

Some Fixed Point Theorems of Contractive Mappings in Complete G-Metric Space

N. Surender¹, B. Krishna Reddy²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, University College of Science, Osmania University, Hyderabad

Abstract : In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems in complete G-Metric Space for self mapping satisfying various contractive conditions. We also discuss that these mapping are G- continuous on such a fixed point.

Keywords: G-Metric Spaces, Fixed Point, G-convergent.

I. INTRODUCTION

Some generalizations of the notion of a metric space have been proposed by some authors. Gahler [1, 2] coined the term of 2-metric spaces. This is extended to D-metric space by Dhage (1992) [3, 4]. Dhage proved many fixed point theorems in D-metric space. Recently, Mustafa and Sims [7] showed that most of the results concerning Dhage's D-metric spaces are invalid. Therefore, in 2006 they introduced a new notion of generalized metric space called G-metric space [5]. In fact, Mustafa et al. studied many fixed point results for a self mapping in G-metric spaces under certain conditions; see [5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10].

Now, we give preliminaries and basic definitions which are used throughout the paper.

II. Definitions and Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [5] Let X be a non empty set, and let $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following axioms

(G1) $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$,

(G2) $G(x, x, y) > 0$ for all $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$.

(G3) $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, with $y \neq z$.

(G4) $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$, (symmetry in all three variables)

(G5) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ (rectangular inequality)

Then the function G is called a generalized metric, or more specially a G-metric on X , and the pair (X, G) is called a G-metric space.

Example: Let (X, d) be a usual metric space. Then (X, G_s) and (X, G_m) are G-metric spaces, where

$$G_s(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(x, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X$$

and

$$G_m(x, y, z) = \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\} \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Definition 2.2 [5] Let (X, G) and (X', G') be G-metric spaces and let $f: (X, G) \rightarrow (X', G')$ be a function, then f is said to be G-continuous at a point $a \in X$ if given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in X, G(a, x, y) < \delta$ implies that $G'(fa, fx, fy) < \varepsilon$. A function f is G-continuous on X if and only if it is G-continuous at all $a \in X$.

Definition 2.3 [5] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points of X , therefore; we say that $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$; that is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$. We call x is the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and we write $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Proposition 2.4 [5] Let (X, G) and (X', G') be G metric spaces, then a function $f: X \rightarrow X'$ is said to be G-continuous at a point $x \in X$ if and only if it is G-sequentially continuous, that is, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x , $\{fx_n\}$ is G-convergent to $f(x)$.

Proposition 2.5 [5] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. Then the following statements are equivalent

- (a) $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to x .
- (b) $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (c) $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (d) $G(x_n, x_m, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2.6 [5] Let (X, G) be a G-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called G-cauchy sequence if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m, l \geq N$; that is if $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 2.7 [5] In a G-metric space (X, G) , the following two statements are equivalent.

- (1) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is G -cauchy.
- (2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$.

Definition 2.8 [5] A G -metric space (X, G) is said to be G -complete (or a complete G -metric space) if every G -cauchy sequence in (X, G) is G -convergent in (X, G) .

Proposition 2.9 [5] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then the function $G(x, y, z)$ is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

Definition 2.10 [5] A G -metric space (X, G) is called a symmetric G -metric space if

$$G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Proposition 2.11 [5] Every G -metric space (X, G) defines a metric space (X, d_G) by

$$d_G(x, y) = G(x, y, y) + G(y, x, x) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Note that, if (X, G) is a symmetric space G -metric space, then

$$d_G(x, y) = 2 G(x, y, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

However, if (X, G) is not asymmetric space, then it holds by the G -metric properties that

$$\frac{3}{2} G(x, y, y) \leq d_G(x, y) \leq 3G(x, y, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

In general, these inequalities cannot be improved.

Proposition 2.12 [5] A G -metric space (X, G) is G -complete if and only if (X, d_G) is a complete metric space.

