

Presic Type Common Fixed Point Theorem for Four Maps in Complex Valued b -Metric Spaces

K.P.R.Rao¹, E.Taraka Ramudu²

¹Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar -522 510, A.P., India.

²Department of Science and Humanities, Nova College of Engineering and Technology, Jupudi-521 456, Krishna Dt., Andhra Pradesh, India.

Abstract: In this paper, we obtain a Presic type fixed point theorem for two pairs of jointly $2k$ -weakly compatible maps in complex valued b -metric spaces. We also give an example to illustrate our main theorem.

Keywords: b -metric spaces, Jointly $2k$ -weakly compatible pairs, Presic type theorem.

I. Introduction and Preliminaries

There are several generalizations of the Banach contraction principle in literature on fixed point theory. Presic [1] generalized the Banach contraction principle as follows. Throughout this paper \mathbf{N} and \mathbf{C} denote the set of all positive integers and complex numbers respectively.

Theorem 1.1. ([1]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, k be a positive integer and $T : X^k \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$(1.1.1) \quad d(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k q_i d(x_i, x_{i+1})$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1} \in X$, where $q_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k q_i < 1$. Then there exists a unique point $x \in X$ such

that $T(x, x, \dots, x) = x$. Moreover, if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} are arbitrary points in X and for $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$x_{n+k} = T(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = T(\lim x_n, \lim x_n, \dots, \lim x_n)$.

Inspired by the Theorem 1.1, Ciric and Presic [2] proved following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. ([2]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, k a positive integer and $T : X^k \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$d(T(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1})) \leq \lambda \max\{d(x_i, x_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}$ in X , where $\lambda \in [0, 1)$. Then there exists a point $x \in X$ such that $x = T(x, x, \dots, x)$. Moreover, if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1} are arbitrary points in X and for $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$x_{n+k} = T(x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+k-1})$, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent and

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = T(\lim x_n, \lim x_n, \dots, \lim x_n)$. If in addition, we suppose that on diagonal $\Delta \subset X^k$,

$d(T(u, u, \dots, u), T(v, v, \dots, v)) < d(u, v)$ holds for $u, v \in X$ with $u \neq v$, then x is the unique fixed point satisfying $x = T(x, x, \dots, x)$.

Recently Rao et al. [3,4] obtained some Presic type theorems for two and three maps in metric spaces. Now we give the following definition of [3,4].

Definition 1.3. Let X be a non empty set and $T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$. The pair (f, T) is said to be $2k$ -weakly compatible if $f(T(x, x, \dots, x)) = T(fx, fx, \dots, fx)$ whenever $x \in X$ such that $fx = T(x, x, \dots, x)$.

Using this definition, Rao et al. [3] proved the following

Theorem 1.4 .([3]) Let (X, d) be a metric space, k a positive integer and $S, T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X$, $f : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

$$(1.4.1) \quad d(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}), T(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{2k+1})) \leq \lambda \max\{d(fx_i, fx_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 2k\}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}$ in X ,

$$(1.4.2) \quad d(T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k}), S(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{2k+1})) \leq \lambda \max\{d(fy_i, fy_{i+1}) : 1 \leq i \leq 2k\}$$

for all $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}$ in X , where $0 < \lambda < 1$,

$$(1.4.3) \quad d(S(u, \dots, u), T(v, \dots, v)) < d(fu, fv), \text{ for all } u, v \in X \text{ with } u \neq v.$$

(1.4.4) Suppose that $f(X)$ is complete and either (f, S) or (f, T) is a $2k$ - weakly compatible pair.

Then there exists a unique point $p \in X$ such that $fp = p = S(p, \dots, p) = T(p, \dots, p)$.

Azam et al.[5] introduced the concept of complex valued metric spaces and obtained sufficient conditions for the existence of common fixed points of a pair of mappings satisfying a contractive condition. Later several authors for example, refer [6-14] proved fixed and common fixed point theorems in the setting of complex valued metric spaces.

In this paper, we obtain a common fixed point theorem of Presic type for four mappings in complex valued b -metric spaces. We present one example to illustrate our main theorem. We also obtain some corollaries. To begin with, we recall some basic definitions, notations and results.

