

## Generalized Common Fixed Theorem in Sequentially Compact Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces

Rajesh Shrivastava<sup>1</sup>, Neha Jain<sup>2</sup>, K. Qureshi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Deptt. of Mathematics, Govt. Science and comm. College Banazeer Bhopal (M.P) India

<sup>2</sup>Research Scholar, Govt. Science and comm. College Banazeer Bhopal (M.P) India

<sup>3</sup>Additional Director, Higher Education Dept. Govt. of M.P., Bhopal (M.P) India

**Abstract:** The aim of this paper is to introduce the notion of sequentially compact intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and prove a generalized common fixed point theorem for pairs of weakly compatible self mappings in this newly defined space .

**Keywords:** Intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, sequentially compact intuitionistic fuzzy metric space , compatible mappings , weakly compatible mappings , common fixed point .

### I. Introduction

The concept of Fuzzy sets was initially investigated by Zadeh [17] as a new way to represent vagueness in everyday life . as a generalization of fuzzy sets introduced Zadeh [17], Atanassov [2], introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets . Recently , using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets , In 2004 , Park [12] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norm and continuous t-conorms. In 2006 , Turkoglu [16] proved Jungck's [6] , common fixed point theorem in the setting of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces for commuting mappings .Recently , in 2006 , Alaca et al. [1] using the idea of Intuitionistic fuzzy sets , defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norm and continuous t-conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil and Michalek [10] . Jungck and Rhoades [6] gave more generalized concept weak compatibility then compatibility .Recently , many authors have studied fixed point theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces ([1] , [12] , [14,16]) . Recently , Rao , K.P.R. , Rao , K.R.K. and Rao , T.Ranga [13] introduced the concept of sequentially compact fuzzy metric space . Using this concept , we introduce the notion sequentially compact intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and prove a generalized common fixed point theorem for pairs of weakly compatible self mappings in this newly defined space.

### II. Preliminaries :-

**Definition :-2[1] :**

A binary operation  $*$ :  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is a continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following

**Conditions:**

1.  $*$  is associative and commutative ,
2.  $*$  is continuous ,
3.  $a * 1 = a$  for all  $a \in [0,1]$  ,
4.  $a * b \leq c * d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  , for each  $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$  .

**Example :-** Two typical examples of continuous t-norm are

$$a * b = ab \quad \text{and}$$

$$a * b = \min(a, b)$$

**Definition 2[2] :**

A binary operation  $\diamond$ :  $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  is a continuous t-conorm if satisfies the following

**Conditions:**

1.  $\diamond$  is associative and commutative,
2.  $\diamond$  is continuous,
3.  $a \diamond 0 = a$  for all  $a \in [0,1]$  ,
4.  $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$  ,for each  $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$  .

**Example :-** Two typical examples of continuous t-conorm are

$$a \diamond b = \min(a + b, 1) \text{ and}$$

$$a \diamond b = \max(a, b)$$

**Definition 2[3]:** A 5-tuple  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is called a intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary (non-empty) set,  $*$  is a continuous t-norm,  $\diamond$  is a continuous t-conorm and  $M, N$  are fuzzy sets on  $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ , satisfying the following conditions :

for each  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t, s > 0$ ,

- i.  $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$ ,
- ii.  $M(x, y, 0) = 0$ ,
- iii.  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- iv.  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ ,
- v.  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$ ,
- vi.  $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous,
- vii.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ ,
- viii.  $N(x, y, 0) = 1$ ,
- ix.  $N(x, y, t) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- x.  $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$ ,
- xi.  $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t + s)$ ,
- xii.  $N(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is right continuous,
- xiii.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$ ,

Then  $(M, N)$  is called intuitionistic fuzzy metric on  $X$ . The function  $M(x, y, t)$  and  $N(x, y, t)$  denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non –nearness between  $x$  and  $y$  with respect to  $t$ , respectively.

**Definition 2[4]:** Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be Cauchy sequence if, for all  $t > 0$  and  $p > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 0.$$

**Definition 2[5]:** Let  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be convergent to a point  $x \in X$  if for all  $t > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0.$$

Since  $*$  and  $\diamond$  are continuous, the limit is uniquely determined from (v) and (xi), respectively.

