

Common Fixed Point Theorems Using E.A. Property in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Metric Spaces

Dheeraj Kumari Mali^{1,*}, R K Gujetiya², Ritu Khanna³

¹Research Scholar, Pacific Academy Of Higher Education and Research University Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

²Associate Professor & Head, Department of Mathematics, Govt. P. G. College, Neemuch, India

³Associate Professor & Head, Department of Mathematics, Pacific University Udaipur, Rajasthan

Abstract: In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorems for six mappings by using property satisfying contractive condition in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

Keywords: intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, property (E.A.).

I. Introduction

Atanassov [10] introduced and studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets. In 2004, Park [9] defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norms and continuous t-conorms. Recently, in 2006, Alaca et al. [2] using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with the help of continuous t-norm and continuous t-conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil and Mich'alek [8]. In 2006, Turko'glu et al. [4] proved Jungck's common fixed point theorem [7] in the setting of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces for commuting mappings. For more detail, one can refer to papers ([3], [12], [5], [6]).

In this paper, we prove a common fixed point theorem for six mappings by using E.A Property satisfying contractive condition in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

II. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: [13] A binary operation $*$ $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous t-norm if $*$ satisfies the following condition:

- (i) $*$ is commutative and associative;
- (ii) $*$ is continuous;
- (iii) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$;
- (iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

Definition 2.2: [13] A binary operation \diamond $[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous t-conorm if \diamond satisfies the following condition:

- (i) \diamond is commutative and associative;
- (ii) \diamond is continuous;
- (iii) $a \diamond 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0,1]$;
- (iv) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$

Definition 2.3: [13] A 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t-norm, \diamond is a continuous t-conorm and M, N are fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying

- (i) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (ii) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (iv) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (v) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t+s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$;
- (vi) for all $x, y \in X$, $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is left continuous ;
- (vii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (viii) $N(x, y, 0) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (ix) $N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (x) $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$;
- (xi) $N(x, y, t) \diamond (y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t+s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$;
- (xii) for all $x, y \in X$, $N(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is right continuous;

(xiii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Then (M, N) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy metric on X . The functions $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness and the degree of nonnearness between x and y with respect to t , respectively.

Remark : Every fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space of the form $(X, M, 1 - M, *, \diamond)$ such that t -norm $*$ and t -conorm \diamond are associated as $x \diamond y = 1 - ((1 - x) * (1 - y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Remark : In an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$, $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing and $N(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-increasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.4: [13] Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be

(i) convergent to a point $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$.

(ii) Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$.

Definition 2.5: [13] An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Definition 2.6: [13] Let A and S be self-mappings of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$. Then a pair (A, S) is said to be commuting if $M(ASx, SAx, t) = 1$ and $N(ASx, SAx, t) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $t > 0$.

Definition 2.7: [11] A pair of self mappings (f, g) of an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to satisfy the property E.A if there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(fx_n, gx_n, t) = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(fx_n, gx_n, t) = 0$.

Lemma 2.8: ([2], [4]) Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. If there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, kt) \leq N(x, y, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$, then $x = y$.

III. Main Results

Theorem 3.1: Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with $t * t \geq t$ and $(1 - t) \diamond (1 - t) \leq (1 - t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Further, let A, B, P, Q, S and T be six self mappings of X satisfying

(1) $A(X) \subset PT(X)$ and $B(X) \subset QS(X)$,

(2) there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$[1 + aM(QSx, PTy, kt)] * M(Ax, By, kt) \geq a[M(Ax, QSx, kt) * M(By, PTy, kt) * M(By, QSx, kt)] + M(PTy, QSx, t) * M(Ax, QSx, t) * M(By, PTy, t) * M(By, QSx, \alpha t) * M(Ax, PTy, (2 - \alpha)t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(QSx, PTy, kt)] \diamond N(Ax, By, kt) \leq a[N(Ax, QSx, kt) \diamond N(By, PTy, kt) \diamond N(By, QSx, kt)] + N(PTy, QSx, t) \diamond N(Ax, QSx, t) \diamond N(By, PTy, t) \diamond N(By, QSx, \alpha t) \diamond N(Ax, PTy, (2 - \alpha)t)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $a \geq 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $t > 0$.