Proposition 2.13 [5] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. Then for any $x, y, z, a \in X$, it follows that

- (1) If $G(x, y, z) = 0$ then $x = y = z$.
- (2) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, x, y) + G(x, x, z)$.
- (3) $G(x, y, y) \leq 2 G(y, x, x)$.
- (4) $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, z) + G(a, y, z)$.
- (5) $G(x, y, z) \leq \frac{2}{3} \{G(x, a, a) + G(y, a, a) + G(z, a, a)\}$.

III. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1 Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping which satisfies the following condition, for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq k \max\{G(x, y, z), G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz), G(x, Ty, Ty), G(y, Tz, Tz), G(z, Tx, Tx), G(x, Ty, Ty), G(y, Tz, Tz), G(z, Tx, Tx)\}, \tag{3.1}$$

Where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, then T has a unique fixed point (say u) and T is G -continuous at u .

Proof: Suppose that T satisfies condition (1), let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point, and define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = T^n x_0$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= T^1 x_0 = Tx_0, \\ x_2 &= T^2 x_0 = T(Tx_0) = Tx_1, \\ x_3 &= T^3 x_0 = T(T^2 x_0) = Tx_2, \end{aligned}$$

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1}, \quad x_{n+1} = Tx_n.$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = G(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n)$$

Then by (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) &\leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), \\ &\quad G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), G(x_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n), G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), G(x_n, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), \\ &\quad G(x_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n), G(x_n, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n)\}. \\ G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) &\leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ &\quad G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), G(x_n, x_n, x_n), \\ &\quad G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), G(x_n, x_n, x_n), G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}. \\ G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) &\leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

So, by (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) &\leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ &\quad G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}. \\ G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) &\leq k \{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + k G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}),$$

$$(1 - k) G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)$$

This implies

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n),$$

Let $q = \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)$, $q < 1$ as $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq q G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), \tag{3.3}$$

Repeated application of inequality (3.3), we obtain

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq q^n G(x_0, x_1, x_1) \tag{3.4}$$

Then, for all $m, n \in N, m > n$, we have by repeated use of rectangular inequality (G5),

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \leq G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + G(x_{m-1}, x_m, x_m)$$

By (3.3), we get,

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \leq q^n G(x_0, x_1, x_1) + q^{n+1} G(x_0, x_1, x_1) + \dots + q^{m-1} G(x_0, x_1, x_1)$$

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \leq (q^n + q^{n+1} + \dots + q^{m-1}) G(x_0, x_1, x_1).$$

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \leq q^n (1 + q + q^2 + \dots) G(x_0, x_1, x_1)$$

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \leq \frac{q^n}{1-q} G(x_0, x_1, x_1) \tag{3.5}$$

Then $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

For $n, m, l \in N$, by rectangular inequality of G-metric space implies that

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \leq G(x_n, x_m, x_m) + G(x_m, x_m, x_l)$$

Taking limit as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$, we get $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$.

So $\{x_n\}$ is G-cauchy sequence. By completeness of (X, G) , there exist $u \in X$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is G-converges to u . Suppose that $Tu \neq u$, then

$$G(x_n, Tu, Tu) = G(Tx_{n-1}, Tu, Tu)$$

by (3.1), we have

$$G(x_n, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, u, u), G(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), G(u, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tu, Tu),$$

$$G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}),$$

$$G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), G(u, Tu, Tu)\}.$$

$$G(x_n, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, u, u), G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(u, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tu, Tu),$$

$$G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tu, Tu), G(u, x_n, x_n),$$

$$G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu), G(u, x_n, x_n), G(u, Tu, Tu)\}.$$

$$G(x_n, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, u, u), G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), G(u, Tu, Tu), G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu), G(u, x_n, x_n)\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and using the fact that the function G is continuous on its variables, we have,

$$G(u, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{G(u, u, u), G(u, u, u), G(u, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tu, Tu), G(u, u, u)\},$$

$$G(u, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{0, 0, G(u, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tu, Tu), 0\},$$

$$G(u, Tu, Tu) \leq k G(u, Tu, Tu).$$

This is a contradiction since $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{2}$

$$\text{So } Tu = u. \tag{3.7}$$

That is u is a fixe point of T .