**Definition 2[6]:** An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

**Definition 2[7]:** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be mappings from an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  in to itself. The mappings  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(ABx_n, BAx_n, t) = 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(ABx_n, BAx_n, t) = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0, \text{ whenever } \{x_n\} \text{ is a sequence in } X$$

Such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = z$  for some  $z \in X$ .

**Definition 2[8]:** Self mappings A and B be mappings from an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point, that is  $Ax = Bx$  implies that  $ABx = BAx$  for some  $x \in X$ .

It is easy to see that if self mappings A and B of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is compatible then they are weakly compatible.

**Definition 2[9]:**  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  is said to be sequentially compact intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if every sequence in  $X$  has a convergent subsequence in it.

Let  $\Phi$  be the set of all function  $\phi, \phi' : [0, 1]^5 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

- i.  $\phi, \phi'$  are non decreasing and non increasing in all coordinates respectively,
- ii.  $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5), \phi'(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)$  are continuous in  $t_4$  and  $t_5$  and
- iii.  $\phi(t, t, t, t, t) > t, \phi'(t, t, t, t, t) < t$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

### III. Main Result:

Here afterwards, assume that  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  be a sequentially compact intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with  $t * t \geq t, s \diamond s \leq s \quad \forall t, s \in [0, 1]$

**Theorem 3.1:** Let  $P, Q, A, B, S$  and  $T$  be self mappings on  $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$  such that :

- (i)  $P(X) \subset ST(X)$  and  $Q(X) \subset AB(X)$ ,
- (ii)  $P$  and  $AB$  are continuous or  $Q$  and  $ST$  are continuous,
- (iii)  $AB = BA, ST = TS, PB = BP, TQ = QT$ ,
- (iv) The pairs  $(P, AB)$  and  $(Q, ST)$  are weakly compatible,
- (v) There exists  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$

$$M(Px, Qy, qt) \geq \min \{M(ABx, STy, t) * M(Px, ABx, t) * M(Qy, STy, t) * M(Px, STy, t)\}$$

$$N(Px, Qy, qt) \leq \max \{N(ABx, STy, t) \diamond N(Px, ABx, t) \diamond N(Qy, STy, t) \diamond N(Px, STy, t)\};$$

- (vi) for all  $x, y \in X, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1, \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$ ;

If the pair of maps  $(P, AB)$  is reciprocal continuous and semi compatible maps then  $P, Q, S, T, A$  and  $B$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof :-** Let  $x_0 \in X$ , from (1) there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $Px_0 = STx_1$  and  $Qx_1 = ABx_2$ .

Inductively, we can construct sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$Px_{2n-2} = STx_{2n-1} = y_{2n-1}$$

And

$$Qx_{2n+1} = ABx_{2n} = y_{2n} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

By using contractive condition (v), we obtain

$$M(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, qt) \geq \min \{M(ABx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, t) * M(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) * M(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, t) * M(Px_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, t)\}$$

$$N(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, qt) \leq \max \{N(ABx_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) \diamond N(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(Px_{2n}, STx_{2n+1}, t)\}$$

$$M(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, qt) \geq \min \{M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t)\}$$

$$N(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, qt) \leq \max \{N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, t)\}$$

$$M(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, qt) \geq \min \{M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t)\}$$

$$N(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, qt) \leq \max \{N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1}, t)\}$$

The only t-norm  $*$  satisfying  $t * t \geq t$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  is the minimum t-norm, that is

$$a * b = \min \{a, b\} \text{ for all } a, b \in [0, 1] \text{ and}$$

and by the definition 2[2] of continuous t-conorm then

$$a \diamond b = \max \{a, b\} \text{ for all } a, b \in [0, 1].$$

By the conditions, we have

$$M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, qt) \geq M(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)$$

$$N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, qt) \leq N(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t)$$

Similarly we have

$$M(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, qt) \geq M(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t)$$

$$N(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+3}, qt) \leq N(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t)$$

Thus, we have

$$M(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, qt) \geq M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, qt) \leq N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \geq M\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{q}\right) \geq M\left(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{q^2}\right)$$

.....

$$\geq M\left(y_1, y_2, \frac{t}{q^n}\right) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \leq N\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{q}\right) \leq N\left(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}, \frac{t}{q^2}\right)$$

.....

$$\leq N\left(y_1, y_2, \frac{t}{q^n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

