

Degree of Approximation of Fourier series of function of bounded variation by (Z, δ, β) method

Samira Behera

Department of Mathematics, Assam University, Silchar-788011

Abstract : The object of the present investigation is to study the degree of approximation of Fourier series of function of bounded variation by generalized Harmonic-Cesaro method of summation.

Keywords: Fourier series, bounded variation

I. Introduction

It is well known that (see [6] vol I p.57) if $f \in BV[-\pi, \pi]$ then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s_n(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+0) + f(x-0)\}) = 0 \quad (1)$$

Boianic [1] obtained a sharper version of this result by showing that for $n \geq 1$

$$\left| s_n(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+0) + f(x-0)\} \right| \leq \frac{3}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \quad (2)$$

Whenever $f \in BV[-\pi, \pi]$.

Extending the above result, Mazhar and Boianic [3] proved the following:

Theorem A

If $f \in BV[-\pi, \pi]$ then for $-1 < \delta \leq 0$

$$\left| A_n^\delta(f, x) \right| \leq \frac{C(\delta)}{n^{\delta+1}} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\delta V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi)$$

where $C(\delta)$ is a constant depending upon δ .

In this connection it may be pointed out that Boianic and Mazhar [2] have also earlier examined with $\phi(t) \in BV$ in Norlund (N, p) mean setup, where it is assumed that $P_n > 0$, monotonic non-increasing with $P_n \rightarrow \infty$ and they have obtained:

$$\left| W_n(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+0) + f(x-0)\} \right| \leq \frac{6}{P_n} \sum_{k=1}^n P_k V_0^{\pi/P_k}(\phi) \quad (3)$$

Our object is to obtain degree of approximation of Fourier series of function of bounded variation by (Z, δ, β) method.

II. Main Results

We prove the following theorem.

Theorem

(a) For $f \in BV[0, \pi]$, $-1 < \delta < 0$, $\beta \in R$

$$A_n^{\delta, \beta}(f, x) = \frac{O(1)}{n^{\delta+1} (\log n)^\beta} \sum_{k=1}^n \log^\beta \left(\frac{ak}{2} \right) k^\delta V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) + O(1) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi)$$

(b) For $f \in BV[0, \pi]$, $\delta = -1$, $\beta > 0$

$$A_n^{\delta, \beta}(f, x) = \frac{O(1)}{(\log n)^{\beta-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(\log \frac{ak}{2})^{\beta-1}}{k} V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi)$$

We need the following additional notations for the proof of our theorem.

$$D_n(t) = \frac{\sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{2 \sin t / 2}$$

$$K_n(t) = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} D_k(t)$$

$$K_n^{\delta, \beta}(t) = \frac{1}{A_n^{\delta, \beta}} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\delta-1, \beta} D_k(t)$$

$$\wedge_{(t)} = \int_t^{\pi} K_n^{\delta, \beta}(u) du$$

$$A_n^{\delta, \beta}(f, x) = K_n^{\delta, \beta}(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+0) + f(x-0)\}$$

where $K_n^{\delta, \beta}(f, x)$ denotes the (Z, δ, β) mean of the Fourier series of f at x .

We need the following Lemmas to prove the theorem.

3 Lemma 1

(see [6], Vol I. p.192)

If $\delta > -1$ and $F(u)$ is slowly varying, then $\sum_{v=1}^n v^{\delta} F(v) \square \frac{n^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} F(n)$

Lemma 2

For $p_n > 0$ and monotonic non increasing

i) $K_n(t) = O(n)$

$$\text{ii) } K_n(t) = \frac{1}{(2 \sin t/2) P_n} I \left(e^{i(n+1/2)t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k e^{-ikt} \right) + O(1) \frac{P_n}{P_n (2 \sin t/2)^2}$$

Proof of Lemma 2(i)

$$K_n(t) = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} D_k(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow |k_n(t)| \leq \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n |p_{n-k}| |D_k(t)|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n |p_{n-k}| (k + 1/2)$$

$$\leq (n + 1/2)$$

$$\Rightarrow K_n(t) = O(n)$$

Proof of Lemma 2(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_n(t) &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} D_k(t) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2 \sin t/2) P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} \sin \left(k + \frac{1}{2} \right) t \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2 \sin t/2) P_n} I \left(\sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} e^{i(k+1/2)t} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2 \sin t/2) P_n} I \left(e^{i(n+1/2)t} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k e^{-ikt} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2 \sin t/2) P_n} I \left(e^{i(n+1/2)t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k e^{-ikt} - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} p_k e^{-ikt} \right) \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

