*, Acta Ciencia Indica 31 (2003), 91-96.
- [10]. H. S. Gill and K. K. Dube, *On CR-submanifolds of trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifolds*, Demonstratio Math. 38 (2005), 953-960.
- [11]. S. Kumar and K. K. Dube, *CR-submanifolds of a nearly trans-hyperbolic Sasakian manifold*, Demonstratio Mathematica, Vol. XLI No. 4 (2008), 922-929.
- [12]. S. Golab, *On semi symmetric and Quarter symmetric linear connections*. Tensor 29 (1975), 249-254.
- [13]. M. Ahmad, M. D. Siddiqui and J. P. Ojha, *Semi-invariant submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds immersed in a generalized almost r-contact structure admitting a quarter symmetric non-metric connection*, J. Math. comput. Sci. 2 (2012), No.4, 982-998.
- [14]. M. Ahmad, *CR-submanifolds of LP-Sasakian manifolds endowed with a quarter symmetric metric connection*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 49 (2012), No.1, 25-32.
- [15]. M. Ahmad, J. B. Jun and A. Haseeb, *Submanifolds of an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold endowed with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. Vol. 24, No.1 (2011), 91-104.
- [16]. M. Ahmad, C. Ozgur and A. Haseeb, *Hypersurfaces of an almost r-paracontact Riemannian manifold endowed with a quarter symmetric non-metric connection*. Kyungpook Math. j., 49 (2009), 533-543.
- [17]. D. E. Blair, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.