

## Uniform Boundedness of Shift Operators

Ahmed Alnaji Abasher

(Mathematics Department, College of Science and Arts /Shaqra University, Saudi Arabia)

**Abstract.** We show, if the norms of  $S_k$  are uniformly bounded on  $l^{(p_n)}$  for a bounded  $\{p_n\}$  if and only if there exists  $r, 1 \leq r < \infty$ , such that the norms in  $l^{(p_n)}$  and the classical space  $l^r$  are equivalent. A "pointwise-bounded" family of continuous linear operators from a Banach space to a normed space is "Uniformly bounded."

Stated another way, let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $Y$  be a normed space. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a collection of bounded linear mappings of  $X$  into  $Y$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\sup\{\|Ax\|; A \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty$ , then  $\sup\{\|A\|; A \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty$ .

**Keywords:** Banach space, characteristic function, linear mappings, Uniformly bounded.

### I. Introduction

A crucial difference between  $L^{p(x)}$  and the classical Lebesgue space is that  $L^{p(x)}$  is not, in general, invariant under translation [1]. Moreover, there is a function  $f \in L^{p(x)}$  which is not  $p(x)$ -mean continuous provided  $p$  is continuous and non-constant.

Consider a discrete analogue  $l^{(p_n)}$  of  $L^{p(x)}$ . In [2] it is proved that under certain assumptions on  $\{p_n\}$  the norms of shift operators given by

$$S_k a = \{(S_k a)_n\}, \quad (S_k a)_n = a_{n-k}, \quad a = \{a_n\},$$

are uniformly bounded on  $l^{(p_n)}$ . Recall that  $\{p_n\}$  need not be constant. As an immediate consequence it is shown that the norms of averaging operators given by

$$(T_k a)_n = \frac{1}{k} (a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{n+k-1}), \quad a = \{a_n\} \in \ell^{(p_n)},$$

are uniformly bounded on  $l^{(p_n)}$ , too.

In this paper we prove the following assertion: The norms of  $S_k$  are uniformly bounded on  $l^{(p_n)}$  for a bounded  $\{p_n\}$  if and only if there exists  $r, 1 \leq r < \infty$ , such that the norms in  $l^{(p_n)}$  and the classical space  $l^r$  are equivalent [3].

### II. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  denote the set of all integers and let  $\mu$  denote the set of all mappings  $a: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We will also denote elements of  $\mu$  by  $\{a_n\}$ . Let

$$\varepsilon = \{p \in \mu; 1 \leq p_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Denote by  $p^* = \sup\{p_n; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for any  $p \in \varepsilon$  and

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{p \in \varepsilon; p^* < \infty\}.$$

Let the symbol  $\chi^k$  stand for the characteristic function of the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{Z}; -k \leq n \leq k\}$ . Let  $a^k, a \in \mu$ . Say that  $a \geq 0$  if  $a_n \geq 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a^k \nearrow a$  if  $(a^k)_n \nearrow a_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We recall the definition of a Banach function space.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A linear space  $X, X \subset \mu$ , is called a Banach function space if there exists a functional  $\|\cdot\|_X: \mu \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  with the norm property satisfying:

- (i)  $a \in X$  if and only if  $\|a\|_X < \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $\|a\|_X = \|\|a\|\|_X$  for all  $a \in \mu$ ;
- (iii) if  $0 \leq a^k \nearrow a$  then  $\|a^k\|_X \nearrow \|a\|_X$ ;
- (iv)  $\|a\chi^k\|_X < \infty$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (v) for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a positive constant  $c_k$  such that

$$\sum_{|n| \leq k} |a_n| \leq c_k \|a\|_X \text{ for all } a \in X.$$

**DEFINITION 2.2.** Let  $p \in \varepsilon$ . Denote for  $a \in \mu$  the Luxemburg norm by

$$\|a\|_{\{p_n\}} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{a_n}{\lambda} \right|^{p_n} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Define the space  $l^{\{p_n\}}$  by:

$$l^{\{p_n\}} = \{a; \|a\|_{\{p_n\}} < \infty\}.$$

Remark that we will use the usual symbols  $l^r$  and  $\|a\|_r$  in the case of constant mapping  $r \in \mathbb{E}$ . Recall that  $\|a\|_r = (\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^r)^{1/r}$  in this case.