And hence  $M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $t > 0$

and  $N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $t > 0$

for such  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $t > 0$ , we can choose  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$M(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) > 1 - \epsilon \text{ for all } n > n_0$$

$$N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) < \epsilon \text{ for all } n > n_0$$

For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we suppose  $m \geq n$ . then we have

$$M(y_n, y_m, t) \geq M\left(y_n, y_{n+1}, \frac{t}{m-n}\right) * M\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{m-n}\right) * \dots * M\left(y_{m-1}, y_m, \frac{t}{m-n}\right)$$

$$\geq (1-\epsilon) * (1-\epsilon) * \dots * (1-\epsilon) (m-n) \text{ times}$$

$$\geq (1-\epsilon)$$

and for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we suppose  $m \leq n$ . then we have

$$N(y_n, y_m, t) \leq N(y_n, y_{n+1}, t/m-n) \diamond N(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t/m-n) \diamond \dots \diamond N(y_{m-1}, y_m, t/m-n)$$

$$\leq (1-\epsilon) \diamond (1-\epsilon) \diamond \dots \diamond (1-\epsilon) (m-n) \text{ times}$$

$$\leq (1-\epsilon)$$

And hence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Since  $(X, M, *)$  and  $(X, N, \diamond)$  is complete,  $\{y_n\}$  converges to some point  $z \in X$ . Also its subsequences converges to the same point i.e.  $z \in X$ .

i.e.,  $\{Qx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z$  and  $\{STx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z$  .....(1)

$\{Px_{2n}\} \rightarrow z$  and  $\{ABx_{2n}\} \rightarrow z$  .....(2)

Since the pair  $(P, AB)$  is reciprocally continuous mapping then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} PABx_{2n} = Pz$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ABPx_{2n} = ABz$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ABPx_{2n} = ABz.$$

And semi compatibility of  $(P, AB)$  gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ABPx_{2n} \rightarrow ABz$$

Therefore  $Pz = ABz$ .

We claim  $Pz = ABz = z$ .

**Step 1 :-** Put  $x = z$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  gives in condition (v),

$$M(Pz, Qx_{2n+1}, qt) \geq \min \{M(ABz, STx_{2n+1}, t) * M(Pz, ABz, t) * M(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, t) * M(Pz, STx_{2n+1}, t)\}$$

$$N(Pz, Qx_{2n+1}, qt) \leq \max \{N(ABz, STx_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(Pz, ABz, t) \diamond N(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(Pz, STx_{2n+1}, t)\}$$

taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using equation (i), we get

$$M(Pz, z, qt) \geq \min \{M(z, z, t) * M(Pz, z, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(Pz, z, t)\}$$

$$N(Pz, z, qt) \leq \max \{N(z, z, t) \diamond N(Pz, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(Pz, z, t)\}$$

i.e

$$M(Pz, z, qt) \geq M(Pz, z, t)$$

Then we get,

$$Pz = z.$$

Therefore,

$$ABz = Pz = z. \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

and

$$N(Pz, z, qt) \leq N(Pz, z, t)$$

Then we get,

$$Pz = z.$$

Therefore,

$$ABz = Pz = z \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

**Step 2 :-** Putting  $x = Bz$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in condition (v) , we get

$$M(PBz, Qx_{2n+1}, qt) \geq \min \{M(ABBz, STx_{2n+1}, t) * M(PBz, ABBz, t) * M(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, t) * M(PBz, STx_{2n+1}, t)\}$$

$$N(PBz, Qx_{2n+1}, qt) \leq \max \{N(ABBz, STx_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(PBz, ABBz, t) \diamond N(Qx_{2n+1}, STx_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N(PBz, STx_{2n+1}, t)\}$$

As  $BP = PB, AB = BA,$

so we have

$$P(Bz) = B(Pz) = Bz$$

and

$$(AB)(Bz) = (BA)(Bz) = B(ABz) = Bz$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (i) , we get

$$M(Bz, z, qt) \geq \min \{M(Bz, z, t) * M(Bz, Bz, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(Bz, z, t)\}$$

$$N(Bz, z, qt) \leq \max \{N(Bz, z, t) \diamond N(Bz, Bz, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(Bz, z, t)\}$$

i.e.