(3) (A, QS) and (B, PT) satisfies the property (E.A.)

(4) One of $A(X)$, $B(X)$, $QS(X)$ and $PT(X)$ is a complete subspace of X .

Then the pair (A, QS) and (B, PT) have a unique common fixed point provided the pairs (A, QS) and (B, PT) commute pair wise (i. e. $AQ=QA$, $BS=SB$, $QS=SQ$, $BP=PB$, $BT=TB$, $AS=SA$ and $PT=TP$.)

Proof: If the pair (B, PT) satisfies the property (E. A.), then there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $By_n \rightarrow z$ and $PTy_n \rightarrow z$, for some $z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $B(X) \subset QS(X)$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $By_n = QSx_n$. Hence $QSx_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now we show that $Ax_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By putting $x = x_n$ and $y = y_n$ in (2), we have

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(QSx_n, PTy_n, kt)] * M(Ax_n, By_n, kt) \\ \geq a[M(Ax_n, QSx_n, kt) * M(By_n, PTy_n, kt) * M(By_n, QSx_n, kt)] + M(PTy_n, QSx_n, t) * M(Ax_n, QSx_n, t) \\ * M(By_n, PTy_n, t) * M(By_n, QSx_n, \alpha t) * M(Ax_n, PTy_n, (2 - \alpha)t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(QSx_n, PTy_n, kt)] \diamond N(Ax_n, By_n, kt) \\ \leq a[N(Ax_n, QSx_n, kt) \diamond N(By_n, PTy_n, kt) \diamond N(By_n, QSx_n, kt)] + N(PTy_n, QSx_n, t) \\ \diamond N(Ax_n, QSx_n, t) \diamond N(By_n, PTy_n, t) \diamond N(By_n, QSx_n, \alpha t) \diamond N(Ax_n, PTy_n, (2 - \alpha)t)$$

Let $Ax_n \rightarrow l (\neq z)$ for $t > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, with $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(z, z, kt)] * M(l, z, kt) \\ \geq a[M(l, z, kt) * M(z, z, kt) * M(z, z, kt)] + M(z, z, t) * M(l, z, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(l, z, t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(z, z, kt)] \diamond N(l, z, kt) \\ \leq a[N(l, z, kt) \diamond N(z, z, kt) \diamond N(z, z, kt)] + N(z, z, t) \diamond N(l, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(l, z, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 + a] * M(l, z, kt) \geq a[M(l, z, kt)] + 1 * M(l, z, t) * M(l, z, t)$$

and

$$[1 + a] \diamond N(l, z, kt) \leq a[N(l, z, kt)] + 1 \diamond N(l, z, t) \diamond N(l, z, t)$$

Which implies that

$$M(l, z, kt) \geq M(l, z, t) \text{ and } N(l, z, kt) \leq N(l, z, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 2.8, we have, $l = z$. It follows that $Ax_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that $QS(X)$ is complete subspace of X . then $z = QSu$ for some $u \in X$. By putting $x = u$ and $y = y_n$ with $\alpha = 1$ in (2), we have

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(QSu, PTy_n, kt)] * M(Au, By_n, kt) \\ \geq a[M(Au, QSu, kt) * M(By_n, PTy_n, kt) * M(By_n, QSu, kt)] + M(PTy_n, QSu, t) * M(Au, QSu, t) * M(By_n, PTy_n, t) \\ * M(By_n, QSu, t) * M(Au, PTy_n, t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(QSu, PTy_n, kt)] \diamond N(Au, By_n, kt) \\ \leq a[N(Au, QSu, kt) \diamond N(By_n, PTy_n, kt) \diamond N(By_n, QSu, kt)] + N(PTy_n, QSu, t) \diamond N(Au, QSu, t) \\ \diamond N(By_n, PTy_n, t) \diamond N(By_n, QSu, t) \diamond N(Au, PTy_n, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(z, z, kt)] * M(Au, z, kt) \\ \geq a[M(Au, z, kt) * M(z, z, kt) * M(z, z, kt)] + M(z, z, t) * M(Au, z, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(Au, z, t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(z, z, kt)] \diamond N(Au, z, kt) \\ \leq a[N(Au, z, kt) \diamond N(z, z, kt) \diamond N(z, z, kt)] + N(z, z, t) \diamond N(Au, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(Au, z, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 + a] * M(Au, z, kt) \geq a[M(Au, z, kt)] + 1 * M(Au, z, t) * M(Au, z, t)$$

