

A Result Related To The Value Distribution Of Gamma Functions.

K. S. L. N. Prasad

Associate professor, Dept. of Mathematics, Karnatak Arts College, Dharwad-580001.

Abstract: In this paper we have extended a result of Nevanlinna theory to Euler's gamma function which is known to be a meromorphic function.

Key Words: Nevanlinna theory, Euler's gamma function.

I. Introduction And Main Results

Let $\Gamma(z)$ be the Euler's gamma function defined by,

$$\Gamma(z) = \frac{e^{-vz}}{z} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{k}\right)^{-1} e^{\frac{z}{k}}$$

Where $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{k} - \log n\right)$, the Euler's constant.

Clearly $\Gamma(z)$ is a meromorphic function with simple poles $\{-k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and $\Gamma(z) \neq 0$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

In 1999, Zhuan Ye[2] has proved the following result.

Theorem (A) : with usual notations,

$$\text{i) } T(r, \Gamma) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{r}{\pi} \log r$$

$$\text{ii) } \delta(0, \Gamma) = 1, \quad \delta(\infty, \Gamma) = 1$$

$$\text{iii) } \delta(a, \Gamma) = 0 \text{ for } a \neq 0, \infty$$

Proceeding on the same lines, we can observe the following.

since $\delta(a, \Gamma) = 0$ for $a \neq 0, \infty$ and $\delta(a, \Gamma) = 1$ and $\delta(\infty, \Gamma) = 1$,

Using the basics of Nevanlinna theory, we can easily prove that,

$$\text{i) } \Theta(a, \Gamma) = 0 \text{ for } a \neq 0, \infty$$

$$\text{ii) } \Theta(0, \Gamma) = 0 \text{ and } \Theta(\infty, \Gamma) = 1.$$

We wish to establish the following result.

Theorem : Let $\Gamma(z)$ be the Euler's gamma function. Then

$T(r, \Gamma^{(n)}) \sim (n+1) T(r, \Gamma)$ where n is any positive integer, as $r \rightarrow \infty$ outside a set of finite linear measure.

Proof: Clearly $\Gamma(z)$ is a meromorphic function with simple poles

$$\{-k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{ and } \Gamma(z) \neq 0 \text{ for any } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Therefore,
$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Gamma}\right) = 0$$

Using the basics of Nevanlinna theory, we have

$$m\left(r, \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\right) \leq 0 \quad \{ \log T(r, \Gamma) + O(\log r) \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty \text{ outside a set of finite linear measure.}$$

By induction on n , we can prove that,

$$m\left(r, \frac{\Gamma^{(n)}}{\Gamma}\right) \leq O\{\log T(r, \Gamma)\} + O(\log r) \text{ for all finite } n.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } N\left(r, \frac{\Gamma^{(n)}}{\Gamma}\right) &= n \bar{N}(r, \Gamma) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Gamma}\right) \\ &= n \bar{N}(r, \Gamma), \quad \text{since } \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Gamma}\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{We have, } T\left(r, \frac{\Gamma^{(n)}}{\Gamma}\right) \leq n \bar{N}(r, \Gamma) + O\{\log T(r, \Gamma)\} + O(\log r)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } T(r, \Gamma^{(n)}) &= T\left(r, \frac{\Gamma^{(n)}}{\Gamma}, \Gamma\right) \\ &\leq T\left(r, \frac{\Gamma^{(n)}}{\Gamma}\right) + T(r, \Gamma) \\ &\leq T(r, \Gamma) + n \bar{N}(r, \Gamma) + O\{\log T(r, \Gamma)\} + O(\log r) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Conversely, } T(r, \Gamma) &= T\left(r, \frac{\Gamma^{(n)}}{\Gamma}, \Gamma^{(n)}\right) \\ &\leq T\left(r, \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma^{(n)}}\right) + T(r, \Gamma^{(n)}) + O(1) \\ &\leq T(r, \Gamma^{(n)}) + n \bar{N}(r, \Gamma) + O\{\log T(r, \Gamma)\} + O(\log r) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

From (1) and (2), We have

$$|T(r, \Gamma^{(n)}) - T(r, \Gamma)| \leq n \bar{N}(r, \Gamma) + O\{\log T(r, \Gamma)\} + O(\log r)$$

$$\text{On simplification, we get } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, \Gamma^{(n)})}{T(r, \Gamma)} = n+1$$

$$\text{Or } T(r, \Gamma^{(n)}) \sim (n+1) T(r, \Gamma)$$

Hence the result.

References

- [1] HAYMAN W. K. (1964) : Meromorphic functions, Oxford Univ. Press, London.
- [2] ZHUAN YE (1999) : Note – The Nevanlinna functions of the Riemann Zeta function, JI. of math. ana. and appl. 233, 425-435.