LEMMA 2.3 The space  $l^{\{p_n\}}$  is a Banach functions space.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $p, q \in \mathbb{E}$ , and let  $T$  be a linear mapping on  $\mu$ . We will say that  $T$  is bounded from  $l^{\{p_n\}}$  into  $l^{\{q_n\}}$  if

$$\|T\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow q_n\}} := \sup \{ \|Ta\|_{\{q_n\}}; \|a\|_{\{p_n\}} \leq 1 \} < \infty.$$

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $p \in \mathbb{B}$ . Then

$$l^{\{p_n\}} = \left\{ a; \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^{p_n} < \infty \right\}.$$

LEMMA 2.6. Let  $p, q \in \mathbb{B}$ , and let  $T$  be a linear mapping which maps  $\mu$  into itself. Let  $c$  be a positive constant such that:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^{p_n} \leq 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(Ta)_n|^{q_n} \leq c.$$

Then

$$\|T\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow q_n\}} \leq \max(1, c).$$

*Proof.* Assume  $\|a\|_{\{p_n\}} \leq 1$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^{p_n} \leq 1$  and according to the assumptions we

have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(Ta)_n|^{q_n} \leq \max(1, c)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left( T \left( \frac{a}{\max(1, c)} \right) \right)_n \right|^{q_n} &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{(Ta)_n}{\max(1, c)} \right|^{q_n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\max(1, c)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(Ta)_n|^{q_n} \leq \frac{c}{\max(1, c)} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This gives  $\|T\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow q_n\}} \leq \max(1, c)$  and the result follows.

COROLLARY 2.7. Let  $p, q \in \mathbb{B}$  and  $\{T_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  a sequence of linear mappings such that

$T_m : \mu \rightarrow \mu$ . Let  $\tilde{c} \geq 0$ , a constant such that, if  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^{p_n} \leq 1$  and,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left| (T_{m_a})_n \right|^{q_n} \leq \tilde{c}$ , then

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty \|T_m\|_E \leq \max(1, \tilde{c}) \text{ where } E = \{p_n \rightarrow q_n\}.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 2.6 implies that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left| (T_{m_a})_n \right|^{q_n} \leq \max(1, \tilde{c})$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left| \left( T_m \left( \frac{a}{\max(1, \tilde{c})} \right) \right)_n \right|^{q_n} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left| \frac{(T_{m_a})_n}{\max(1, \tilde{c})} \right|^{q_n}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\max(1, \tilde{c})} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| (T_{m_a})_n \right|^{q_n} \leq M \leq 1,$$

Where

$$M = \frac{\tilde{c}}{\max(1, \tilde{c})}$$

which gives the result.

LEMMA 2.8. Let  $p, q \in \mathfrak{B}$  and let  $T$  be a linear mapping which maps  $\mu$  into itself. Let  $c > 1$  be a positive number such that  $\|T\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow q_n\}} \geq c$  [5]. Then there exists an  $a \in \mu$  such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^{p_n} \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(T_a)_n|^{q_n} \geq c.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\|T\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow q_n\}} \geq c$  we have an  $a \in \mu$  such that for any  $\lambda \in c$  it is

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^{p_n} \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{(T_a)_n}{\lambda} \right|^{q_n} > 1.$$

Considering only  $1 \leq \lambda < c$ , we can write

$$1 < \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{(T_a)_n}{\lambda} \right|^{q_n} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(T_a)_n|^{q_n},$$

from which it follows that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(T_a)_n|^{q_n} \geq c,$$

and the proof is finished [4].

COROLLARY 2.9. If  $\{T_m\}$  is a sequence of linear mappings, and  $T_m : \mu \rightarrow \mu$ . Let  $\tilde{c}_j > 1$  such that  $E = \{p_n \rightarrow q_n\}$ .

For  $a_j \in \mu$  we have  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| (a_j)_n \right|^{p_n} \leq 1$  and  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| (T_{m_{a_j}})_n \right|^{p_n} \geq \tilde{c}_j$ .