In order to deal with the second summation formula of (3.1), by Abel's transformation we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^m P_k e^{-ikt} \right| &= \left| \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{m-1} (p_k - p_{k+1}) \sum_{v=n+1}^k e^{-ivt} + \sum_{v=n+1}^m e^{-ivt} \right) p_m \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{m-1} (p_k - p_{k+1}) \frac{1}{|1 - e^{-it}|} + \frac{p_m}{|1 - e^{-it}|} \\
 &= \frac{1}{|1 - e^{-it}|} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{m-1} (p_k - p_{k+1}) + p_m \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

By using the fact that p_n is monotonic non-increasing and $p_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} P_k e^{-ikt} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \sin t/2} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (p_k - p_{k+1}) = \frac{O(p_n)}{2 \sin t/2} \tag{5}$$

Now using (3.2) in (3.1) we have

$$K_n(t) = \frac{1}{(2 \sin t/2) P_n} I \left(e^{i(n+1/2)t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k e^{-ikt} \right) + O(1) \frac{P_n}{P_n (2 \sin t/2)^2}$$

which proves Lemma 2(ii).

Lemma 3

For $a \geq 2, \beta \in R, -1 < \delta < 1$, we write

$$R = R(t) = \left[\log^2 \left(\frac{a}{2 \sin t/2} \right) + \left(\frac{\pi - t}{2} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\phi = \phi(t) = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{t - \pi}{2 \log \left(\frac{a}{2 \sin t/2} \right)} \right)$$

Then for $\frac{\pi}{n} \leq t \leq \pi$

$$\text{i) } K_n^{\delta, \beta}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{R^\beta \sin \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) t - \frac{\pi\delta}{2} + \phi\beta \right]}{n^\delta (\log n)^\beta (2 \sin \frac{t}{2})^{\delta+1}} + \frac{O(1)}{n(2 \sin \frac{t}{2})^2}, \delta \neq -1, -2, \dots, \beta \in R \\ \frac{R^\beta}{n^{-1}(\log n)^{\beta-1}} \left[\sin nt + \frac{\pi}{2} + \phi\beta \right] + \frac{O(1)}{n(2 \sin \frac{t}{2})^2}, \delta = -1, -2, \dots, \beta > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{ii) } \wedge(t) = O(1) \begin{cases} \frac{(\log \frac{a\pi}{2t})^\beta}{n^{\delta+1} (\log n)^\beta t^{\delta+1}} + \frac{1}{nt}, \delta > -1, \beta \in R \\ \frac{(\log \frac{a\pi}{2t})^\beta}{(\log n)^{\beta-1}} + \frac{1}{nt}, \delta = -1, \beta > 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof of Lemma 3(i)

We note that $K_n(t)$ reduces to $K_n^{\delta, \beta}(t)$ when $p_n = A_n^{\delta-1, \beta}$ and $P_n = A_n^{\delta, \beta}$

From Lemma 2(ii), we get

$$K_n(t) = \frac{1}{(2 \sin \frac{t}{2}) P_n} I \left(e^{i(n+1/2)t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k e^{-ikt} \right) + \frac{O(1) P_n}{P_n (2 \sin \frac{t}{2})^2}$$

Now (see [6] Vol I. p.192) putting $P_n = A_n^{\delta, \beta}$ and $p_n = A_n^{\delta-1, \beta}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_n^{\delta, \beta}(t) &= \frac{1}{(2 \sin \frac{t}{2}) A_n^{\delta, \beta}} I \left(e^{i(n+1/2)t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k^{\delta-1, \beta} e^{-ikt} \right) + O(1) \frac{A_n^{\delta-1, \beta}}{A_n^{\delta, \beta} (2 \sin \frac{t}{2})^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2 \sin \frac{t}{2}) A_n^{\delta, \beta}} I \left(e^{i(n+1/2)t} \frac{R^\beta e^{i\phi\beta}}{\left[(2 \sin \frac{t}{2}) e^{i \left(\frac{\pi-t}{2} \right)} \right]^\delta} \right) + O(1) \frac{A_n^{\delta-1, \beta}}{A_n^{\delta, \beta} (2 \sin \frac{t}{2})^2} \\
 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k^{\delta-1, \beta} e^{-ikt} &= \frac{1}{(1-e^{-it})^\delta} \log^\beta \left(\frac{a}{(1-e^{-it})} \right) = \frac{1}{\{(1-\cos t) + i \sin t\}^\delta} \log^\beta \left(\frac{a}{1-e^{-it}} \right) \\
 &= \left[\frac{1}{\left[(2 \sin \frac{t}{2}) e^{i \left(\frac{\pi-t}{2} \right)} \right]^\delta} \right] R^\beta e^{i\phi\beta}
 \end{aligned}$$

