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#### Abstract

The groups of much attention for which the Diffie - Hellman problem may be hard and used securely are the multiplicative group $F_{p}^{*},(\mathrm{Z} / n Z)^{*}$ and the group of rational points on an elliptic curve over a finite field. These groups involve large key sizes or expensive arithmetic operations. In this paper we consider the group of Lucas sequences and describe the generalization of discrete log problem to the group of Lucas sequences and adapt the baby-step giant-step algorithm to the generalization. For the computations we implement fast computing methods proposed by Smith.


Key word: Discrete Log Problem, Lucas Sequences, Public Key Cryptography.

## I. Introduction

The development of public key cryptography due to Diffie and Hellman is based on using Discrete Logarithm as one way function. The Discrete Logarithm problem in finite fields Fp is based on the fact that $F_{p}^{*}$ is cyclic and if g is any generator every element of $F_{p}^{*}$ is $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{a}}$ for some non negative integer a. For the discrete log problem in ( $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{nZ})^{*}$ we consider the generator g of a cyclic subgroup or a primitive root g mod n . More generally the discrete $\log$ problem may be discussed in any group with the group law in place of multiplication. In this paper we first discuss the discrete $\log$ problem in the finite groups of the form $F_{p}^{*}$ or $(\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{nZ})^{*}$ and some of its attacks and then describe the discrete $\log$ in group $L(\Delta, N)$ of Lucas sequences and look at the possible extension of the Baby-Giant extension.[2, 4]

### 1.1 Discrete Log Problem

Public key cryptography based on the difficulty of discrete log problem due to Diffie- Hellman is a protocol used for key exchange in a classical cryptosystem and also used in public key cryptosystems like ElGamal cryptosystem.
1.1.1 Definition Let $G$ be a finite group of the form $(Z / n Z) *$ or $F_{q}^{*}$ and b be a fixed element of G , if y is any element of $G$ of the form $y=b^{\mathrm{x}}$ for some x . Then the problem of finding the x given y is called the discrete logarithm problem. We write $x=\log _{b} y$ and x is called discrete logarithm of y to the base b .[11]
1.1.2 Example Let $\mathrm{G}=Z_{17}^{*}$ and take $\mathrm{b}=3$ the generator of $Z_{17}^{*}$ then the discrete $\log$ of 13 to base 3 in $Z_{17}^{*}$ is x such that $3^{\mathrm{x}} \equiv 13(\bmod 17)$, note for $\mathrm{x}=4,3^{4} \equiv 13(\bmod 17)$.
1.1.3 Example Let $\mathrm{G}=Z_{p}^{*}$ for $\mathrm{p}=1999$ and take $\mathrm{b}=3$ the generator of G then the discrete $\log$ of 1452 to base 3 is $x$ such that $3^{x} \equiv 1452(\bmod 1999)$. Note in this example computing that $x=789$ is difficult but computing $3^{789} \equiv 1452(\bmod 1999)$ is easy by adapting the modular exponentiation method.

### 1.2 Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

The Diffie-Hellman protocol works as follows. A and B wish to agree on a common secret key to communicate over an insecure channel. A chooses a large prime $p$ and an integer $g$ such that $2 \leq p \leq p-2$ and an integer $\mathrm{a} \in\{0,1, \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{p}-2\}$ randomly, then he computes $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{a}} \bmod \mathrm{p}$ and makes $\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ public. B chooses an integer $\mathrm{b} \in\{0,1, \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{p}-2\}$ randomly, then he computes $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{b}} \bmod \mathrm{p}$ and makes $\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ public. Then they agree upon
the $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{ab}} \bmod \mathrm{p}$ as the common shared secret key.

To compute the discrete log there are algorithms likes Trial exponentiation, Shanks Baby -Step GaintStep Method, Pollard's $\rho$ method, Pohlig-hellman method, Index calculus method etc. In this paper we describe

Shanks Baby -Step Gaint-Step Method for discrete $\log$ in $F_{p}^{*}$ or $(\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{nZ})^{*}$ and then describe the implementation of Shanks Baby -Step Gaint-Step Method to discrete log with lucas sequences. This gives a wider crossectional view in the study of attacks on extended discrete logarithms. The earliest method for finding the discrete logarithm x from $\alpha=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{DLP})$ is to check whether $\mathrm{x}=0,1,2,3, \ldots$ satisfy DLP. If one of these x values satisfy then Discrete Logarithm is found. This is the Trial exponentiation. It needs enumeration of $x-1$ multiplications and $x$ comparisons in the group and the three elements $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{g}$ and $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{x}}$ need to be stored. $[8,1]$
1.2.1 Example The Discrete Logarithm of 3 to the base 5 in (Z/2017Z)* with enumeration of 1029 multiplications modulo 2017 yields $\mathrm{x}=1030$.