Let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{C}$. Define a partial order \preceq on \mathbf{C} follows:

$$z_1 \preceq z_2 \text{ if and only if } \operatorname{Re}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Re}(z_2), \operatorname{Im}(z_1) \leq \operatorname{Im}(z_2).$$

Thus $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if one of the following holds:

$$(1) \operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2),$$

$$(2) \operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(z_1) = \operatorname{Im}(z_2),$$

$$(3) \operatorname{Re}(z_1) = \operatorname{Re}(z_2) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2),$$

$$(4) \operatorname{Re}(z_1) < \operatorname{Re}(z_2) \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(z_1) < \operatorname{Im}(z_2).$$

$$\text{Clearly } z_1 \preceq z_2 \Rightarrow |z_1| \leq |z_2|.$$

We will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (2), (3) and (4) is satisfied. Also we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (4) is satisfied.

Definition 1.5. ([5]) Let X be a non empty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is called a complex valued metric on X if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(i) 0 \preceq d(x, y) \text{ and } d(x, y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y;$$

$$(ii) d(x, y) = d(y, x);$$

$$(iii) d(x, y) \preceq d(x, z) + d(z, y).$$

The pair (X, d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Now, we briefly recall the definitions and lemmas about complex valued b -metric spaces introduced by Rao et al.[15].

Definition 1.6. ([15]) Let X be a non empty set and $s \geq 1$. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is called a complex valued b -metric on X if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(i) 0 \preceq d(x, y) \text{ and } d(x, y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y;$$

$$(ii) d(x, y) = d(y, x);$$

$$(iii) d(x, y) \preceq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$$

The pair (X, d) is called a complex valued b -metric space.

Note. If $z_1 = a + ib$ and $z_2 = \alpha + i\beta$ then we define $\max\{z_1, z_2\} = \max\{a, \alpha\} + i \max\{b, \beta\}$.

Definition 1.7. ([15]) Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space.

1. A point $x \in X$ is called interior point of a set $A \subseteq X$ whenever there exists $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\} \subseteq A$.
2. A point $x \in X$ is called a limit point of a set $A \subseteq X$ whenever there exists $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $B(x, r) \cap (X - A) \neq \emptyset$.
3. A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called open whenever each point of B is an interior point of B .
4. A subset $B \subseteq X$ is called closed whenever each limit point of B is in B .
5. The family $F = \{B(x, r) : x \in X \text{ and } 0 < r\}$ is a sub basis for a topology on X . We denote this complex topology by τ_c . Indeed, the topology τ_c is Hausdorff.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < c$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x) < c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent to x and x is the limit point of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < c$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x_{n+m}) < c$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) . If every Cauchy sequence is convergent in (X, d) then (X, d) is called a complete complex valued b -metric space. We require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.8. ([15]) Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1.9. ([15]) Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

One can easily prove the following lemma

Lemma 1.10. Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences in X converging to x and y respectively. Then

(i) $\frac{1}{s} |d(x, z)| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, z)| \leq s |d(x, z)|$ for all $z \in X$,

(ii) $\frac{1}{s^2} |d(x, y)| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |d(x_n, y_n)| \leq s^2 |d(x, y)|$.

Before proving our main theorem we give the following new definition.

Definition 1.11. Let X be a nonempty set, k a positive integer and $S, T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X$ and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$. The pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are said to be jointly $2k$ -weakly compatible if $f(S(x, x, \dots, x)) = S(fx, fx, \dots, fx)$ and $g(T(x, x, \dots, x)) = T(gx, gx, \dots, gx)$ whenever there exists $x \in X$ such that $fx = S(x, x, \dots, x)$ and $gx = T(x, x, \dots, x)$.

Now we give our main theorem.

II. Main Result

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b -metric space with $s \geq 1$ and k be any positive integer. Let $S, T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X$ and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

(2.1.1) $S(X^{2k}) \subseteq g(X), T(X^{2k}) \subseteq f(X)$,

(2.1.2) $d(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k})) \approx \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx_1, fy_1), d(fx_2, gy_2), \\ d(gx_3, fy_3), d(fx_4, gy_4), \\ \dots \\ d(gx_{2k-1}, fy_{2k-1}), d(fx_{2k}, gy_{2k}) \end{array} \right\}$

Continuing in this way, we get $|\alpha_n| \leq \mu(\theta)^n$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ (4)