and

$$[1 + a] \diamond N(Au, z, kt) \leq a[N(Au, z, kt)] + 1 \diamond N(Au, z, t) \diamond N(Au, z, t)$$

Which implies that

$$M(Au, z, kt) \geq M(Au, z, t)$$

and

$$N(Au, z, kt) \leq N(Au, z, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 2.8, we have hence $Au = z$. Thus $Au = QSu = z$ which shows that the pair (A, QS) has a point of coincidence on the other hand since, $A(X) \subset PT(X)$ and $Au = z$, there exists a point $v \in X$ such that $PTv = z$. now we show that $PTv = Bv$. Putting $x = u$ and $y = v$ with $\alpha = 1$ in (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow [1 + aM(QSu, PTv, kt)] * M(Au, Bv, kt) \\ &\geq a[M(Au, QSu, kt) * M(Bv, PTv, kt) * M(Bv, QSu, kt)] + M(PTv, QSu, t) * M(Au, QSu, t) * M(Bv, PTv, t) \\ &\quad * M(Bv, QSu, t) * M(Au, PTv, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &[1 + aN(QSu, PTv, kt)] \diamond N(Au, Bv, kt) \\ &\leq a[N(Au, QSu, kt) \diamond N(Bv, PTv, kt) \diamond N(Bv, QSu, kt)] + N(PTv, QSu, t) \diamond N(Au, QSu, t) \diamond N(Bv, PTv, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(Bv, QSu, t) \diamond N(Au, PTv, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow [1 + aM(z, z, kt)] * M(z, Bv, kt) \\ &\geq a[M(z, z, kt) * M(Bv, z, kt) * M(Bv, z, kt)] + M(z, z, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(Bv, z, t) * M(Bv, z, t) * M(z, z, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &[1 + aN(z, z, kt)] \diamond N(z, Bv, kt) \\ &\leq a[N(z, z, kt) \diamond N(Bv, z, kt) \diamond N(Bv, z, kt)] + N(z, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(Bv, z, t) \diamond N(Bv, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &M(z, Bv, kt) \geq M(Bv, z, t) \\ &\text{and} \\ &N(z, Bv, kt) \leq N(Bv, z, t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by lemma 2.8 , we have hence $Bv = z$. Thus $Bv = PTv = z$. Which shows that the pair (B, PT) has a point of coincidence since the pairs (A, QS) and (B, PT) are commuting pair wise i.e. $AQ = QA, AS = SA, QS = SQ, BP = PB, BT = TB$ and $PT = TP$. It implies that both the pairs (A, QS) and (B, PT) are weakly compatible at u and v respectively, i.e. $z = Au = QSu = Bv = PTv$, therefore $Az = A(QSu) = QS(Au) = QSz$ and $Bz = B(PTv) = PT(Bv) = PTz$. Now we assert that z is a fixed point of the self-map A, Q and S. putting $x = z$ and $y = v$ with $\alpha = 1$, in (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow [1 + aM(QSz, PTv, kt)] * M(Az, Bv, kt) \geq a[M(Az, QSz, kt) * M(Bv, PTv, kt) * M(Bv, QSz, kt)] \\ &\quad + M(PTv, QSz, t) * M(Az, QSz, t) * M(Bv, PTv, t) * M(Bv, QSz, t) * M(Az, PTv, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &[1 + aN(QSz, PTv, kt)] \diamond N(Az, Bv, kt) \leq a[N(Az, QSz, kt) \diamond N(Bv, PTv, kt) \diamond N(Bv, QSz, kt)] \\ &\quad + N(PTv, QSz, t) \diamond N(Az, QSz, t) \diamond N(Bv, PTv, t) \diamond N(Bv, QSz, t) \diamond N(Az, PTv, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow [1 + aM(Az, z, kt)] * M(Az, z, kt) \geq a[M(Az, Az, kt) * M(z, z, kt) * M(z, Az, kt)] + \\ &\quad M(z, Az, t) * M(Az, Az, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(z, Az, t) * M(Az, z, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &[1 + aN(Az, z, kt)] \diamond N(Az, z, kt) \leq a[N(Az, Az, kt) \diamond N(z, z, kt) \diamond N(z, Az, kt)] + \\ &\quad N(z, Az, t) \diamond N(Az, Az, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(z, Az, t) \diamond N(Az, z, t) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &M(Az, z, kt) \geq M(Az, z, t) \\ &\text{And} \\ &N(Az, z, kt) \leq N(Az, z, t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by lemma 2.8 , we have hence $Az = z$. Since $Az = QSz$ which implies $QSz = z$. now we assert that z is a fixed point of the pair (B, PT), $x = y = z$ with $\alpha = 1$, in (2), we have