### 1.3 Shanks Baby-Step Giant-Step Algorithm

A notable development of enumeration is the Shanks Baby-step Giant-Step algorithm. This algorithm needs less number of group operations but storage should be greater. This algorithm is described as follows.
Set $\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil$ where n is the group order and write the unknown Discrete Logarithm as $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{qm}+\mathrm{r}, 0 \leq \mathrm{r}<\mathrm{m}$. Thus $r$ is the remainder and $q$ is the quotient of the division of $x$ by $m$. The Baby-step Giant-step algorithm calculates q and r .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { We have } \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{qm}+\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{x}}=\alpha \\
& \quad \Rightarrow\left(\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{\mathrm{q}}=\alpha \mathrm{g}^{-\mathrm{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We first compute the set of Baby-steps $B=\left\{\left(\alpha g^{-r}, r\right): 0 \leq r<m\right\}$ and if we find a pair $(1, r)$ in the set $B$; then $\alpha g^{-r}=1$ (i.e. $\alpha=g^{r}$ ), then we can set $x=r$ with the smallest of such $x$. If such a pair not found, we determine $\delta=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{m}}$.

Then we check for $\mathrm{q}=1,2,3, \ldots$ if the group element $\delta^{\mathrm{q}}$ is the first component of an element in B , that is we check if there is a pair $\left(\delta^{q}, \mathrm{r}\right)$ in B , and when it is true, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha \mathrm{g}^{-\mathrm{r}}=\delta^{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{qm}} \\
\Rightarrow \alpha=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{qm}+\mathrm{r}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{qm}+\mathrm{r}$ is the discrete logarithm and the elements of $\delta^{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{q}=1,2,3, \ldots$ are known as Giant steps. We should compare each $\delta^{q}$ with all first components of the Baby-Step set B. To make this comparison effective, the elements of B are stored in a hash table where the key is the first element.

If we use a hash table, then a constant number of comparisons are sufficient to check whether a group element computed as a giant-step is a first component of a baby-step. Therefore, the following result is easy to verify that the baby-step giant-step algorithm requires $\mathrm{O}(\sqrt{|G|})$ multiplications and comparisons in $G$. It needs storage for $\mathrm{O}(\sqrt{|G|})$ elements of G .

Time and space requirements of the Baby-step giant-step algorithm are approximately $\sqrt{|G|}$. If $|\mathrm{G}|>2^{160}$,then computing discrete logarithms with the baby-step giant-step algorithm is still infeasible.[8]
1.3.1 Example Determine the Discrete Logarithm of 3 to the base 7 in $(\mathrm{Z} / 17 \mathrm{Z})^{*}$.

We have $\alpha=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{x}}(\bmod 17)$ and $\lceil\mathrm{m}\rceil=\lceil 17\rceil=4$.
i.e. $\alpha=3, g=7$.

The Baby-step is $\mathrm{B}=\left\{\left(\alpha \mathrm{g}^{-\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{r}\right): 0 \leq \mathrm{r}<\mathrm{m}\right\}$
$B=\left\{\left(3 \cdot 7^{-r}, r\right): 0 \leq r<4\right\}=\{(3,0),(15,1),(16,2),(1,3)\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Therefore }\left(\alpha \mathrm{g}^{-1}, \mathrm{r}\right)=(1,3) \\
& \quad\left(\alpha \mathrm{g}^{-3}, 3\right)=(1,3) \\
& \alpha \mathrm{g}^{-3}=1 \\
& \Rightarrow 3\left(7^{-3}\right)=1 \\
& 3 \equiv 7^{3}(\bmod 17)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{x}=3$ is the discrete $\log$ of 3 to the base 7 .
In this example there is no need to go for Giant-step, because solution is found in Baby-step itself.
1.3.2 Example Determine the Discrete Logarithm of 3 to the base 5 in (Z/19Z)*.