To prove uniqueness of the fixed point, suppose that v is another fixed point,

$$\text{That is } Tv = v \tag{3.8}$$

$$G(u, v, v) = G(Tu, Tv, Tv),$$

Then from (3.1)

$$G(u, v, v) \leq k \max\{G(u, v, v), G(u, Tu, Tu), G(v, Tv, Tv), G(v, Tv, Tv), G(u, Tv, Tv), G(v, Tv, Tv),$$

$$G(v, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tv, Tv), G(v, Tu, Tu), G(v, Tv, Tv)\},$$

$$G(u, v, v) \leq k \max\{G(u, v, v), G(u, u, u), G(v, v, v), G(v, v, v), G(u, v, v), G(v, v, v),$$

$$G(v, u, u), G(u, v, v), G(v, u, u), G(v, v, v)\},$$

$$G(u, v, v) \leq k \max\{G(u, v, v), 0, 0, 0, G(u, v, v), 0, G(v, u, u), G(u, v, v), G(v, u, u), 0\},$$

$$G(u, v, v) \leq k \max\{G(u, v, v), G(v, u, u)\},$$

$$\text{So } G(u, v, v) \leq k G(v, u, u). \tag{3.9}$$

Again by the same argument we will find $G(v, u, u) \leq k G(u, v, v)$ (3.10)

Substitute (3.10) in (3.9), we obtain

$$G(u, v, v) \leq k.k G(u, v, v),$$

$$G(u, v, v) \leq k^2 G(u, v, v).$$

Which is contradiction since $0 \leq k^2 < \frac{1}{4}$.

Therefore u is unique fixed point of T .

Now, we show that, T is G -continuous at u . Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in X , by completeness of X , the sequence $\{y_n\}$ converges to u in X .

$$\text{That is } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = u \tag{3.11}$$

$$G(Tu, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k \max\{G(u, y_n, y_n), G(u, Tu, Tu), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), \\ G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(y_n, Tu, Tu), \\ G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(y_n, Tu, Tu), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}, \\ G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k \max\{G(u, y_n, y_n), G(u, u, u), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), \\ G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(y_n, u, u), G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(y_n, u, u), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}, \\ G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k \max\{G(u, y_n, y_n), G(u, u, u), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(y_n, u, u)\}, \\ G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k \max\{G(u, y_n, y_n), G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(y_n, u, u)\},$$

by (G5), we obtain

$$G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k \max\{G(u, y_n, y_n), G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(y_n, u, u)\}, \\ G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k \max\{G(u, y_n, y_n), G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}, \tag{3.12}$$

And it leads the following two cases,

$$G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k G(u, y_n, y_n) \tag{3.12a}$$

$$G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k \{G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}, \\ G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k G(y_n, u, u) + k G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), \\ (1 - k) G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq k G(y_n, u, u),$$

$$G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \leq \frac{k}{1-k} G(y_n, u, u) \tag{3.12b}$$

In all two cases [3.12(a) and 3.12(b)], letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so the sequence $\{Ty_n\}$ is G -convergent to $u = Tu$.

This implies that T is G -continuous at u .

Remark 3.2: if the G -metric space is bounded (that is, for some $L > 0$ we have $G(x, y, z) \leq L$ for all $x, y, z \in X$) then an argument similar to that used above establishes the result for $0 \leq k < 1$.

Corollary 3.3 let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping which satisfies the following condition for some $m \in N$ and for all $x, y, z \in X$

$$G(T^m x, T^m y, T^m z) \leq k \max\{G(x, y, z), G(x, T^m x, T^m x), G(y, T^m y, T^m y), G(z, T^m z, T^m z), \\ G(x, T^m y, T^m y), G(y, T^m z, T^m z), G(z, T^m x, T^m x), \\ G(x, T^m z, T^m z), G(y, T^m x, T^m x), G(z, T^m y, T^m y)\} \tag{3.13}$$

Where $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{2}$, then T has a unique fixed point (say u), and T^m is G -continuous at u .