Where $\text{Re}^{i\phi} = \log \left(\frac{a}{1-e^{-it}} \right)$

$$R = \left[\log^2 \left(\frac{a}{2 \sin \frac{t}{2}} \right) + \left(\frac{\pi-t}{2} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\phi = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{t - \pi}{2 \log \left(\frac{a}{2 \sin t/2} \right)} \right) \tag{6}$$

$$= \frac{R^\beta}{\left(2 \sin t/2\right)^{\delta+1} A_n^{\delta, \beta}} \sin \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)t - \frac{\pi\delta}{2} + \phi\beta \right] + \frac{O(1) A_n^{\delta-1, \beta}}{A_n^{\delta, \beta} \left(2 \sin t/2\right)^2}$$

Which ensures Lemma 3(i) in the case $\delta \neq -1, \beta \in R$, since

$$A_n^{\delta, \beta} \square \frac{n^\delta}{\Gamma(\delta + 1)} (\log n)^\beta \text{ (see [6] (Vol I. p. 192))}$$

We omit the proof of the case $\delta = -1, \beta > 0$ as its proof is similar to that of the case $\delta \neq -1$ described above.

Proof of Lemma 3(ii)

Case - 1 : For $\delta \neq -1, -2, \dots$ and using Lemma 3(i)

$$\wedge(t) = \int_t^\pi K_n^{\delta, \beta}(u) du$$

$$= \frac{1}{A_n^{\delta, \beta}} \int_t^\pi \frac{R^\beta(u)}{\left(2 \sin u/2\right)^{\delta+1}} \sin \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)u - \frac{\pi\delta}{2} + \phi\beta \right] du$$

$$+ \frac{O(1)}{n} \int_t^\pi \frac{du}{\left(2 \sin u/2\right)^2} du$$

$$= J_1 + J_2 \text{ (say)}$$

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{A_n^{\delta, \beta}} \int_t^\pi \frac{R^\beta(u)}{\left(2 \sin u/2\right)^{\delta+1}} \sin \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)u - \frac{\pi\delta}{2} + \phi\beta \right] du$$

letting $\theta(u) = \frac{R^\beta(u)}{\left(2 \sin u/2\right)^{\delta+1}}$

and

$$t(u) = \beta\phi = \beta \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{u - \pi}{2 \log \left(\frac{a}{2 \sin u/2} \right)} \right)$$

We have

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{A_n^{\delta, \beta}} \int_t^\pi \left\{ \theta(u) \sin \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)u - \frac{\pi\delta}{2} \right] \cos t(u) + \theta(u) \cos \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)u - \frac{\pi\delta}{2} \right] \sin t(u) \right\} du$$

$$= \frac{1}{A_n^{\delta, \beta}} (\wedge_1(t) + \wedge_2(t)) \text{ (say)}$$

As $\theta(u)$ is monotonic non-increasing by Mean value theorem we have for some ξ with $t < \xi < \pi$

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge_1(t) &= \int_t^\pi \theta(u) \sin \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) u - \frac{\pi\delta}{2} \right] \cos t(u) du \\ &= \theta(t) \int_t^\xi \sin \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) u - \frac{\pi\delta}{2} \right] \cos t(u) du \quad (t < \xi < \pi) \\ &= \theta(t) \left\{ \left[\frac{-\cos \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) u - \frac{\pi\delta}{2} \right]}{\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right)} \cos t(u) \right]_{u=t}^\xi - \int_t^\xi \frac{\cos \left[\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) u - \frac{\pi\delta}{2} \right] \sin t(u)}{\left(n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right)} t'(u) du \right\} \\ &= \frac{O(1) \log^\beta \left(\frac{a\pi}{2t} \right)}{nt^{\delta+1}} \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\theta(t) = O(1) \frac{\log^\beta \left(\frac{a\pi}{2t} \right)}{t^{\delta+1}}, \quad t'(u) = \frac{O(1)}{\left(\log \frac{2\pi}{u} \right)^2}$$