We have $\alpha=g^{x}(\bmod 19)$ and $\lceil\mathrm{m}\rceil=\lceil 19\rceil=4$.
i.e. $\alpha=3, g=5$.

The Baby-step is $B=\left\{\left(\alpha \mathrm{g}^{-\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{r}\right): 0 \leq \mathrm{r}<\mathrm{m}\right\}=\left\{\left(3 \cdot 5^{-\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{r}\right): 0 \leq \mathrm{r}<4\right\}=\{(3,0),(12,1),(10,2),(2,3)\}$
Here we do not find a pair $(1, \mathrm{r})$, so we have to determine

$$
\delta^{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{mq}}, \text { where } \mathrm{q}=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

The Giant-steps are $13,7,12, \ldots$

$$
\delta^{q}=5^{4 q}(\bmod 19)
$$

We have $(12,1)$ in the baby-step set. Therefore, $\alpha g^{1}=12+19 Z$. Since 12 has been found as the third giant-step, we obtain $\mathrm{g}^{3^{* 4}}=\alpha \mathrm{g}^{-1} \Rightarrow \mathrm{~g}^{3^{*} 4+1}=\alpha$.
$x=3 * 4+1=13$ is the discrete $\log$ of 3 to the base 5 .
1.3.3 Observation To compute Baby-step set, 4 multiplications mod 19 were necessary, where as to compute giant steps, 3 multiplications mod 19 were necessary.

## II. Lucas Sequences

Lucas sequences are widely used in cryptography. There are RSA like Elgamal like cryptosystems based on Lucas sequences. Lucas sequences are also used in the cryptanalytic study.[5]

### 2.1 Introducing Lucas sequences

2.1.1 Definition Let a and b be two integers and $\alpha$ a root of the polynomial $\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{ax}+\mathrm{b}$ in $\mathrm{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$ for $\Delta=\mathrm{a}^{2}-4 \mathrm{~b}$ a non square, writing $\alpha=\frac{a+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}$ and its conjugate $\beta=\frac{a-\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}$ we have $\alpha+\beta=\mathrm{a}, \alpha \beta=\mathrm{b}, \alpha-\beta=\sqrt{\Delta}$ and the Lucas sequences $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\right\}, \mathrm{n} \geq 0$ are defined as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V_{n}(a, b)=\alpha^{n}+\beta^{n} \\
U_{n}(a, b)=\frac{\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}}{\alpha-\beta}
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 2.2 Lucas Sequences Satisfy The Following Relations

1. $\mathrm{V}_{2 \mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\right)^{2}-2 \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{n}}$
2. $\quad \mathrm{V}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}-1}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})-\mathrm{ab} \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}-1}$
3. $V_{2 n+1}(a, b)=a\left(V_{n}(a, b)\right)^{2}-b V_{n}(a, b) V_{n-1}(a, b)-a b^{n}$
4. $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\right)^{2}=\Delta\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\right)^{2}+4 \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{n}}$
5. $\quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{km}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}), \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$
6. $\quad \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{km}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}), \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$
7. $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})+\Delta \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}) \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\right)$
8. $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})+\Delta \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})\right)$

### 2.3 Modular Computations With The Lucas Sequences

2.3.1 Definition [3,5] Let $N=p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{r}^{e_{r}}, p_{i}$ 's odd primes and define the function

$$
\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{~N})=\operatorname{lcm}\left\{p_{i}^{e_{i}-1}\left(p_{i}-\left(\frac{\Delta}{p_{i}}\right)\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{r}
$$

The following theorem is an analogue to Euler Fermat theorem.
2.3.2 Theorem For $N=p_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots p_{r}^{e_{r}}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ does not divide $\Delta$, then
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}U_{S(N) t}(a, 1)=U_{0}(a, 1) \equiv 0 \bmod N \\ V_{S(N) t}(a, 1)=V_{0}(a, 1) \equiv 2 \bmod N \text { forsome int eger } t \text { and } p_{i} \text { does not divide } 2 \Delta .\end{array}\right.$

