Rank of Product of Certain Algebraic Classes

Udoaka, O. G. And David. E. E., Asibong-Ibe U. I

University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Abstract: The properties of rank of a finite semigroup were presented by Howie in [1], and this properties is more general in algebraic system such as semigroup, or indeed even a group. We use this concept (properties) to compute the upper rank and the intermediate rank of direct product of a distinct monoid and the quotient group.

Keywords: Monoid, Independent set, Quotient group and Cyclic group.

I. Introduction And Preliminaries

Many authors have studied the rank properties in the context of general algebras since the work of Marczewski in [2]. This property is similar to the concept of dimension in linear algebra. Howie and Ribeiro in [1] considered the following definition of rank for a finite semigroup.

1. $r_1(S) = \max\{k : \text{every subset } U \text{ of cardinality } k \text{ in } S \text{ is independent}\}$, this is called the small rank

2. $r_2(S) = \min\{ |U| : U \subseteq S, \langle U \rangle = S \}$ This is called the lower rank

3. $r_3(S) = \max\{ |U| : U \subseteq S, \langle U \rangle = S, U \text{ is independent} \}$. This is called the intermediate rank

4. $r_4(S) = max\{ |U| : U \subseteq S, U \text{ is independent} \}$. This is called the upper rank

5. $r_5(S) = \min\{k : \text{every subset U of cardinality } k \text{ in } S \text{ generate } S\}$. This is called the larger rank.

1.1 Definition (independent subset)

A subset U of a semigroup S is said to be independent if for all element a belonging to U, a does not belong to the generating subset $U \setminus \{a\}$ of S. That is

$$(\forall a \in U) a \notin \langle U \setminus \{a\} \rangle$$

1.2 All the five ranks coincide in certain semigroups. However, there exist semigroups for which all these ranks are distinct.

In this work, we adopt the notations and definition given in [1] and [3]. A monoid is a semigroup with identity element. We shall in section 2 compute the intermediate rank and the upper rank of the direct product of monoid . In section 3, we compute that of the quotient group. Throughout this work, our semigroup S is a monoid.

1.3 REMARK

As the definition of different rank implies, lower intermediate and upper ranks, it has been shown that $r_2(S) \leq r_3(S) \leq r_4(S)$.

SECTION 2

We present in this section the result of the rank of the direct and subdirect product of the monoid. Our intermediate rank $r_3(S)$ is denoted by $\rho(S)$, and the upper rank $r_4(S)$ by R(S), except otherwise stated. Theorem 2.1

Let A, B be monoids, then $R(AxB) \ge R(A) + R(B)$ Proof

If a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l are maximal sets in A and B, respectively, then

 $(a_1, 1), (a_2, 1), \dots, (a_k, 1)$, and $(1, b_1), (1, b_2), \dots, (1, b_l)$ are independent in A and B.

Also,

 $\{(a_1, 1), (a_2, 1), \dots, (a_k, 1), (1, b_1), (1, b_2), \dots, (1, b_l)\}$ are independent set in AxB. Then R(AxB) \geq R(A)+R(B). Similarly, for monoids A, B, C, we have that for independent sets (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t) in C and C is not a subset of A or B, we would have

 $\{(a_1, 1, 1), (a_2, 1, 1), \dots, (a_k, 1, 1), (1, b_1, 1), (1, b_2, 1), \dots, (1, b_l, 1), (1, 1, c_1), \dots, (1, 1, c_t)\}$ is independent subset in AxBxC. Moreover, From product set, we have R(AxBxC)=R(A)xR(B)xR(C) and $R(AxBxC)\geq R(A)+R(B)+R(C)$

Corollary 2.2 If our monoids is distinct, then $R(BxA)>R(B)+R(A_{K+1})$ Proof

Let $A_1, A_2, ..., A_m$ be distinct monoids, then a typical element in $A_1 x A_2 x ... x A_m$ is $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_m)$, $a_i \in A_i$. An independent set in A_i , is $(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, ..., a_{i_r})$. Also, for the subdirect product

 $\{(a_{i_1}, 1, ..., 1), (1, a_{i_2}, 1, ..., 1), ..., (1, 1, ..., 1, a_{i_r}) \text{ are independent set in } (A_1 x A_2 x ... x A_m) \\ \text{Let } m = k \\ R(A_1 x A_2 x ... x A_k) \ge R(A_1) + R(A_2) + ... + R(A_k) \\ \text{For } m = k + 1 \\ R(A_1 x A_2 x ... x A_{k+1}) \ge R(A_1) + R(A_2) + ... + R(A_{k+1}) \\ \text{Let } A_1 x A_2 x ... x A_k \text{ be } B \\ \text{Then } R(B \times A) \ge R(B) + R(A_{k+1}) \\ \blacksquare$

Theorem2.3 Then intermediate rank ρ is given by R(B×A)≥R(B)+R(A_{k+1}) Proof The proof is straightforward from theorem (2.2) for the same distinct monoid.