$$M(Bz, z, qt) \geq M(Bz, z, t)$$

then we get

$$Bz = z$$

and also we have

$$ABz = z$$

$$\Rightarrow Az = z$$

Therefore ,  $Az = Bz = Pz = z \dots\dots\dots(5)$

and

$$N(Bz, z, qt) \leq N(Bz, z, t)$$

then we get

$$Bz = z$$

and also we have

$$ABz = z$$

$$\Rightarrow Az = z$$

Therefore ,  $Az = Bz = Pz = z \dots\dots\dots(6)$

**Step -3 :-** As  $P(x) \subset ST(x)$  , there exists  $u \in X$  such that

$$z = Pz = STu$$

Putting  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = u$  in (v) , we get

$$M(Px_{2n}, Qu, qt) \geq \min \{M(ABx_{2n}, STu, t) * M(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) * M(Qu, STu, t) * M(Px_{2n}, STu, t)\}$$

$$N(Px_{2n}, Qu, qt) \leq \max \{N(ABx_{2n}, STu, t) \diamond N(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) \diamond N(Qu, STu, t) \diamond N(Px_{2n}, STu, t)\}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (1) and (2) , we get

$$M(z, Qu, qt) \geq \min \{M(z, z, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(Qu, z, t) * M(z, z, t)\}$$

$$N(z, Qu, qt) \leq \max \{N(z, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(Qu, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t)\}$$

i.e.

$$M(z, Qu, qt) \geq M(z, Qu, t)$$

Then we get

Hence  $Qu = z$   
 $STu = z = Qu$   
 $M(STu, STQu, t) \geq M(STu, Qu, \frac{t}{r}) = 1$

i.e.  
 $STu = STQu$   
 $\Rightarrow z = STz$

and

$$N(z, Qu, qt) \leq N(z, Qu, t)$$

Then we get,

Hence  $Qu = z$   
 $STu = z = Qu$  .....(7)  
 $N(STu, STQu, t) \leq N(STu, Qu, \frac{t}{r}) = 0$

i.e

$STu = STQu$   
 $\Rightarrow z = STz$  .....(8)

**Step-4 :-** Putting  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = z$  in equation (v) , we get

$$M(Px_{2n}, Qz, qt) \geq \min \{M(ABx_{2n}, STz, t) * M(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) * M(Qz, STz, t) * M(Px_{2n}, STz, t)\}$$

$$N(Px_{2n}, Qz, qt) \leq \max \{N(ABx_{2n}, STz, t) \diamond N(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) \diamond N(Qz, STz, t) \diamond N(Px_{2n}, STz, t)\}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (2) and step-3 , we get

$$M(z, Qz, qt) \geq \min \{M(z, Qz, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(Qz, Qz, t) * M(z, Qz, t)\}$$

$$N(z, Qz, qt) \leq \max \{N(z, Qz, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(Qz, Qz, t) \diamond N(z, Qz, t)\}$$

i.e.

$$M(z, Qz, qt) \geq M(z, Qz, t)$$

Then we get ,

$Qz = z$   
 So  $z = Qz = STz$  .....(9)

and

$$N(z, Qz, qt) \leq N(z, Qz, t)$$

Then we get

$Qz = z$   
 So  $z = Qz = STz$  .....(10)

**Step-5 :-** Putting  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = Tz$  in (v) , we get

$$M(Px_{2n}, QTz, qt) \geq \min \{M(ABx_{2n}, STTz, t) * M(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) * M(QTz, STTz, t) * M(Px_{2n}, STTz, t)\}$$

$$N(Px_{2n}, QTz, qt) \leq \max \{N(ABx_{2n}, STTz, t) \diamond N(Px_{2n}, ABx_{2n}, t) \diamond N(QTz, STTz, t) \diamond N(Px_{2n}, STTz, t)\}$$

As  $AT = TQ$  and  $ST = TS$  we have

$$QTz = TQz = Tz \text{ and}$$

$$ST(Tz) = T(STz) = TQz = Tz$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get,

$$M(z, Tz, qt) \geq \min \{M(z, Tz, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(Tz, Tz, t) * M(z, Tz, t)\}$$

$$N(z, Tz, qt) \leq \max \{N(z, Tz, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(Tz, Tz, t) \diamond N(z, Tz, t)\}$$

i.e.  $M(z, Tz, qt) \geq M(z, Tz, t)$

then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } Tz &= z \\ STz &= Tz = z \\ &\Rightarrow Sz = z \\ \text{Hence } Sz &= Tz = Qz = z \dots\dots\dots(11) \end{aligned}$$