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(y_{2k+2n-1}, y_{2k+2n}) \\
 &= d(S(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, \dots, x_{2k+2n-2}), T(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2k+2n-1})) \\
 &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx_{2n-1}, fx_{2n}), d(fx_{2n}, gx_{2n+1}), \\ d(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}), d(fx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+3}), \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ d(gx_{2k+2n-3}, fx_{2k+2n-2}), d(fx_{2k+2n-2}, gx_{2k+2n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &= \lambda \max \{ \alpha_{2n-1}, \alpha_{2n}, \dots, \alpha_{2k+2n-3}, \alpha_{2k+2n-2} \}. \\
 & |d(y_{2k+2n-1}, y_{2k+2n})| \\
 &\leq \lambda \max \{ \mu(\theta)^{2n-1}, \mu(\theta)^{2n}, \dots, \mu(\theta)^{2k+2n-3}, \mu(\theta)^{2k+2n-2} \} \\
 &= \lambda \mu(\theta)^{2n-1} = \mu(\theta)^{2k} (\theta)^{2n-1} = \mu(\theta)^{2k+2n-1} \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(y_{2k+2n}, y_{2k+2n+1}) \\
 &= d(T(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2k+2n-1}), S(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, \dots, x_{2k+2n})) \\
 &= d(S(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, \dots, x_{2k+2n}), T(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2k+2n-1})) \\
 &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n}), d(fx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}), \\ d(gx_{2n+3}, fx_{2n+2}), d(fx_{2n+4}, gx_{2n+3}), \\ \dots \dots \dots \\ d(gx_{2k+2n-1}, fx_{2k+2n-2}), d(fx_{2k+2n}, gx_{2k+2n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\
 &= \lambda \max \{ \alpha_{2n}, \alpha_{2n+1}, \alpha_{2n+2}, \alpha_{2n+3}, \dots, \alpha_{2k+2n-1} \}. \\
 & |d(y_{2k+2n}, y_{2k+2n+1})| \\
 &\leq \lambda \max \{ \mu(\theta)^{2n}, \mu(\theta)^{2n+1}, \dots, \mu(\theta)^{2k+2n-2}, \mu(\theta)^{2k+2n-1} \} \\
 &= \lambda \mu(\theta)^{2n} = \mu(\theta)^{2k} (\theta)^{2n} = \mu(\theta)^{2k+2n} \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (5),(6), we have $|d(y_{2k+n}, y_{2k+n+1})| \leq \mu(\theta)^{2k+n}$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ (7)

Now, using(7),for $m > n$ consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 |d(y_{2k+n}, y_{2k+m})| &\leq \left(\begin{array}{l} s |d(y_{2k+n}, y_{2k+n+1})| + s^2 |d(y_{2k+n+1}, y_{2k+n+2})| \\ + s^3 |d(y_{2k+n+2}, y_{2k+n+3})| + \dots + \\ s^{m-n-1} |d(y_{2k+m-1}, y_{2k+m})| \end{array} \right) \\
 &\leq \left(\begin{array}{l} s \mu(\theta)^{2k+n} + s^2 \mu(\theta)^{2k+n+1} + s^3 \mu(\theta)^{2k+n+2} \\ + \dots + s^{m-n-1} \mu(\theta)^{2k+m-1} \end{array} \right) \\
 &\leq \mu \left[\begin{array}{l} (s\theta)^{2k+n} + (s\theta)^{2k+n+1} + (s\theta)^{2k+n+2} \\ + \dots + (s\theta)^{2k+m-1} \end{array} \right], \text{ since } s \geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \mu(s\theta)^{2k} \left[\frac{(s\theta)^n}{1-s\theta} \right] \text{ since } s\theta = s\lambda^{2k} < s \cdot \frac{1}{s} = 1$$

$\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence $\{y_{2k+n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) .