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(QSz, PTz, kt)] * M(Az, Bz, kt) \geq a[M(Az, QSz, kt) * M(Bz, PTz, kt) * M(Bz, QSz, kt)] + M(PTz, QSz, t) * M(Az, QSz, t) * M(Bz, PTz, t) * M(Bz, QSz, t) * M(Az, PTz, t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(QSz, PTz, kt)] \diamond N(Az, Bz, kt) \leq a[N(Az, QSz, kt) \diamond N(Bz, PTz, kt) \diamond N(Bz, QSz, kt)] + N(PTz, QSz, t) \diamond N(Az, QSz, t) \diamond N(Bz, PTz, t) \diamond N(Bz, QSz, t) \diamond N(Az, PTz, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(z, Bz, kt)] * M(z, Bz, kt) \geq a[M(z, z, kt) * M(Bz, Bz, kt) * M(Bz, z, kt)] + M(Bz, z, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(Bz, Bz, t) * M(Bz, z, t) * M(z, Bz, t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(z, Bz, kt)] \diamond N(z, Bz, kt) \leq a[N(z, z, kt) \diamond N(Bz, Bz, kt) \diamond N(Bz, z, kt)] + N(Bz, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(Bz, Bz, t) \diamond N(Bz, z, t) \diamond N(z, Bz, t)$$

Which implies that

$$M(z, Bz, kt) \geq M(Bz, z, t)$$

and

$$N(z, Bz, kt) \leq N(Bz, z, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 2.8 , we have hence $Bz = z$. Since $Bz = PTz$. Which implies $PTz = z$. Now to prove that $Sz = z$. putting $x = Sz$ and $y = z$, with $\alpha = 1$ in (2), then we have

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(QS(Sz), PTz, kt)] * M(A(Sz), Bz, kt) \geq a[M(A(Sz), QS(Sz), kt) * M(Bz, PTz, kt) * M(Bz, QS(Sz), kt)] + M(PTz, QS(Sz), t) * M(A(Sz), QS(Sz), t) * M(Bz, PTz, t) * M(Bz, QS(Sz), t) * M(A(Sz), PTz, t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(QS(Sz), PTz, kt)] \diamond N(A(Sz), Bz, kt) \leq a[N(A(Sz), QS(Sz), kt) \diamond N(Bz, PTz, kt) \diamond N(Bz, QS(Sz), kt)] + N(PTz, QS(Sz), t) \diamond N(A(Sz), QS(Sz), t) \diamond N(Bz, PTz, t) \diamond N(Bz, QS(Sz), t) \diamond N(A(Sz), PTz, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(Sz, z, kt)] * M(Sz, z, kt) \geq a[M(Sz, Sz, kt) * M(z, z, kt) * M(z, Sz, kt)] + M(z, Sz, t) * M(Sz, Sz, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(z, Sz, t) * M(Sz, z, t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(Sz, z, kt)] \diamond N(Sz, z, kt) \leq a[N(Sz, Sz, kt) \diamond N(z, z, kt) \diamond N(z, Sz, kt)] + N(z, Sz, t) \diamond N(Sz, Sz, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(z, Sz, t) \diamond N(Sz, z, t)$$