Proof: Given that $T: X \rightarrow X$ is self mapping, then for all $m \in N$, $T^m: X \rightarrow X$.

Therefore (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $T^m: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping which satisfies the given condition (3.13), then by theorem 3.1, T^m has a unique fixed point (say u), and T^m is G -continuous.

Now we shall prove that u is a unique fixed point T^m .

Consider $Tu = T(T^m u) = T^{m+1}u = T^m(Tu)$

Therefore $T^m(Tu) = Tu$, Tu is a fixed point of T^m .

Since T^m has a unique fixed u , $Tu = u$

Therefore u is a unique fixed point of T^m .

Theorem 3.4 let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping which satisfies the following condition for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq k \max\{G(x, Tx, Tx) + G(y, Ty, Ty) + G(z, Tz, Tz), \\ G(x, y, z) + G(x, Ty, Ty) + G(y, Tx, Tx), \\ G(x, y, z) + G(y, Tz, Tz) + G(z, Ty, Ty), \\ G(x, y, z) + G(x, Tz, Tz) + G(z, Tx, Tx)\}. \tag{3.14}$$

Where $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{4}$, then T has a unique fixed point (say u), and T is G -continuous at u .

Proof: suppose that T satisfies the condition (3.14), let $x_0 \in X$ be an ordinary point, and define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = T^n x_0$, then by (3.14)

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = G(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n) \\ G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), \\ G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(x_n, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), \\ G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n), \\ G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n) + G(x_n, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})\}. \\ G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, x_n, x_n), \\ G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}),$$

$$G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, x_n, x_n)\}.$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}.$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}.$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}.$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ 2G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}. \tag{3.15}$$

Inequality (3.15) leads to the following two cases

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k \{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}, \\ G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2k G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ (1 - 2k) G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), \\ G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{k}{1-2k} G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) \tag{3.15a}$$

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq k \{2G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + kG(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}, \\ G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq 2kG(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + kG(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), \\ (1 - k) G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq 2kG(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n), \\ G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{2k}{1-k} G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) \tag{3.15b}$$

Let $q = \left\{ \frac{k}{1-2k} \text{ or } \frac{2k}{1-k} \right\}$, ($q < 1$ since $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{4}$), from (3.15a) & (3.15b), we obtain that

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq q G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) \quad (q < 1) \tag{3.16}$$

By repeated application of (3.16), we have

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq q^n G(x_0, x_1, x_1) \tag{3.17}$$

For all $m, n \in N$, $m > n$ and repeated use of rectangular inequality (G5), we obtain

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \leq G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + G(x_{m-1}, x_m, x_m). \\ G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \leq (q^n + q^{n+1} + q^{n+2} + \dots + q^{m-1}) G(x_0, x_1, x_1), \\ G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \leq \frac{q^n}{q-1} G(x_0, x_1, x_1) \tag{3.18}$$

Letting $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides in (18), we obtain

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_m) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.19}$$

For $n, m, l \in N$, by rectangular inequality of G-metric space implies that

$$G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \leq G(x_n, x_m, x_m) + G(x_m, x_m, x_l)$$

Taking limit as $n, m, l \rightarrow \infty$, we get $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \rightarrow 0$.

From (3.19) $\{x_n\}$ is G-cauchy sequence. By the completeness of (X, G) , there exist $u \in X$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is G-convergent to u .

Suppose that $Tu \neq u$, then

$$G(x_n, Tu, Tu) = G(Tx_{n-1}, Tu, Tu)$$

Then by (3.14), we have,

$$G(x_n, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) + G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tu, Tu), \\ G(x_{n-1}, u, u) + G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), \\ G(x_{n-1}, u, u) + G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tu, Tu), \\ G(x_{n-1}, u, u) + G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})\}.$$

$$G(x_n, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tu, Tu), \\ G(x_{n-1}, u, u) + G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu) + G(u, x_n, x_n), \\ G(x_{n-1}, u, u) + G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tu, Tu), \\ G(x_{n-1}, u, u) + G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu) + G(u, x_n, x_n)\}.$$