*Proof.* For any  $1 \leq \lambda_j < \tilde{c}_j$  we have  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| (a_j)_n \right|^{p_n} \leq 1$  and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(T_{m_{a_j}})_n}{\lambda_j} \right|^{q_n} > 1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &< \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(T_{m_{a_j}})_n}{\lambda_j} \right|^{q_n} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| (T_{m_{a_j}})_n \right|^{q_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| (T_{m_{a_j}})_n \right|^{q_n} \geq \tilde{c}_j.$$

LEMMA2.10. Let  $p, q \in \mathfrak{B}$  and let  $T$  be a linear mapping from  $\mu$  into itself. Assume that there exists a number  $c > 1$  and  $a \in \mu$  such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^{p_n} \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(T_a)_n|^{q_n} \geq c.$$

Then  $\|T\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow q_n\}} \geq c^{1/q^*}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\|a\|_{\{p_n\}} \leq 1$ . Further

$$\|T\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow q_n\}} \geq \|T_a\|_{\{q_n\}} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{(T_a)_n}{\lambda} \right|^{q_n} \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Take  $\lambda < c^{1/q^*}$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{(T_a)_n}{\lambda} \right|^{q_n} > \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|(T_a)_n|^{q_n}}{c^{q_n/q^*}} \geq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|(T_a)_n|^{q_n}}{c} \geq 1.$$

Consequently,  $\|T\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow q_n\}} \geq c^{1/q^*}$ .

### III. Key assertions

Given  $\varepsilon \in \mu$  we adopt the notation  $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}; \varepsilon_n > 0\}$ .

DEFINITION3.1. Let  $\varepsilon \in \mu$ . We say that  $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{A}$  if there exists a real number  $c > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon)} \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n} < \infty. \tag{1}$$

Set

$$v(\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{c} \left( 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon)} \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n} \right); c > 0 \right\}.$$

REMARK3.2. It is easy to see that  $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{A}$  if and only if  $v(\varepsilon) < \infty$  and  $|\varepsilon| \in \mathfrak{A}$  if and only if  $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $-\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

LEMMA3.3. Let  $k > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mu$  be such that  $0 < \alpha_n \leq k$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\alpha \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $c$  satisfy (1). Without loss of generality we can assume  $c \leq 1$ . Set  $d = c^k$ . Let us estimate

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}(\alpha \varepsilon)} \alpha_n \varepsilon_n d^{1/(\alpha_n \varepsilon_n)}.$$

Since  $0 < \alpha_n \leq k$ , we have  $d = c^k \leq c^{\alpha_n}$  and using the simple fact that  $\mathbb{P}(\alpha \varepsilon) = \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}(\alpha \varepsilon)} \alpha_n \varepsilon_n d^{1/(\alpha_n \varepsilon_n)} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon)} \alpha_n \varepsilon_n (c^k)^{1/(\alpha_n \varepsilon_n)} \\ &\leq k \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon)} \varepsilon_n (c^{\alpha_n})^{1/(\alpha_n \varepsilon_n)} = k \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon)} \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof.

LEMMA3.4. Let  $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $b \in \mu$  satisfy  $\varepsilon < 1$ ,  $0 \leq b$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n \leq 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} \leq 1 + e^{1/e} v(\varepsilon).$$

*Proof.* Let  $c$  satisfy (1) and assume  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n \leq 1$ . set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}_1 &= \{n \in \mathbb{Z}; \varepsilon_n \leq 0\}, \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 &= \{n \in \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon); b_n > \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n}\}, \\ \mathbb{Z}_3 &= \{n \in \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon); b_n \leq \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbb{Z}_1, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3$  are pairwise disjoint and  $\mathbb{Z}_1 \cup \mathbb{Z}_2 \cup \mathbb{Z}_3 = \mathbb{Z}$ , we can write

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_1} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_2} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_3} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \tag{2}$$

Note that, according to the assumptions,  $b_n \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_1$ . Then  $1 - \varepsilon_n \geq 1$  and  $b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} \leq b_n$ . Thus