Which implies that

$$M(Sz, z, kt) \geq M(Sz, z, t)$$

and

$$N(Sz, z, kt) \leq N(Sz, z, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 2.8 , we have hence $Sz = z$. Since $z = QSz$. Which implies that $Qz = z$. Now, we prove that $Tz = z$. Putting $x = z$ and $y = Tz$ with $\alpha = 1$ in (2), then we have

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(QSz, PT(Tz), kt)] * M(Az, B(Tz), kt) \geq a[M(Az, QSz, kt) * M(B(Tz), PT(Tz), kt) * M(B(Tz), QSz, kt)] + M(PT(Tz), QSz, t) * M(Az, QSz, t) * M(B(Tz), PT(Tz), t) * M(B(Tz), QSz, t) * M(Az, PT(Tz), t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(QSz, PT(Tz), kt)] \diamond N(Az, B(Tz), kt)$$

$$\leq a[N(Az, QSz, kt) \diamond N(B(Tz), PT(Tz), kt) \diamond N(B(Tz), QSz, kt)] + N(PT(Tz), QSz, t) \diamond N(Az, QSz, t) \diamond N(B(Tz), PT(Tz), t) \diamond N(B(Tz), QSz, t) \diamond N(Az, PT(Tz), t)$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(z, Tz, kt)] * M(z, Tz, kt) \geq a[M(z, z, kt) * M(Tz, Tz, kt) * M(Tz, z, kt)] + M(Tz, z, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(Tz, Tz, t) * M(Tz, z, t) * M(z, Tz, t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(z, Tz, kt)] \diamond N(z, Tz, kt) \leq a[N(z, z, kt) \diamond N(Tz, Tz, kt) \diamond N(Tz, z, kt)] + N(Tz, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(Tz, Tz, t) \diamond N(Tz, z, t) \diamond N(z, Tz, t)$$

Which implies that

$$M(z, Tz, kt) \geq M(Tz, z, t)$$

and

$$N(z, Tz, kt) \leq N(Tz, z, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 2.8 , we have hence $Tz = z$. Since $z = PTz$. Which implies $Pz = z$. then combining all the above result we have $Az = Bz = Pz = z = Qz = Sz = Tz$. Hence z is a common fixed point of A, B, P, Q, S and T .

Uniqueness: let w be an another common fixed point of A, B, P, Q, S and T . then putting $x = z$ and $y = w$, in (2) with $\alpha = 1$, we have

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(QSz, PTw, kt)] * M(Az, Bw, kt) \geq a[M(Az, QSz, kt) * M(Bw, PTw, kt) * M(Bw, QSz, kt)] + M(PTw, QSz, t) * M(Az, QSz, t) * M(Bw, PTw, t) * M(Bw, QSz, t) * M(Az, PTw, t)$$

and

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aN(QSz, PTw, kt)] \diamond N(Az, Bw, kt) \leq a[N(Az, QSz, kt) \diamond N(Bw, PTw, kt) \diamond N(Bw, QSz, kt)] + N(PTw, QSz, t) \diamond N(Az, QSz, t) \diamond N(Bw, PTw, t) \diamond N(Bw, QSz, t) \diamond N(Az, PTw, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow [1 + aM(z, w, kt)] * M(z, w, kt) \geq a[M(z, z, kt) * M(w, w, kt) * M(w, z, kt)] + M(w, z, t) * M(z, z, t) * M(w, w, t) * M(w, z, t) * M(z, w, t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(z, w, kt)] \diamond N(z, w, kt) \leq a[N(z, z, kt) \diamond N(w, w, kt) \diamond N(w, z, kt)] + N(w, z, t) \diamond N(z, z, t) \diamond N(w, w, t) \diamond N(w, z, t) \diamond N(z, w, t)$$

Which implies that

$$M(z, w, kt) \geq M(z, w, t)$$

and

$$N(z, w, kt) \leq N(z, w, t)$$

Therefore by lemma 2.8 , we have hence $z = w$. thus , z is a unique common fixed point of A, B, P, Q, S and T .