### 2.4 Group Structure on Lucas Sequence

Let $N$ be an integer with $\operatorname{gcd}(\Delta, N)=1$ and write $V_{k}$ for $V_{k}(a, 1)$, consider the set $\mathrm{L}(\Delta, N)=\left\{\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right.$ $\bmod \mathrm{N}: \mathrm{k} \geq 0\}$ then for all $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}\right),\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \in \mathrm{L}(\Delta, N)$ we define an operation $\star$ on $L(\Delta, N)$ as follows $\left(V_{k}, U_{k}\right) \star\left(V_{m}, U_{m}\right)=\left(V_{k+m}, U_{k+m}\right) \bmod N$.
2.4.1 Theorem $L(\Delta, N)$ forms an abelian group with respect to operation $\star$ defined as $\left(V_{k}, U_{k}\right) \star\left(V_{m}, U_{m}\right)=\left(V_{k+m}, U_{k+m}\right)$

## Proof

1. $\star$ is closed
$L(\Delta, N)$ is closed w.r.t $\star$ follows by definition

## 2. $\star$ is associative

For any $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}\right),\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m}}\right),\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{l}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{l}}\right) \in \mathrm{L}(\Delta, \mathrm{N})$ we have by the definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(V_{k+m}, U_{k+m}\right) \star\left(V_{l}, U_{l}\right) & =\left(V_{(k+m)+l}, U_{(k+m)+l}\right) \\
\left(V_{k+m}, U_{k+m}\right) \star\left(V_{l}, U_{l}\right) & =\left(V_{k+(m+l)}, U_{k+(m+l)}\right) \\
& =\left(V_{k}, U_{k}\right) \star\left(V_{m+l}, U_{m+l}\right) \bmod N
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $L(\Delta, N)$ is associative.

## 3. $\left(\mathrm{V}_{0}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ is the identity

For any $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \in \mathrm{L}(\Delta, \mathrm{N})$ we have $\left(\mathrm{V}_{0}, \mathrm{U}_{0}\right) \in \mathrm{L}(\Delta, \mathrm{N})$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(V_{k}, U_{k}\right) \star\left(V_{0}, U_{0}\right)=\left(V_{k+0}, U_{k+0}\right) & =\left(V_{k}, U_{k}\right) \\
& =\left(V_{0}, U_{0}\right) \star\left(V_{k}, U_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left(V_{0}, U_{0}\right)$ is the Identity.

## 4. Inverse of $\left(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)$

For any $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \in \mathrm{L}(\Delta, \mathrm{N})$, we have $(\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{N})-1) \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{N})-1) \mathrm{k}) \in \mathrm{L}(\Delta, \mathrm{N})$, is the inverse of $\left(V_{k}, U_{k}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(V_{k}, U_{k}\right) \star\left(V_{(S(N)-1) k}, U_{(S(N)-1) k}\right) & =\left(V_{k+(S(N)-1) k}, U_{k+(S(N)-1) k}\right) \bmod N \\
& =\left(V_{k S(N)}, U_{k S(N)}\right) \bmod N \\
& =(2,0) \text { by theorem 2.3.2 } \\
& =\left(V_{0}, U_{0}\right) \bmod N
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left(V_{(s(N)-1) k}, U_{(s(N)-1) k}\right)$ is the inverse of $\left(V_{k}, U_{k}\right)$

## 5. $\star$ is commutative

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(V_{m}, U_{m}\right) \star\left(V_{n}, U_{n}\right) & =\left(V_{m+n}, U_{m+n}\right) \\
& =\left(V_{n+m}, U_{n+m}\right) \\
& =\left(V_{n}, U_{m}\right) \star\left(V_{m}, U_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $L(\Delta, N)$ is an abelian group.

## III. Fast Computation Method For $V_{e}$

We describe the fast computation method to compute $V_{e}$ suggested by P.Smith for Lucas sequences, this method directly leads to the computation of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ with no ambiguity of adding or doubling at each stage right from $V_{1}$ by using the above recursive formulas. [3,12] We give an algorithm for this fast computation in this section.
For any integer $m$, we have the binary expression given as $e=\sum_{t=0}^{t} x_{i} 2^{t-i}, x_{0}=1, x_{i}=0 \quad$ or 1 , for $\mathrm{i} \geq 0$.