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_1} b_n \leq 1. \tag{3}$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then  $b_n > \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n}$  and, consequently,  $b_n^{-\varepsilon_n} < (\varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n})^{-\varepsilon_n}$ . Since  $1 > \varepsilon_n > 0$ , then  $\varepsilon_n^{-\varepsilon_n} \leq e^{1/e}$  and  $b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} \leq \frac{1}{c} e^{1/e} b_n$ . Thus

$$I_2 \leq \frac{1}{c} e^{1/e} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_2} b_n \leq \frac{1}{c} e^{1/e}. \tag{4}$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Then  $0 \leq b_n \leq \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n}$ , which gives

$$b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} \leq \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n} (\varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n})^{-\varepsilon_n} \leq \frac{1}{c} e^{1/e} \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n}$$

And

$$I_3 \leq \frac{1}{c} e^{1/e} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_3} \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n}.$$

This yields with (2),(3) and (4)

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{c} e^{1/e} \left( 1 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_3} \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n} \right).$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} \leq 1 + e^{1/e} v(\varepsilon).$$

LEMMA 3.5. Let  $\varepsilon \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\varepsilon < 1$ . Then there exists  $b \in \mu$ ,  $0 \leq b$ , such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} = \infty.$$

Proof. Assume first

$$0 < \varepsilon_n < 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5}$$

Set  $N_0 = -1$ . We will construct sequences  $\{N_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $N_k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\{c_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $c_k \in (0, \infty)$ , satisfying for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$0 < c_k \leq \frac{1}{2^k} \text{ and } \sum_{N_{k-1} < |n| \leq N_k} \varepsilon_n c_k^{1/\varepsilon_n} = 1. \tag{6}$$

According to the assumption on  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ , we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_n c^{1/\varepsilon_n} = \infty \text{ for all } c > 0. \tag{7}$$

Thus, we can find  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{|n| \leq N_1} \varepsilon_n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/\varepsilon_n} \geq 1.$$

Then there exists a number  $0 < c_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$  such that

$$\sum_{|n| \leq N_1} \varepsilon_n c_1^{1/\varepsilon_n} = \sum_{N_0 < |n| \leq N_1} \varepsilon_n c_1^{1/\varepsilon_n} = 1.$$

Assume that we have constructed positive integers  $N_1 < N_2 < \dots < N_k$  and real numbers  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$  such that

$$0 < c_r \leq \frac{1}{2^r} \text{ and } \sum_{N_{r-1} < |n| \leq N_r} \varepsilon_n c_r^{1/\varepsilon_n} = 1.$$

For  $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . According to (7), we can find  $N_{k+1}$  such that

$$\sum_{N_k < |n| \leq N_{k+1}} \varepsilon_n \left(\frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\right)^{1/\varepsilon_n} \geq 1.$$

Then we can take  $c_{k+1}$  such that

$$0 < c_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \text{ and } \sum_{N_k < |n| \leq N_{k+1}} \varepsilon_n (c_{k+1})^{1/\varepsilon_n} = 1$$

which proves (6).

Define  $b \in \mu$  by

$$b_n = \left(\varepsilon_n c_k^{1/\varepsilon_n}\right)^{1/(1-\varepsilon_n)} \text{ if } N_{k-1} < |n| \leq N_k.$$

Using (6) we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_{k-1} < |n| \leq N_k} \varepsilon_n c_k^{1/\varepsilon_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1 = \infty.$$

Let us estimate  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n$ . Clearly, by (5) it is  $0 < \varepsilon_n c_k^{1/\varepsilon_n} \leq 1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $1 - \varepsilon_n^2 \leq 1$  we obtain

$$b_n = \left( \varepsilon_n c_k^{1/\varepsilon_n} \right)^{1/(1-\varepsilon_n)} \leq \left( \varepsilon_n c_k^{1/\varepsilon_n} \right)^{1+\varepsilon_n}$$