Since X is complete, there exists $z \in X$ such that $y_{2k+n} \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

From (2.1.4), there exists $u \in X$ such that $z = fu = gu$. (8)

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} & |d(S(u, u, \dots, u), y_{2k+2n})| \\ &= |d(S(u, u, \dots, u), T(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \dots, x_{2n+2k-1}))| \\ &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |d(gu, fx_{2n})|, |d(fu, gx_{2n+1})|, \\ \dots, \\ |d(gu, fx_{2k+2n-2})|, |d(fu, gx_{2k+2n-1})| \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (8), Lemma 1.10(i), we get

$$\frac{1}{s} |d(S(u, u, \dots, u), fu)| \leq 0 \text{ so that } S(u, u, \dots, u) = fu \quad (9)$$

Similarly we have $T(u, u, \dots, u) = gu$ (10)

Since (f, S) and (g, T) are jointly $2k$ -weakly compatible pairs and from (9), (10), we have

$$fz = f(fu) = f(S(u, u, \dots, u)) = S(fu, fu, \dots, fu) = S(z, z, \dots, z) \quad \dots(11)$$

$$\text{and } gz = T(z, z, \dots, z) \quad \dots(12)$$

Now using (10), (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(fz, z) &= d(fz, gu) \\ &= d(S(z, z, \dots, z, z), T(u, u, \dots, u, u)) \\ &\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(gz, fu), d(fz, gu), \\ d(gz, fu), d(fz, gu), \\ \dots, \\ d(gz, fu), d(fz, gu) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \lambda \max \{d(gz, z), d(fz, z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d(fz, z) \leq \lambda \max \{d(gz, z), d(fz, z)\}$ (13)

Similarly, we have $d(gz, z) \leq \lambda \max \{d(gz, z), d(fz, z)\}$ (14)

From (13) and (14), we have

$$\max \{|d(gz, z)|, |d(fz, z)|\} \leq \lambda \max \{|d(gz, z)|, |d(fz, z)|\}$$

which in turn yields that $fz = z = gz$ (15)

From (11), (12) and (15), we have $fz = z = gz = S(z, z, \dots, z, z) = T(z, z, \dots, z, z)$ (16)

Suppose that there exists $z' \in X$ such that

$$z' = fz' = gz' = S(z', z', \dots, z', z') = T(z', z', \dots, z', z').$$

Then from (2.1.2), we have

$$|d(z, z')| = |d(S(z, z, \dots, z, z), T(z', z', \dots, z', z'))|$$

$$\leq \lambda \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |d(gz, fz')|, |d(fz, gz')|, \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ |d(gz, fz')|, |d(fz, gz')| \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \lambda |d(z, z')|.$$

This implies that $z' = z$.

Thus z is the unique point in X satisfying (16).

Now we give an example to illustrate our main Theorem 2.1.

Example 2.2. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $d(x, y) = i |x - y|^2$ and $k = 1$.

Define $S(x, y) = \frac{3x^2 + 2y}{\sqrt{4608}}$, $T(x, y) = \frac{2x + 3y^2}{\sqrt{4608}}$, $fx = \frac{x}{6}$ and $gx = \frac{x^2}{4}$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then clearly $s = 2$. Then for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(S(x_1, x_2), T(y_1, y_2)) &= i \left| \frac{3x_1^2 + 2x_2}{\sqrt{4608}} - \frac{2y_1 + 3y_2^2}{\sqrt{4608}} \right|^2 \\ &= i \frac{1}{4608} |(3x_1^2 - 2y_1) + (2x_2 - 3y_2^2)|^2 \\ &\approx i \frac{1}{4608} (|3x_1^2 - 2y_1| + |2x_2 - 3y_2^2|)^2 \\ &\approx i \frac{1}{2304} (|3x_1^2 - 2y_1|^2 + |2x_2 - 3y_2^2|^2) \\ &= i \frac{1}{16} \left(\left| \frac{x_1^2}{4} - \frac{y_1}{6} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{x_2}{6} - \frac{y_2^2}{4} \right|^2 \right) \\ &\approx i \frac{1}{8} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{x_1^2}{4} - \frac{y_1}{6} \right|^2, \left| \frac{x_2}{6} - \frac{y_2^2}{4} \right|^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \max \{d(gx_1, fy_1), d(fx_2, gy_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\lambda = \frac{1}{8} \in (0, \frac{1}{4}) = (0, \frac{1}{2^2}) = (0, \frac{1}{s^{2k}})$.

Thus (2.1.2) is satisfied.

One can easily verify the remaining conditions of Theorem 2.1.

Clearly 0 is the unique point in X such that $f0 = 0 = g0 = S(0, 0, \dots, 0, 0) = T(0, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$.