Let $e_{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} x_{i} 2^{k-i}$, for $0 \leq k \leq t$, then $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{e}_{0}=1$.
3.1 Theorem $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}+1}= \begin{cases}2 e_{k} & \text { if } x_{k+1}=0 \\ 2 e_{k}+1 & \text { if } x_{k+1}=1\end{cases}$
3.2 Remark $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}+1}+1= \begin{cases}2 e_{k}+1 & \text { if } x_{k+1}=0 \\ 2\left(2 e_{k}+1\right) & \text { if } x_{k+1}=1\end{cases}$

$$
\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}+1}-1= \begin{cases}2 e_{k}-1 & \text { if } x_{k+1}=0 \\ 2 e_{k} & \text { if } x_{k+1}=1\end{cases}
$$

3.3 Remark $V_{e}$ are computed by evaluating $V_{e_{k}}$ for $k=0,1, \ldots, t$ by using recursive formulas for $V_{2 e_{r}+1}, V_{2 e_{r}-1}$ and $V_{2 e_{r}}$ for $\mathrm{r} \leq k$.

We give in the following an algorithm for fast computation method for computing the Lucas sequences $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{a}, 1) \bmod \mathrm{N}$. Let a be an integer modulo N , we initialize with $V_{1}(a, 1)=a$ to obtain the result $V_{e}(a, 1)$.

### 3.4 Algorithm

Step 1: Write the binary expression of $e$ as $e=\sum_{i=0}^{t} x_{i} 2^{t-i}, x_{0}=1$.
Step 2: Initialize the values $V_{c}=V_{1}(a, 1)$

$$
V_{c_{+}}=V_{1}^{2}-2 V_{c_{-}}=2
$$

Step 3: For $i$ from 0 to $t$ do

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{c} \leftarrow 2 \mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{c}-\leftarrow 2 \mathrm{c}-1 \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{2 \mathrm{c}} \leftarrow V_{c}^{2}-2 \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{2 \mathrm{c}-1} \leftarrow \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}-}-\mathrm{V}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}} \leftarrow \mathrm{~V}_{2 \mathrm{c}} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}-} \leftarrow \mathrm{V}_{2 \mathrm{c}-1} \\
& \text { if } \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=1 \\
& \text { then } \mathrm{c} \leftarrow 2 \mathrm{c}+1 \\
& \mathrm{c}-\leftarrow 2 \mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{2 \mathrm{c}+1} \leftarrow \mathrm{~V}_{1} V_{c}^{2}-\mathrm{Vc}_{\mathrm{c}-}-\mathrm{V}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{2 \mathrm{c}} \leftarrow V_{c}^{2}-2 \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}} \leftarrow \mathrm{~V}_{2 \mathrm{c}+1} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}-} \leftarrow \mathrm{V}_{2 \mathrm{c}} \\
& \text { else } \leftarrow 2 \mathrm{c} \\
& \mathrm{c}-\leftarrow 2 \mathrm{c}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

## IV. Discrete Log with Lucas Sequences

Let $L(\Delta, N)$ be the group of Lucas sequences with $D=a^{2}-4 \bmod n$, then for any $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathrm{L}(\Delta, \mathrm{N})$ if $\alpha=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}($ a) given $\alpha$ and $a$ to find $m$ is the Discrete Log problem of Lucas sequences and $m$ may be called the discrete $\log$ of $\alpha$ to the base $a$.[6]

### 4.1 Extended Diffie Hellmann Protocol With Lucas Sequences

$\mathrm{L}(\Delta, \mathrm{N})$ be a group of Lucas sequences for $\Delta=\mathrm{a}^{2}-4 \bmod N$.

1. A and $B$ generate $m, n \in Z_{S(N)}$ the secret keys respectively and calculate their respective public keys $P_{A}$ and $P_{B}$ as $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a})$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{a})$.
2. A and B exchange their public keys $\left(a, P_{A}\right)$ and $\left(a, P_{B}\right)$.
3. A receives $P_{B}$ from B and calculates $K=V_{m}\left(P_{B}\right)=V_{m}\left(V_{n}(a)\right)=V_{m n}(a)$.
4. B receives $P_{A}$ from A and calculates $K=V_{n}\left(P_{A}\right)=V_{n}\left(V_{m}(a)\right)=V_{n m}(a)=V_{m n}(a)$.
5. Then A and B agree upon $K=V_{m n}(a)$ as the shared secret key.

### 4.2 To Extend Shank's Baby-step Giant-Step attack on Discrete Log problem with Lucas Sequences

The attacker can gather the shared secret key if he computes $m$ or $n$ from the public information $P_{A}$ or $P_{B}$, so we employ Shank's Baby-step Giant-step method to the Discrete Log problem with Lucas sequences as follows.