which implies with (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{N_{k-1} < |n| \leq N_k} \left( \varepsilon_n c_k^{1/\varepsilon_n} \right)^{1+\varepsilon_n} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{N_{k-1} < |n| \leq N_k} \left( \varepsilon_n c_k^{1/\varepsilon_n} \right)^{\varepsilon_n} c_k \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{N_{k-1} < |n| \leq N_k} \varepsilon_n c_k^{1/\varepsilon_n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that (5) is not satisfied. Since  $\varepsilon \notin \mathfrak{A}$ , the set  $\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon)$  must be infinite. Then there exists a one-to-one mapping  $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Set  $\delta_n = \varepsilon_{\pi^{-1}(n)}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\delta \notin \mathfrak{A}$  and satisfies (5). Thus, there exists  $a \in \mu$ ,  $a > 0$ , such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_n)^{1-\delta_n} = \infty.$$

Define

$$b_n = \begin{cases} a_{\pi(n)} & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon) \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon) \end{cases}$$

Now, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon)} a_{\pi(n)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k \leq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon)} a_{\pi(n)}^{1-\delta_{\pi(n)}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k^{1-\delta_k} \leq \infty.$$

Thus,  $b$  satisfies the desired properties, which completes the proof.

#### IV. Equivalence of $l^{\{p_n\}}$ norms

Let us denote by  $\text{Id}$  the identity operator on  $\mu$  and by  $l^{\{p_n\}} \hookrightarrow l^{\{q_n\}}$  the imbedding of  $l^{\{p_n\}}$  into  $l^{\{q_n\}}$ . Recall that  $l^{\{p_n\}} \hookrightarrow l^{\{q_n\}}$  if  $\|\text{Id}\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow q_n\}} < \infty$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** Let  $p, q \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $p - q \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Then

$$l^{\{p_n\}} \hookrightarrow l^{\{q_n\}}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^{p_n} \leq 1$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  set  $b_n = |a_n|^{p_n}$ ,

$\varepsilon_n = \frac{p_n - q_n}{p_n}$ . Then  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n \leq 1$  and, according to Lemma 3.3,  $\{\varepsilon_n\} \in \mathfrak{A}$ . By

Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_n|^{q_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} \leq 1 + e^{-1/e} \nu(\varepsilon)$$

and consequently, using Lemma 2.6

$$\|\text{Id}\|_{l^{\{p_n\}} \hookrightarrow l^{\{q_n\}}} \leq 1 + e^{-1/e} \nu(\varepsilon) < \infty$$

which proves the lemma [3].

**THEOREM 4.2.** Let  $p, q \in \mathfrak{B}$  and let

$$l^{\{p_n\}} \hookrightarrow l^{\{q_n\}}.$$

Then  $p - q \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $p - q \notin \mathfrak{A}$ . Set  $\varepsilon_n = \frac{p_n - q_n}{p_n}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . According to Lemma 3.3,  $\{\varepsilon_n\} \notin \mathfrak{A}$ . Moreover,  $\varepsilon_n < 1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Lemma 3.5 gives the existence of  $b \in \mu$ ,  $0 \leq b$ , such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} = \infty.$$

Set  $a_n = b_n^{1/p_n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^{p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n \leq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n^{q_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n^{1-\varepsilon_n} = \infty$$

Which yields with Lemma 2.5.  $l^{p_n} \hookrightarrow l^{q_n}$  and the proof is complete [1].

THEOREM 4.3. Let  $p, q \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Then the norms in spaces  $l^{p_n}$  and  $l^{q_n}$  are equivalent if and only if  $|p - q| \in \mathfrak{B}$ .

### V. Shift operators

In this section we show that the uniform boundedness of shift operators is equivalent to the existence of a real  $r \geq 1$  such that the norms in the spaces  $l^{p_n}$  and  $l^r$  are equivalent.

Let  $p \in \mathfrak{B}$  be fixed in this part.

DEFINITION 5.1. For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  define a shift operator  $S_k$  from  $\mu$  into itself by

$$(S_k a)_n = a_{n-k}, a \in \mu, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Set

$$D = \sup\{\|S_k\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow p_n\}}; k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

LEMMA 5.2. Let  $r \in [1, \infty)$  be such that the norms in the spaces  $l^{p_n}$  and  $l^r$  are equivalent. Then  $D < \infty$ .