$$G(x_n, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + 2G(u, Tu, Tu), \\ G(x_{n-1}, u, u) + G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu) + G(u, x_n, x_n), \\ G(x_{n-1}, u, u) + 2G(u, Tu, Tu), \\ G(x_{n-1}, u, u) + G(x_{n-1}, Tu, Tu) + G(u, x_n, x_n)\}. \tag{3.20}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.20), and using the fact that G is continuous in its variables, we get

$$G(u, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{G(u, u, u) + 2G(u, Tu, Tu),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & G(u, u, u) + G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(u, u, u), \\
 & \quad G(u, u, u) + 2G(u, Tu, Tu), \\
 & \quad G(u, u, u) + G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(u, u, u)\}. \\
 G(u, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{ & 2G(u, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tu, Tu), 2G(u, Tu, Tu), G(u, Tu, Tu)\}, \\
 & G(u, Tu, Tu) \leq 2k G(u, Tu, Tu)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

The inequality (21) is contradiction since $2k < 1$. This implies that $Tu = u$.

Therefore u is a fixed point of T .

To prove the uniqueness of the fixed point, suppose that $v \neq u$ such that $Tv = v$. then

$$G(u, v, v) = G(Tu, Tv, Tv)$$

Then by (3.14), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(u, v, v) \leq k \max\{ & G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(v, Tv, Tv) + G(v, Tv, Tv), \\
 & G(u, v, v) + G(u, Tv, Tv) + G(v, Tu, Tu), \\
 & G(u, v, v) + G(v, Tv, Tv) + G(v, Tv, Tv), \\
 & G(u, v, v) + G(u, Tv, Tv) + G(v, Tu, Tu)\}. \\
 G(u, v, v) \leq k \max\{ & G(u, u, u) + G(v, v, v) + G(v, v, v), \\
 & G(u, v, v) + G(u, v, v) + G(v, u, u), \\
 & G(u, v, v) + G(v, v, v) + G(v, v, v), \\
 & G(u, v, v) + G(u, v, v) + G(v, u, u)\}. \\
 G(u, v, v) \leq k \max\{ & 0, 2G(u, v, v) + G(v, u, u), G(u, v, v), 2G(u, v, v) + G(v, u, u)\}. \\
 G(u, v, v) \leq k \{ & 2G(u, v, v) + G(v, u, u)\}, \\
 G(u, v, v) \leq 2k & G(u, v, v) + k G(v, u, u), \\
 (1 - 2k) G(u, v, v) & \leq k G(v, u, u), \\
 G(u, v, v) \leq \frac{k}{1-2k} & G(v, u, u),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

By repeated use of same argument in right side of (3.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(u, v, v) & \leq \left(\frac{k}{1-2k}\right) \left(\frac{k}{1-2k}\right) G(u, v, v), \\
 G(u, v, v) & \leq \left(\frac{k}{1-2k}\right)^2 G(u, v, v), \\
 G(u, v, v) & \leq q^2 G(u, v, v),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

The inequality (23) is contradiction since $q^2 < 1$, $(0 \leq k < \frac{1}{4})$ this implies that $u = v$. Therefore u is a unique fixed point of T .

Now, we show that, T is G -continuous at u . Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in X , by completeness of X , the sequence $\{y_n\}$ converges to u in X .

$$\text{That is } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = u \tag{3.24}$$