In this method we compute $m$ from the public key $\alpha \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}($ a). Let $n=S(N)$ be the order of the group $L(\Delta$ $, N)$ and $t=\sqrt{n}$ for $n=S(N)$. Now by division algorithm for $t$ and $m$ we write the unknown discrete logarithm as $m=q t+r$, for $0 \leq r<t$. Thus $r$ is the remainder and $q$ is the quotient of the division of $m$ by $t$. The Baby-step Giantstep algorithm calculates $q$ and $r$.

We have $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a})=\alpha$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{tq}+\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{a})=\alpha$, now by group operation ă on $\mathrm{L}(\Delta, \mathrm{N})$
we have $V_{t q+r}(a)=V_{t q}$ ă $V_{r}(a)=\alpha$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{tq}}=\alpha \mathrm{a}$ ( $\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{a})\right)^{-1}$
We first compute the set of Baby-Steps $B=\left(\alpha a ̊\left(V_{r}(a)\right)^{-1}, r\right): 0 \leq r<t$ and if we find a pair $\left(V_{0}, r\right)$ in $B$, if exists then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a} \star\left(V_{r}(a)\right)^{-1} \equiv \mathrm{~V}_{0} \bmod \mathrm{~N} \\
& \Rightarrow \alpha \equiv \mathrm{~V}_{0} \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{a})\right) \bmod \mathrm{N} \\
& \Rightarrow \alpha \equiv\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{a})\right) \bmod \mathrm{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

then take $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{r}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{a})=\alpha=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{a})$ and if such pair in B is not found we determine $\delta=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{a})$ and evaluate $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}(\delta)$ for all $q=1,2,3, \ldots$ until for some $q$ there is a pair $\left(V_{q}(\delta), r\right)$ in B for some $r$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}(\delta)=\alpha \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{a})\right)^{-1} \\
& \Rightarrow \alpha=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}(\delta) \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{a})\right) \\
& \quad=V_{q}\left(V_{t}(a)\right) \star V_{r}(a) \\
& \quad=V_{q t}(a) \star V_{r}(a) \\
& =V_{q t+r}(a) \\
& \quad=V_{m}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore $m=q t+r$ is the discrete $\log$ of $\alpha$ to base $a$.
4.2.1 Example Let $N=17$ and $a=5$ then $\Delta=\mathrm{a}^{2}-4=5^{2}-4=21$ and $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N}-(\Delta / N)=17-1=16$. In $L(\Delta, N)$ given $\alpha=12$ to find the discrete $\log$ of 12 to the base 5 . We have $\mathrm{t}=\sqrt{n}=\sqrt{16}=4$ and by division algorithm $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{tq}+\mathrm{r}, 0 \leq \square r<t$ i.e. $m=4 q+r ; r=0,1,2$ or 3 . To find $V_{m}(a)=\mathrm{a}$, we have to find $\mathrm{V}_{4 \mathrm{q}+\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{a})=\alpha$, in this context we compute the pairs $\left(\alpha\right.$ ă $\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{a})^{-1}, \mathrm{r}\right)$ in B for $r=0,1,2,3$; and $a=5$. We have $\left(V_{r}(a)\right)^{-1}=V_{(S(N)-1) r}$ and using the fast computing method

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{0}(5)=2 ;\left(V_{0}(5)\right)^{-1}=V_{0}(5)=2 \\
& V_{1}(5)=5 ;\left(V_{1}(5)\right)^{-1}=V_{15}(5)=5 \\
& V_{2}(5)=6 ;\left(V_{2}(5)\right)^{-1}=V_{14}(5)=6 \\
& V_{3}(5)=8 ;\left(V_{3}(5)\right)^{-1}=V_{13}(5)=8 \\
& \Rightarrow B=\left\{\left(12 \mathrm{a}\left(V_{0}(5)\right)^{-1}, 0\right) ;\left(12 \mathrm{a}\left(V_{1}(5)\right)^{-1}, 1\right) ;\left(12 \mathrm{a}\left(V_{2}(5)\right)^{-1}, 2\right) ;\left(12 \mathrm{a}\left(V_{3}(5)\right)^{-1}, 3\right)\right\} \\
&=\left\{\left(12 \mathrm{a}\left(V_{0}(5)\right), 0\right) ;\left(12 \mathrm{a}\left(V_{15}(5)\right), 1\right) ;\left(12 \mathrm{a}\left(V_{14}(5)\right), 2\right) ;\left(12 \mathrm{a}\left(V_{13}(5)\right), 3\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can compute these values using the product $V_{k} \star V_{m}=V_{k+m}$ in the group $(\mathrm{L}(\Delta, N) ; \star)$ and apply the formula