Proof. Let  $c$  satisfy  $c^{-1}\|a\|_{\{p_n\}} \leq \|a\|_r \leq c\|a\|_{\{p_n\}}$  for all  $a \in \mu$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  be arbitrary. Since  $\|S_k\|_{\{r \rightarrow r\}} = 1$ , we immediately obtain

$$\|S_k\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow p_n\}} \leq \|Id\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow r\}} \|S_k\|_{\{r \rightarrow r\}} \|Id\|_{\{r \rightarrow p_n\}} \leq c^2.$$

Thus,  $D \leq c^2$ , which finishes the proof [1].

LEMMA 5.3. Assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_{n+1} - p_n| \neq 0.$$

Then either  $S_1$  or  $S_{-1}$  is unbounded on  $l^{p_n}$ .

Proof. According to the assumptions, there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $|p_{n+1} - p_n| \geq \alpha$  for infinitely many positive integers  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ . Set

$$\mathbb{P} = \{n \in \mathbb{N}; p_n - p_{n+1} \geq \alpha\} \text{ and } \mathbb{Z}_- = \{n \in \mathbb{N}; p_n - p_{n+1} \leq -\alpha\}.$$

Then either  $\mathbb{P}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_-$  is infinite.

Assume first that  $\mathbb{P}$  is infinite. Choose  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$y \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p^*}\right) \leq 1 < y. \tag{8}$$

Let  $\pi: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be one-to-one mapping and let  $a \in \mu$  be given by

$$a_n = \begin{cases} (\pi(n))^{-y/p_n}, & n \in \mathbb{P}, \\ 0, & n \notin \mathbb{P}. \end{cases}$$

By (8) we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_n)^{p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}} (\pi(n))^{-y} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-y} < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} ((S_1 a)_n)^{p_n} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_{n-1})^{p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_n)^{p_{n+1}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}} (\pi(n))^{-y p_{n+1}/p_n} \\ &\geq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}} \left( (\pi(n))^{-y(p_n - \alpha)/p_n} \right) \geq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{P}} (\pi(n))^{-y(1 - \alpha/p^*)} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-y(1 - \alpha/p^*)} = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

T

hus,  $S_1$  is unbounded.

If  $\mathbb{Z}_-$  is infinite then analogously  $S_{-1}$  is unbounded, which proves the lemma.

As an easy consequence we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.4. Let  $D < \infty$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_{n+1} - p_n| = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} |p_{n+1} - p_n| = 0.$$

LEMMA 5.5. Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_{n+1} - p_n| = 0$ . Denote  $\underline{p} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf p_n$ ,  $\bar{p} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup p_n$ . Let  $\underline{p} < \bar{p}$ . Then for any  $c > 1$  there exists  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\|S_m\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow p_n\}} \geq c$ .

*Proof.* Let  $c > 1$ . Assume  $\underline{p} < \bar{p}$ . Let  $\delta = \frac{1}{3}(\bar{p} - \underline{p})$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, b_n > 0$ , be a sequence satisfying

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n)^{\bar{p}-\delta} \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n)^{\underline{p}+\delta} = \infty. \tag{9}$$

Then there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (b_n)^{\underline{p}+\delta} \geq c^{p^*}. \tag{10}$$

According to the assumption  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_{n+1} - p_n| = 0$ , there are  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}, n_2 > n_1 + N$ , such that for any  $1 \leq s \leq N$  it is  $p_{(n_1+s)} > \bar{p} - \delta$  and  $p_{(n_2+s)} < \underline{p} + \delta$ . Let  $a \in \mu$  be given by

$$a_n = \begin{cases} b_{n-n_1} & \text{if } n \in \{n_1 + s; 1 \leq s \leq N\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \{n_1 + s; 1 \leq s \leq N\}. \end{cases}$$

Set  $m = n_2 - n_1$ . By (9), we have  $b_n \leq 1$  and consequently,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_n)^{p_n} = \sum_{s=1}^N (b_s)^{p_{(n_1+s)}} \leq \sum_{s=1}^N (b_s)^{\bar{p}-\delta} \leq 1.$$

Using (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} ((S_m a)_n)^{p_n} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_{n-m})^{p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_n)^{p_{n+m}} = \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^N (b_s)^{p_{(n_1+s+m)}} = \sum_{s=1}^N (b_s)^{p_{(n_2+s)}} \geq \sum_{s=1}^N (b_s)^{\underline{p}+\delta} \geq c^{p^*}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.10 we have  $\|S_m\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow p_n\}} \geq c$ , which proves the lemma.