The proof is straightforward from theorem (2.2) for the same of

SECTION 3

The collection of all cosets of normal subgroups form a group usually referred to as quotient group. We now compute the rank of this quotient group in this section

REMARK 3.1

The notion of a quotient group is fundamental for group theory and indeed is one of the most important concepts in mathematics. We therefore repeat some of the relevant points

1. The elements of G/N (G is a group and N is a normal subgroup) are the distinct coset of N, the law of composition being multiplication of subset (or addition of cosets when G is written additively.)

2. The identity (neutral) element in the group N, regarded as one of the cosets.

3. It is immaterial whether we use right or left coset since Nt=tN, because N is normal for t \in G.

4. Recall that the representative of a particular coset is not unique.

Theorem3.2

For any quotient group G/N (the group G is finite) where G_1 and G_2 are distinct in G/N,

 $R(G_1 x G_2) \ge R(G_1) x R(G_2)$ and $\rho(G_1 x G_2) \ge \rho(G_1) x \rho(G_2)$

Proof:

Let G be a group and N \trianglelefteq G, then G/N is a group.

$$G/N = \{Nx_0, Nx_1, ..., Nx_t\}$$

Put $Nx_0 \equiv N$ (*i.e* $x_0 \equiv e$)

 $G/N \cong G^* \{g_0, g_1, \dots, g_t\}$. Let t be the minimum rank of independent set of G^* By Lagranges theorem, It is well known that

$$|G^*| = \frac{|G|}{|N|}$$

Thus, the rank of any quotient group $G^* < rank of G$.

If for $G_1^*, G_2^*, \dots, G_t^*$ is a set of respective quotients group of G modulo N_1, \dots, N_t respectively, we have $G_1^* \times G_2^* \times \dots \times G_t^* = \frac{G_1}{N_1} \times \frac{G_2}{N_2} \times \dots \times \frac{G_t}{N_t}$

$$R(G_1^* \times G_2^* \times \dots \times G_t^*) = R(G_1^* \times G_2^* / N_1 \times G_t^* / N_t)$$

$$< R(G_1^* \times \dots \times G_t)$$

$$R(O(G_1) \times \dots \times O(G_t)) \le R(G_1 \times \dots \times G_t)$$

Each $G^* = H(G) \cong Q(G)$

Rewriting this for intermediate rank we have

$$\rho(H(G)) < \rho(G)$$

The notions of independence in Abelian group G is compare to that of subsemigroup of a group G. We make use of the definition in [6]

Corollary 3.3 For a cyclic group H of order $P_i^{\alpha_i}$, The rank $R(K_a) \ge R(H_1) + R(H_2) + \dots + R(H_k)$ Proof Let $n = P_1^{\alpha_1} P_2^{\alpha_2} \dots P_k^{\alpha_k} = n_1 n_2 \dots n_k$. Where $n_i = P_i^{\alpha_i}$, and $q_i = \frac{\alpha_i}{P_i^{\alpha_i}}$ $K_a = H_1 \times H_2 \times ... \times H_k = \langle a^{q_i} \rangle$ $H_i = \langle a^{q_i} \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order $P_i^{\alpha_i}$ $R(K_a) = R(H_1 \times H_2 \times \dots \times H_k) \ge R(H_1) + R(H_2) + \dots + R(H_k)$ Rank $r(H_i) = r(\langle a^{q_i} \rangle) =$ $R(K_a) \ge R(H_1) + R(H_2) + \dots + R(H_k) \ge r(H_1) + r(H_2) + \dots + r(H_k) = K.$

 $r(H_i)$ is the lower rank of the cyclic group H.

1. For any commutative semigroup S and T, the rank R(SxT) = rank(S) + rank(T) [5]

2. The rank of the direct product of any algebraic classes is computed based on the defining structure as shown above.

References

- J. M. HOWIE AND M. I. MARQUES RIBEIRO, Rank properties in finite semigroups, commun. Algebra 27(1999), 5333-5347. [1].
- E. MARCZEWSKI, Independence in abstract algebras: results and problems, Collog. Math. 14 (1966), 169-188. [2].
- [3]. J. M. HOWIE, Fundamentals of semigroup theory (Oxford University Press, 1995).
- [4]. U. I. ASIBONG-IBE, Element of group theory I, African Heritage Research Publication, Lagos, Nigeria ,(1998).
- [5]. A. M. CEGARRA AND M. PETRICH, The Rank of Commutative Semigroup, Mathematica Bohemica, 134 (2009), No. 3, 301-318.
- A. M. CEGARRA AND M. PETRICH, The Rank of Commutative Cancellative Semigroup, Acta Math. Hungar, 107, (1-2) [6]. (2005),71-75.