Corollary: Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space with $t * t \geq t$ and $(1 - t) \diamond (1 - t) \leq (1 - t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Further, let A, B, S and T be six self mappings of X satisfying

$$(1) A(X) \subset T(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subset S(X),$$

(2) there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$[1 + aM(Sx, Ty, kt)] * M(Ax, By, kt) \geq a[M(Ax, Sx, kt) * M(By, Ty, kt) * M(By, Sx, kt)] + M(Ty, Sx, t) * M(Ax, Sx, t) * M(By, Ty, t) * M(By, Sx, \alpha t) * M(Ax, Ty, (2 - \alpha)t)$$

and

$$[1 + aN(Sx, Ty, kt)] \diamond N(Ax, By, kt) \leq a[N(Ax, Sx, kt) \diamond N(By, Ty, kt) \diamond N(By, Sx, kt)] + \\ N(Ty, Sx, t) \diamond N(Ax, Sx, t) \diamond N(By, Ty, t) \diamond N(By, Sx, \alpha t) \diamond N(Ax, Ty, (2 - \alpha)t)$$

for all $x, y \in X, a \geq 0, \alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $t > 0$.

(3) (A, S) and (B, T) satisfies the property (E.A.).

(4) One of $A(X), B(X), S(X)$ and $T(X)$ is a complete subspace of X .

Then the pair (A, S) and (B, T) have a unique common fixed point provided the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) commute pair wise.

IV. Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic Fuzzy metric spaces through concept of property (E.A).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank an anonymous referee for his valuable suggestions that helped to improve the final version of this paper.

References

- [1] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Probabilistic Metric Spaces, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [2] C. Alaca, D. T̄urkōglu and C. Yildiz, Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solitons Fractals, 29, No. 5 (2006), 1073-1078, doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2005.08.066
- [3] C. Alaca, I. Altun, D. T̄urkōglu, On compatible mappings of type (I) and (II) in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 23, No. 3 (2008), 427-446, doi: 10.4134/CKMS.2008.23.3.427
- [4] D. T̄urkōglu, C. Alaca, Y.J. Cho, C. Yildiz, Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, J. Appl. Math Comput., 22, No. 1-2 (2006), 411-424, doi: 10.1007/BF02896489
- [5] D. T̄urkōglu, C. Alaca, C. Yildiz, Compatible maps and compatible maps of type (α) and (β) in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Demonstratio Math., 39, No. 3 (2006), 671-684.
- [6] D. T̄urkōglu, C. Alaca, C. Yildiz, Common fixed point theorems of compatible maps in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 32, No. 1 (2008), 21-33.
- [7] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, Amer. Math. Monthly, 83, No. 4 (1976), 261-263, doi: 10.2307/2318216
- [8] I. Kramosil, J. Mich̄alek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika, 11, No. 5 (1975), 326-334, doi: 10.2298/FIL0802043K
- [9] J.H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, Chaos, Solitons Fractals, 22, No. 5 (2004), 1039-1046, doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2004.02.051
- [10] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and System, 20, No. 1, 87-96, doi: 10.1016/S0165-0114(86)800343(1986),
- [11] M. Aamri, and D. El. Moutawakil, 'Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions', J. Math. Anal. Appl., 270, pp.181-188. (2002).
- [12] S. Muralisankar, G. Kalpana, Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces using new contractive condition of integral type, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci., 4, No. 11, (2009), 505-518.
- [13] Saurabh Manro, Shin Min Kang, Common fixed point theorems for four mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics Volume 91 No. 2, 253-264, 2014.