As an easy consequence we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.6. Let  $D < \infty$ . Then exist limits  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} p_n$ .

LEMMA 5.7. Let  $D < \infty$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} p_n$

*Proof.* Set

$$p_l = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} p_n, \quad p_r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n \tag{11}$$

Let  $p_l \neq p_r$ . Without loss of generality we can assume  $p_l > p_r$ . Let  $c > 1$  be an arbitrary real number. Set  $\delta = \frac{1}{3}(p_l - p_r)$ . Let  $0 < b_k$  satisfy

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n)^{p_l-\delta} \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n)^{p_r+\delta} = \infty.$$

According to (11) and  $p_l > p_r$ , there is  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p_n \geq p_l - \delta$  for  $n \leq -N_1$  and  $p_n \leq p_r + \delta$  for  $n \geq N_1$ . Take  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N_2 > N_1$  and

$$\sum_{n=N_1}^{N_2} (b_n)^{p_r+\delta} \geq c^{p^*}.$$

Let  $a \in \mu$  be given by

$$a_n = \begin{cases} b_{-n} & \text{if } -N_2 \leq n \leq -N_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set  $m = N_1 + N_2$ . Since  $0 \leq a_n \leq 1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_n)^{p_n} = \sum_{n=-N_2}^{-N_1} (b_{-n})^{p_n} = \sum_{n=N_1}^{N_2} (b_n)^{p_{-n}} \leq \sum_{n=N_1}^{N_2} (b_n)^{p_l-\delta} \leq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} ((S_m a)_n)^{p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_{n-m})^{p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_n)^{p_{n+m}} =$$



$$\sum_{n=-N_2}^{-N_1} (b_{-n})^{p_{n+m}} = \sum_{n=N_1}^{N_2} (b_n)^{p_{m-n}} \geq \sum_{n=N_1}^{N_2} (b_n)^{p_r+\delta} \geq c^{p^*}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.10,  $\|S_m\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow p_n\}} \geq c$ .

Given a real number  $r$  we keep the same symbol for the constant mapping  $r: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $r_k = r$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

LEMMA 5.8. Let  $r = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} p_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n$  and let  $\{p_n - r\} \notin \mathfrak{A}$ . Then for any  $c > 1$  there is  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\|S_m\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow p_n\}} \geq c$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\{p_n - r\} \notin \mathfrak{A}$  we have by Lemma 3.3 that  $\{1 - \frac{r}{p_n}\} \notin \mathfrak{A}$  set  $\delta_n = 1 - \frac{r}{p_n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By Lemma 3.5 there is  $b \in \mu$ ,  $0 \leq b_n$ , such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n^{1-\delta_n} = \infty \tag{12}$$

Given  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  denote

$$\mathbb{Z}(N) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}; -N \leq n \leq N\}. \tag{13}$$

Let  $c > 1$  be an arbitrary real number. Fix  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)} b_n^{1-\delta_n} \geq 2e^{p^*}.$$

By (12) we have  $0 \leq b_n \leq 1$  and due to the fact that the set  $\mathbb{Z}(N)$  is finite we can choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  with

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)} b_n^{1-\delta_n+\varepsilon/p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)} b_n^{(r+\varepsilon)/p_n} \geq c^{p^*}. \tag{14}$$

Taking this  $\varepsilon$  we can find  $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $p_n < r + \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq n_1$ . Set  $m = n_1 + N$ . Then  $p_{n+m} < r + \varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)$  and, by (14),

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)} b_n^{p_{(n+m)}/p_n} \geq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)} b_n^{(r+\varepsilon)/p_n} \geq c^{p^*}. \tag{15}$$

Let  $a \in \mu$  be given by

$$a_n = \begin{cases} (b_n)^{1/p_n} & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}(N) \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \mathbb{Z}(N) \end{cases}$$

Then by (12) and (15) we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_n)^{p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)} b_n \leq 1$$

And

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} ((S_m a)_n)^{p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_{n-m})^{p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_n)^{p_{n+m}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)} (b_n)^{p_{(n+m)}/p_n} \geq c^{p^*}.$$

Thus, due to Lemma 2.10, We have  $\|S_m\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow p_n\}} \geq c$ , which proves the lemma.