Then by (3.14), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(Ty_n, Tu, Tu) \leq k \max\{ & G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n) + G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tu, Tu), \\
 & G(y_n, u, u) + G(y_n, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), \\
 & G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Tu, Tu), \\
 & G(y_n, u, u) + G(y_n, Tu, Tu) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(Ty_n, u, u) \leq k \max\{ & G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n) + G(u, u, u) + G(u, u, u), \\
 & G(y_n, u, u) + G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), \\
 & G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, u, u) + G(u, u, u), \\
 & G(y_n, u, u) + G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}. \\
 G(Ty_n, u, u) \leq k \max\{ & G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), 2G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), G(y_n, u, u), \\
 & 2G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$G(Ty_n, u, u) \leq k \max\{G(y_n, Ty_n, Ty_n), 2G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}.$$

by (G5) of definition 2.1,

$$G(Ty_n, u, u) \leq k \max\{G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n), 2G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}.$$

$$G(Ty_n, u, u) \leq k\{2G(y_n, u, u) + G(u, Ty_n, Ty_n)\}.$$

$$G(Ty_n, u, u) \leq k\{2G(y_n, u, u) + 2G(Ty_n, u, u)\} \quad (\text{Since } G(x, y, y) \leq 2G(y, x, x)).$$

$$G(Ty_n, u, u) \leq 2k G(y_n, u, u) + 2k G(Ty_n, u, u),$$

$$(1 - 2k) G(Ty_n, u, u) \leq 2k G(y_n, u, u),$$

$$G(Ty_n, u, u) \leq \frac{2k}{1-2k} G(y_n, u, u)$$

$$G(Ty_n, u, u) \leq q G(y_n, u, u) \quad (\text{where } q = \frac{2k}{1-2k}, q < 1, \text{ since } 0 \leq k < \frac{1}{4}) \tag{3.25}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.25), we obtain that

$$G(Ty_n, u, u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore $Ty_n \rightarrow Tu = u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This implies that T is G -continuous at u .

Corollary 3.5 let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping which satisfies the following condition for some $m \in N$ and for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$G(T^m x, T^m y, T^m z) \leq k \max\{G(x, T^m x, T^m x) + G(y, T^m y, T^m y) + G(z, T^m z, T^m z), \\ G(x, y, z) + G(x, T^m y, T^m y) + G(y, T^m x, T^m x), \\ G(x, y, z) + G(y, T^m z, T^m z) + G(z, T^m y, T^m y), \\ G(x, y, z) + G(x, T^m z, T^m z) + G(z, T^m x, T^m x)\}. \quad (3.26)$$

Where $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{4}$, then T has a unique fixed point (say u), and T is G -continuous at u .

Proof: Given that $T: X \rightarrow X$ is self mapping, then for all $m \in N$, $T^m: X \rightarrow X$.

Therefore (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $T^m: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping which satisfies the given condition (26), then by theorem 3.4, T^m has a unique fixed point (say u), and T^m is G -continuous.

Now we shall prove that u is a unique fixed point T^m .

$$\text{Consider } Tu = T(T^m u) = T^{m+1}u = T^m(Tu)$$

Therefore $T^m(Tu) = Tu$, Tu is a fixed point of T^m .

Since T^m has a unique fixed u , $Tu = u$

Therefore u is a unique fixed point of T^m .

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Gahler, 2-metriche raume und ihre topologische strukture, Math. Nachr., 26(1963), 115-148
- [2] S. Gahler, Zur geometric 2-metriche raume, Revue Roumaine de Math.Pures et Appl. 11(1996), 664-669.
- [3] B.C. Dhage Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed point, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 84(1992), 329-336.
- [4] B.C. Dhage, Generalized metric space and topological structure I, An. Stint. Univ. Al.I. Cuza Iasi. Math (N.S), 46(2000), 3-24.
- [5] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, "A new approach to generalized metric spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 289–297, 2006.
- [6] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat, and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorem for mapping on complete G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Volume 2008, Article ID 189870, 12 pages, 2008
- [7] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, some remarks concerning D-metric spaces, in proceedings of the International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, pp.189-198, Yokahama, Japan, 2004.
- [8] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Fixed Point Theorems for Contractive Mappings in complete G metric Spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl. Vol. 2009, Article ID 917175, 2009.
- [9] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi and M.Bataineh, Existence of fixed point results in G-metric Spaces, International J. Math. Math. Sciences, vol. 2009, Article ID 283028, 2009.
- [10] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, Fixed Point Theorems for Contractive Mappings in Complete G-metric spaces, volume 2009, Article ID 917175, 10 pages.