Similarly

$$\wedge_2(t) = O(1) \frac{\log \left(\frac{a\pi}{2t} \right)}{nt^{\delta+1}}$$

Combining the estimates of $\wedge_1(t)$ and $\wedge_2(t)$

$$J_1 = O(1) \frac{\log^\beta \left(\frac{a\pi}{2t} \right)}{n^{\delta+1} t^{\delta+1} (\log n)^\beta}$$

Now

$$J_2 = \frac{O(1)}{n} \int_t^\pi \frac{du}{(2 \sin u/2)^2} = \frac{O(1)}{n} \int_t^\pi \frac{du}{u^2} = \frac{O(1)}{nt}$$

Now using the estimates of J_1 and J_2 in $\wedge(t) = J_1 + J_2$ the first result of Lemma 3(ii) is ensured.

Case II

For $\delta = -1, -2, \dots, \beta > 0$ the proof of second estimates of Lemma 3(ii) can be verified parallel to the proof of

Case I.

Lemma 4

$$(i) V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) = \frac{O(1)}{n^{\delta+1} (\log n)^\beta} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\delta \log^\beta \left(\frac{ak}{2} \right) V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi)$$

$$(ii) V_0^\pi(\phi) = O(1) \sum_{k=1}^n k^\delta \log^\beta \left(\frac{ak}{2} \right) V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (iii) & \frac{1}{n^{\delta+1} (\log n)^\beta} \int_{\pi/n}^\pi \frac{\log^\beta \left(\frac{a\pi}{2t} \right)}{t^{\delta+1}} |d\phi(t)| \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{\delta+1} (\log n)^\beta} \sum_{k=1}^n \log^\beta \left(\frac{ak}{2} \right) k^\delta V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

$$(iv) \frac{1}{n} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{|d\phi(t)|}{t} = \frac{O(1)}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi)$$

Proof of Lemma 4(i)

Applying Lemma 1, we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^\delta \log^\beta \left(\frac{ak}{2} \right) V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \geq V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) \sum_{k=1}^n k^\delta \log^\beta \left(\frac{ak}{2} \right) \approx \frac{n^{\delta+1}}{\delta+1} \left(\log \frac{an}{2} \right)^\beta V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi)$$

from which the result follows

Proof of 4(ii) is trivial

Proof of 4(iii)

Integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \log^\beta \left(\frac{a\pi}{2t} \right) \frac{|d\phi(t)|}{t^{\delta+1}} \\ & \leq \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \log^\beta \left(\frac{a\pi}{2t} \right) \frac{dV_0^t \phi}{t^{\delta+1}} \\ & = \frac{\log^\beta \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) V_0^\pi(\phi)}{\pi^{\delta+1}} - \frac{\log^\beta \left(\frac{an}{2} \right) V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) n^{\delta+1}}{\pi^{\delta+1}} \\ & \quad + \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{\left[\beta \log^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{a\pi}{2t} \right) t^{-1} t^{\delta+1} - (\delta+1) t^\delta \log^\beta \left(\frac{a\pi}{2t} \right) \right] V_0^t(\phi) dt}{t^{2\delta+2}} \\ & = \frac{\log^\beta \left(\frac{a}{2} \right) V_0^\pi(\phi)}{\pi^{\delta+1}} - \frac{\log^\beta \left(\frac{an}{2} \right) V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi)}{\pi^{\delta+1}} n^{\delta+1} + O(1) \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{\log^\beta \left(\frac{a\pi}{2t} \right) V_0^t(\phi) dt}{t^{\delta+2}} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Putting $u = \pi / t$ in the last integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{\log^\beta \left(\frac{a\pi}{2t} \right)}{t^{\delta+2}} V_0^t(\phi) dt = \frac{1}{\pi^{\delta+1}} \int_1^n \log^\beta \left(\frac{au}{2} \right) V_0^{\pi/u}(\phi) u^\delta du \\ & = \frac{1}{\pi^{\delta+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_k^{k+1} \log^\beta \left(\frac{au}{2} \right) V_0^{\pi/u}(\phi) u^\delta du \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi^{\delta+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \int_k^{k+1} u^\delta \log^\beta \left(\frac{au}{2} \right) du \\ & = O(1) \sum_{k=1}^n \log^\beta \left(\frac{ak}{2} \right) V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) k^\delta \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Collecting the results from (7) and (8) and applying Lemma 4(i) and Lemma 4(ii), we obtain the desired estimate. We omit the proof of (iv) as its proof is similar to that of 4(iii).