LEMMA 5.9. Let  $r = \lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} p_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n$  and  $\{r - p_n\} \notin \mathbb{P}$ . Then for any  $c > 1$  there is  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\|S_m\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow p_n\}} \geq c$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 5.8 and therefore we will proceed faster. Given  $c > 1$  set  $\delta_n = 1 - \frac{p_n}{r}$ . Since  $\{\delta_n\} \notin \mathfrak{A}$ , there is  $b \in \mu$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)} b_n^{1-\delta_n} \geq 2c^{p^*} \tag{16}$$

Where  $\mathbb{Z}(N)$  is given by (13). Take  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)} b_n^{(1-\delta_n)/(r-\varepsilon)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)} b_n^{p_n/(r-\varepsilon)} \geq c^{p^*}. \tag{17}$$

Find  $n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $p_n \geq r - \varepsilon$  if  $n \geq n_1$ . Set  $m = n_1 + N$ . Define  $a \in \mu$

By

$$a_n = \begin{cases} (b_{n-m})^{1/p_n} & \text{if } n - m \in \mathbb{Z}(N) \\ 0 & \text{if } n - m \notin \mathbb{Z}(N). \end{cases}$$

Then by (16) and (17) we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_n)^{p_n} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_n \leq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} ((S_{-m} a)_n)^{p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_{n+m})^{p_n} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}(N)} (b_n)^{p(n)/p(n+m)} \geq c^{p^*}.$$

Thus, due to Lemma 2.10, we have  $\|S_{-m}\|_{\{p_n \rightarrow p_n\}} \geq c$ , which proves the Lemma.

LEMMA 5.10. Let  $D < \infty$ . Then there exists  $r \in [1, \infty)$  such that the norms in  $l^{\{p_n\}}$  and in  $l^r$  are equivalent.

This Lemma with Lemma 5.2 immediately gives the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.11. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $D < \infty$ ;
- (ii) there is  $r \in [1, \infty)$  such that the norms in  $l^{\{p_n\}}$  and in  $l^r$  are equivalent.

### References

- [1] O. KOVÁČIK AND J. RÁKOSNÍK, On spaces  $l^{(p(x))}$  and  $W^{k,p(x)}$ , Czechoslovak Math. **J.**, **41** (1996), 167-177.
- [2] D.E. EDMUNDS AND A. NEKVINDA, Averaging operators on  $l^{\{p_n\}}$  and  $L^{p(x)}$ , Math. Inequal. Appl., Zagreb (2002), 235-246.
- [3] ALES NAKVINDA, EQUIVALENCE OF  $l^{\{p_n\}}$  NORMS AND SHIFT OPERATORS, Math. Inequal. & Applications, Zagreb (2002), 711-723.
- [4] D.E. EDMUNDS, J. LANG AND A. NEKVINDA, On  $l^{(p(x))}$  norms, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A, **455** (1999), 210-225.
- [5] D.E. EDMUNDS AND J. RÁKOSNÍK, Density of smooth functions in  $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A, **437** (1993), 153-167.
- [6] D.E. EDMUNDS AND J. RÁKOSNÍK, Sobolev embedding with variable exponent, Studia Math., **143** (2000), 267-293.
- [7] M. RŮŽIČKA, Electrorheological fluids: Modeling and mathematical theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 1748. Berlin: Springer, 2000.
- [8] M. RŮŽIČKA, Flow of shear dependent Electrorheological fluids, C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris Série, **1329** (1999), 393-398.
- [9] S. G. SAMKO, The density of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in generalized Sobolev spaces  $W^{k,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , Soviet Math. Doklady, **60** (1999), 382-385.