Using (5) and (11) we get

$$Az = Bz = Pz = Qz = Tz = Sz = z$$

And

$$N(z, Tz, qt) \leq N(z, Tz, t)$$

then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } Tz &= z \\ STz &= Tz = z \\ &\Rightarrow Sz = z \\ \text{Hence } Sz &= Tz = Qz = z \dots\dots\dots(12) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5) and (12), we get

$$Az = Bz = Pz = Qz = Tz = Sz = z$$

Hence,  $z$  is the common fixed point A, B, S, T, P and Q.

**Uniqueness :-**

Let  $u$  be another common fixed point of A, B, S, T, P and Q.

$$\text{Then } Au = Bu = Pu = Qu = Su = Tu = u.$$

Put  $x = z$  and  $y = u$  in (v), we get

$$M(Pz, Qu, qt) \geq \min \{M(ABz, STu, t) * M(Pz, ABz, t) * M(Qu, STu, t) * M(Pz, STu, t)\}$$

$$N(Pz, Qu, qt) \leq \max \{N(ABz, STu, t) \diamond N(Pz, ABz, t) \diamond N(Qu, STu, t) \diamond N(Pz, STu, t)\}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$M(z, u, qt) \geq \min \{M(z, u, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(u, u, t) * M(z, u, t)\}$$

$$N(z, u, qt) \leq \max \{N(z, u, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(u, u, t) \diamond N(z, u, t)\}$$

i.e.

$$M(z, u, qt) \geq M(z, u, t)$$

then we get,

$$z = u$$

And

$$N(z, u, qt) \leq N(z, u, t)$$

then we get,

$$z = u$$

Therefore  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of self maps A, B, S, T, P and Q. Hence proved

**References**

[1]. Alaca, C., Turkoglu, D. and Yildiz, C., Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 29(2006), 1073 – 1078 .  
 [2]. Atanassov, K., intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and systems 20(1986) 87-96.  
 [3]. Dubois, D. and Prade, H., Fuzzy sets : Theory and Applications to Policy Analysis and Information Systems Plenum Press, New York, 1980 .  
 [4]. George, A. and Veeramani, P., On some results in fuzzy metric space, Fuzzy sets and Systems, 64(1994), 395-399 .  
 [5]. Grabiec, M., Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27(1988),385-389.



- [6]. Jungck , G . and Rhoades , B.E, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, Indian. J. Pure & Applied Math ., 239(3) , 1988 , 227-238.
- [7]. Klement , E.P., Operations on fuzzy sets : an axiomatic approach , Inform . Sci. 27(1984), 221-232 .
- [8]. Klement , E.P., Mesiar, R. and Pap, E., A characterization of the ordering of continuous-norm , Fuzzy Sets and Systems 86(1997), 189-195.
- [9]. Klement , E.P., Mesiar, R. and Pap, E., Triangular Norms, Kluwer Academic Publ., Trends in Logic 8, Dordrecht 2000.
- [10]. Kramosil, O. and Michalek , J. , Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces , Kybernetika , 11(1975), 326-334.
- [11]. Menger , K. , Statistical metrics , Proc. Nat . Acad. Sci. 28(1942), 535-537.
- [12]. Park, J.H., Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces , Chaos, Soliton and Fractals , 22(2004) 1039-1046.
- [13]. Rao , K.P.R., Rao, K.R.K and Rao, T. Ranga, Common fixed point theorems in Sequentially Compact fuzzy metric spaces , International Mathematical Forums , 2(51)(2007) , 2543-2549.
- [14]. Sedghi , S., Common fixed point theorems for multivalued contractions , International Mathematical Forums , 2(31),(2007),1499-1506.
- [15]. Sharma, S., Kutukcu , S., and Rathore, R.S., Common fixed point for multivalued Mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces , Korean Math . Soc. 22(3)(2007), 391-399.
- [16]. Turkoglu , D., Alaca, C., Cho, Y.J., and Yildiz, C., Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic Fuzzy metric spaces , J. Appl. Math. And Computing , 22(1-2)(2006) , 411-424.
- [17]. Zadeh , L. A. ,Fuzzy sets , Inform and Control 8(1965), 338-353 .