4. Proof of the Theorem

From (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 W_n(f, x) &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} s_k(f, x) \\
 &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} \left[s_k(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+0) + f(x-0)\} \right] \\
 &\quad \left[+ \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+0) + f(x-0)\} \right] \\
 &\Rightarrow W_n(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+0) + f(x-0)\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} \left\{ s_k(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} (f(x+0) + f(x-0)) \right\} \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the integral representation of $s_k(f, x)$ (see [6], Vol.I p 92 – 95) that is

$$s_k(f, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi D_k(t) \{f(x+t) + f(x-t)\} dt$$

in (3.1) and using $\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi D_k(t) dt = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_n(f, x) &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi D_k(t) \{f(x+t) + f(x-t)\} dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi D_k(t) \{f(x+0) + f(x-0)\} dt \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n P_{n-k} D_k(t) \phi(t) dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi K_n(t) \phi(t) dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\int_0^{\pi/n} + \int_{\pi/n}^\pi \right) K_n(t) \phi(t) dt \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the special case when $p_n = A_n^{\delta-1, \beta}$, (4.2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_n^{\delta, \beta}(f, x) &= K_n^{\delta, \beta}(f, x) - \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+0) + f(x-0)\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_0^{\pi/n} + \int_{\pi/n}^\pi \right] K_n^{\delta, \beta}(t) \phi(t) dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi} [I_1 + I_2] \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \int_0^{\pi/n} K_n^{\delta, \beta}(t) \phi(t) dt \\
 &= O(1)n \int_0^{\pi/n} |\phi(t)| dt \\
 &= O(1)n \int_0^{\pi/n} V_0^t(\phi) dt \\
 &= O(1)V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) \tag{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2(i)

Further Integrating by parts and using Lemma 3(ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} K_n^{\delta, \beta}(t) \phi(t) dt \\
 &= - \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \phi(t) d \wedge(t) \\
 &= \phi\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \wedge\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) + \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \wedge(t) d\phi(t) \\
 &= O(1)V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) + O(1) \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \left\{ \frac{\left(\log \frac{a\pi}{2t}\right)^\beta}{n^{\delta+1}(\log n)^\beta t^{\delta+1}} + \frac{1}{nt} \right\} |d\phi(t)| \\
 &= O(1)V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) + O(1) \frac{1}{n^{\delta+1}(\log n)^\beta} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{\left(\log \frac{a\pi}{2t}\right)^\beta}{t^{\delta+1}} |d\phi(t)| + O(1) \frac{1}{n} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{|d\phi(t)|}{t} \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

Lastly using (11), (12) and (13) and applying (i), (iii) and (iv) part of Lemma4, we get for $-1 < \delta < 0, \beta \in R$

$$A_n^{\delta, \beta}(f, x) = O(1) \frac{1}{n^{\delta+1}(\log n)^\beta} \sum_{k=1}^n k^\delta \left(\log \frac{ak}{2}\right)^\beta V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) + O(1) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi)$$

and this completes part(a) of the theorem.

Part (b): For $\delta = -1, \beta > 0$ integrating by parts and using Lemma 3(ii), we have

$$I_2 = O(1)(\log n)V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) + O(1) \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{\left(\log \frac{a\pi}{2t}\right)^\beta}{(\log n)^{\beta-1}} dV_0^t(\phi) + O(1) \frac{1}{n} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} t^{-1} dV_0^t(\phi) \tag{14}$$

Using (4.3), (4.4) and (4.6), we get

$$A_n^{\delta, \beta}(f, x) = O(1)(\log n)V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) + \frac{O(1)}{(\log n)^{\beta-1}} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \left(\log \frac{a\pi}{2t}\right)^\beta dV_0^t(\phi) + \frac{O(1)}{n} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{dV_0^t(\phi)}{t} \tag{15}$$

Now, integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} t^{-1} dV_0^t(\phi) \\
 &= \frac{V_0^\pi(\phi)}{\pi} - \frac{V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi)}{\pi/n} + \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} t^{-2} V_0^t(\phi) dt \\
 &\leq \frac{V_0^\pi(\phi)}{\pi} + \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} t^{-2} V_0^t(\phi) dt \\
 &= \frac{V_0^\pi(\phi)}{\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_1^n V_0^{\pi/t}(\phi) dt \\
 &\leq \frac{V_0^\pi(\phi)}{\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \tag{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) < \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi)$