Corollary 2.3. Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space with $s \geq 1$ and k be any positive integer. Let

$S, T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

(2.3.1) $S(X^{2k}) \subseteq f(X), T(X^{2k}) \subseteq f(X),$

(2.3.2) $d(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k})) \leq \lambda \max \{d(fx_i, fy_i) : 1 \leq i \leq 2k\}$

$\forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k} \in X, \text{ where } \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s^{2k}}),$

(2.3.3) $f(X)$ is a complete sub space of X ,

(2.3.4) (f, S) or (f, T) is a $2k$ -weakly compatible pair.

Then there exists a unique point $u \in X$ such that $u = fu = S(u, u, \dots, u, u) = T(u, u, \dots, u, u)$.

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, d) be a complex valued b -metric space with $s \geq 1$ and k be any positive integer.

Let $S : X^k \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

$$(2.4.1) S(X^k) \subseteq f(X),$$

$$(2.4.2) d(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k), S(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)) \leq \lambda \max\{d(fx_i, fy_i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$

$$\forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k \in X, \text{ where } \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s^k}),$$

$$(2.4.3) f(X) \text{ is a complete sub space of } X,$$

$$(2.4.4) (f, S) \text{ is a } k\text{-weakly compatible pair.}$$

Then there exists a unique point $u \in X$ such that $u = fu = S(u, u, \dots, u, u)$.

Corollary 2.5. Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b -metric space with $s \geq 1$ and k be any positive integer. Let $S, T : X^{2k} \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

$$(2.5.1) d(S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}), T(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k})) \leq \lambda \max\{d(x_i, y_i) : 1 \leq i \leq 2k\}$$

$$\forall x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2k} \in X, \text{ where } \lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s^{2k}}).$$

Then there exists a unique point $u \in X$ such that $u = S(u, u, \dots, u, u) = T(u, u, \dots, u, u)$.

References

- [1]. S.B.Presic, Sur une classe d' inequations aux differences finite et sur la convergence de certaines suites, Pub de l^1 inst. Math. Belgrade, 5(19), (1965), 75-78.
- [2]. Lj.B.Ciric and S.B. Presic, On Presic type generalization of Banach contraction mapping principle, Acta. Math. Univ. Comenianae, LXXVI (2), (2007), 143-147.
- [3]. K.P.R.Rao, G.N.V.Kishore and Md.Mustaq Ali, Generalization of Banach contraction principle of Presic type for three maps, Math.Sci. 3(3), (2009), 273 - 280.
- [4]. K.P.R.Rao, Md.Mustaq Ali and B.Fisher, Some Presic type generalization of Banach contraction principle, Math.Moravica 15(2011), 41 - 47.
- [5]. A.Azam, B.Fisher and M.Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 32(3),(2011),243-253.
- [6]. C.Klin-eam and C.Suanoom, Some common fixed point theorems for generalised contractive type mappings on complex valued metric spaces, Abstr.Appl.Anal.,2013,6 pages, Article ID 604215, doi.10.1155/2013/604215.
- [7]. F. Rouzkard and M. Imdad, Some common fixed point theorems on complex valued metric spaces, Comp. Math.Appls., 64,(2012), 1866-1874.
- [8]. H. K. Nashine, M. Imdad and M. Hasan, Common fixed point theorems under rational contractions in complex valued metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.,7,(2014), 42-50.
- [9]. K.Sitthikul and S.Saejung, Some fixed points in complex valued metric spaces, Fixed point theory Appl.,2012,11 pages,Article ID 189 .
- [10]. M.A.Kutbi, A.Azam, J.Ahmad, and C.Di Bari, Some common fixed point results for generalized contraction in complex valued metric spaces, J.Appl.Math.,2013,10 pages,ArticleID 35297.
- [11]. M.Kumar,P. Kumar and S. Kumar,Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, J. Ana. Num. Theor. 2(2),(2014), 103-109 .
- [12]. N.Singh, D.Singh, A.Badal and V.Joshi,Fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, (2015),1-8 .
- [13]. R.K.Verma and H.K.Pathak,Common fixed point theorems for a pair of mappings in complex valued metric spaces,Journal of mathematics and computer Science, 6 (2013), 18-26.
- [14]. W.Sintunavarat and P.Kumam, Generalized common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces and applications, J.Inequal.appl.,2012, 11 pages, Article ID 84.
- [15]. K.P.R.Rao, P.Ranga Swamy and J.Rajendra Prasad,A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b-metric spaces, Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research,1(1),(2013),1-8.