$$
V_{k+m}(a, b)=\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{k}(a, b) V_{m}(a, b)+\Delta U_{k}(a, b) U_{m}(a, b)\right)
$$

by computing the corresponding $U_{i}$ 's by using formula $V_{n}^{2}(a, b)=\Delta U_{n}^{2}(a, b)+4 b^{n}$.

$$
V_{n}^{2}(a, b)=\Delta U_{n}^{2}(a, b)+4 b^{n}
$$

So, for $a=5$ we have $\Delta=4$; and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{0}^{2}(5)=\frac{V_{0}^{2}(5)-4}{\Delta}=\frac{2^{2}-4}{4}=0(\bmod 17)=0 \\
& U_{15}^{2}(5)=\frac{V_{15}^{2}(5)-4}{\Delta}=\frac{5^{2}-4}{4}=\frac{21}{4}=1(\bmod 17)=1 \\
& U_{14}^{2}(5)=\frac{V_{14}^{2}(5)-4}{\Delta}=\frac{6^{2}-4}{4}=\frac{32}{4}=\frac{15}{4}=8(\bmod 17)=8 \\
& U_{13}^{2}(5)=\frac{V_{13}^{2}(5)-4}{\Delta}=\frac{8^{2}-4}{4}=\frac{60}{4}=15(\bmod 17)=15 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $U_{0}(5)=0 ; U_{15}(5)=1 ; U_{14}(5)=5 ; U_{13}(5)=7$, now from the formula of $V_{k+m}(a, b)$, wehave

$$
\begin{array}{r}
12 \text { ă } V_{0}(5)=12 \text { ă } 2=\frac{1}{2}(12.2+4.1 .0)=12(\bmod 17)=12 \\
12 \text { å } V_{15}(5)=12 \text { å } 5=\frac{1}{2}(12.5+4.1 .1)=32(\bmod 17)=15 \\
12 \text { ă } V_{14}(5)=12 \text { ă } 6=\frac{1}{2}(12.6+4.1 .5)=46(\bmod 17)=12 \\
12 \text { ă } V_{13}(5)=12 \text { ă } 8=\frac{1}{2}(12.8+4.1 .7)=62(\bmod 17)=11 \\
\Rightarrow B=\left\{\alpha \star\left(V_{r}^{-1}, r\right): r=0,1,2,3\right\}=\{(12,0),(15,1),(12,2),(11,3)\}
\end{array}
$$

The pair $\left(V_{0}, r\right)$ does not exists in B, so we take $\delta=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{V}_{4}(5)=0$ and evaluate $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}(\delta)$ for all $q=1,2,3, \ldots$ until for some $q$ there is a pair $\left(V_{q}(\delta), r\right) \quad$ in $\quad \mathrm{B}$ for some $r$, we have $V_{1}(0)=0(\bmod 17)=0 ; V_{2}(0)=-2(\bmod 17)=15$ for $q=2, r=1$.
Therefore $m=t q+r=4.2+1=9$, also $V_{9}(5)=12$.

## V. Conclusion

The generalization of discrete log problem to Lucas sequences is obtaining $\mathrm{a}^{ \pm k_{\text {from }}} v_{k}(a, 1) \bmod p$ as a root of the irreducible polynomial $\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{a}, 1) \mathrm{x}+1$ over $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}$ of degree 2 and retrieving $\pm \mathrm{k}$ from $\mathrm{a}^{ \pm k}$ i.e. it is a discrete $\log$ problem in $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}$. The discrete $\log$ problem with Lucas sequences is originally considered as equivalent to problems with Dickson polynomials. In this paper we depicted the discrete log problem on Lucas sequences as generalization of discrete log problem to group of Lucas sequences and adapt the Shank's Babystep Giant-step attack and described the fast computation method with an algorithm that may be used in the computations of Lucas sequences.
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