Next, integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \left(\log \frac{a\pi}{2t}\right)^{\beta} dV_0^t(\phi) \\
 &= \left(\log \frac{a\pi}{2}\right)^{\beta} V_0^{\pi}(\phi) - \left(\log \frac{an}{2}\right)^{\beta} V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) + \beta \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{\left(\log \frac{a\pi}{2t}\right)^{\beta-1}}{t} V_0^t(\phi) dt \\
 &\leq \left(\log \frac{a\pi}{2}\right)^{\beta} V_0^{\pi}(\phi) + \beta \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{\left(\log \frac{a\pi}{2t}\right)^{\beta-1}}{t} V_0^t(\phi) dt \\
 &= \left(\frac{a\pi}{2}\right)^{\beta} V_0^{\pi}(\phi) + \beta \int_1^n \left(\log \frac{at}{2}\right)^{\beta-1} V_0^{\pi/t}(\phi) \frac{dt}{t} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{a\pi}{2}\right)^{\beta} V_0^{\pi}(\phi) + \beta \sum_{k=1}^n \int_k^{k+1} V_0^{\pi/t}(\phi) \left(\log \frac{at}{2}\right)^{\beta-1} \frac{dt}{t} \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{a\pi}{2}\right)^{\beta} V_0^{\pi}(\phi) + \beta \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \frac{\left(\log \frac{ak}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}}{k} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \frac{\left(\log \frac{ak}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}}{k} \tag{17}
 \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the results from (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), we get

$$A_n^{\delta, \beta}(f, x) = O(1)(\log n) V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) + O(1) \frac{1}{(\log n)^{\beta-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \frac{\left(\log \frac{ak}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}}{k} + \frac{O(1)}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \tag{18}$$

We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \frac{\left(\log \frac{ak}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}}{k} \geq V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\left(\log \frac{ak}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}}{k},$$

which ensures that

$$V_0^{\pi/n}(\phi) = \frac{O(1)}{(\log n)^{\beta}} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \frac{\left(\log \frac{ak}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}}{k} \tag{19}$$

As $\frac{\left(\log \frac{ak}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}}{k}$ is monotonic increasing for $1 \leq k \leq n_0 = \left\lceil \frac{2e^{\beta-1}}{a} \right\rceil$ and monotonic decreasing for $k > n_0$,

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \frac{\left(\log \frac{ak}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}}{k} \geq \frac{\left(\log \frac{an}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi)$$

which ensures

$$\sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) = O(1) \frac{n}{\left(\log \frac{an}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \frac{\left(\log \frac{ak}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}}{k} \quad (20)$$

Finally collecting the results from (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12), we get

$$A_n^{\delta, \beta}(f, x) = O(1) \frac{1}{(\log n)^{\beta-1}} \sum_{k=1}^n V_0^{\pi/k}(\phi) \frac{\left(\log \frac{ak}{2}\right)^{\beta-1}}{k}$$

and this completes the proof of part(b) of the Theorem.

Acknowledgement: The author acknowledges The University Grants Commission, New Delhi for financial assistance.

References

- [1]. Bojanic, R.: An estimate of the rate of convergence for the Fourier series of function of bounded variation, publ. Inst Math (Beograd) (N.S) 26(40), 1979 57-60
- [2]. Bojanic, R and Mazhar, S.M.: An estimate of the rate of convergence of the Norlund voronoi means of the Fourier series of function of bounded variation, Approx . Theory III,(Academic press 1980).
- [3]. Bojanic, R. and Mazhar, S.M.: "An estimate of the rate of Convergence of Cesaro means of Fourier series of function of bounded variation", Proc. of International Conf. Of Mathematics Analysis and its Application Kuwait, 1985, 17-22.
- [4]. Das, G. and Mohapatra, P.C.: "On a generalized Harmonic-Cesaro method of summation and its application to Fourier series", Indian Journal of
- [5]. Sing, T.: "Degree of Approximation to functions in a normed space", Publ. Math. Debrecen, 40, 13-4, 1992, 261-267.
- [6]. Zygmund, A.: Trigonometric series, second edition, Volume I and Volume II combined, (Cambridge University Press, 1933).