

Existence of Solutions for a Three-Order P -Laplacian BVP on Time Scales

Xiaozhen Jin, Xinjie Gao, Chengmin Hou*

(Department of Mathematics, Yanbian University, Yanji, 133002, P.R. China)

Abstract: This paper is concerned with the existence of solution to p -Laplacian dynamic equation

$$\left(\phi_p(u^{\Delta\nabla}(t))\right)^\nabla + \lambda f(t, u(t), u^\Delta(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

subject to boundary conditions

$$u(0) = \beta(\xi), u^\Delta(t) = 0, \phi_p(u^{\Delta\nabla}(0)) = \sigma\phi_p(u^{\Delta\nabla}(\xi)),$$

where $\phi_p(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$ with $p > 1$. Depending on the relevant theory and properties on time scales, we get the solution expression. We establish a proper Banach space and the cone for this equation and define the corresponding operator. By Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative theorem, we establish the sufficient condition for the existence of at least one solution.

Keyword: time scales, p -Laplacian operator, Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative theorem.

I. Introduction

Recently, some authors have obtained many results on the existence of positive solutions to boundary value problems on time scales, for details, see [1-6] and the references therein. However, there is very few reported work considered the existence of solutions to boundary value problems with nonlinear terms involving with the derivative explicitly.

In[7], Wei Han studied the following m -point p -Laplacian eigenvalue problems

$$\begin{cases} \left(\phi_p(u^{\Delta\nabla}(t))\right)^\nabla + \lambda f(t, u(t), u^\Delta(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, T)_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad \lambda > 0, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u^\Delta(0) = 0, & u(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \alpha_i u(\xi_i), \quad u^{\Delta\nabla}(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The author showed the existence and uniqueness of a nontrivial solution by way of the Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative.

In[8], You-Hui Su concerned the following p -Laplacian dynamic equation

$$\begin{cases} \left(q_p(u^\Delta(t))\right)^\nabla + h(t)f(t, u(t), u^\Delta(t)) = 0, & t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ u(0) - B_0 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \alpha_i u^\Delta(\xi_i)\right) = 0, & u^\Delta(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The author obtained that the boundary value problem has at least triple or arbitrary positive solutions by using a generalization of Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem. Similarly, authors of [9] considered the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \left(\phi_p(u^{\Delta\nabla}(t))\right)^\nabla + h(t)f(t, u(t), u^\Delta(t)) = 0, & t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \\ u(0) - B_0 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \alpha_i u^\Delta(\xi_i)\right) = 0, \\ u^{\Delta\nabla}(0) = u^\Delta(T) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Motivated by the above mentioned works, in this paper, we study the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \left(\phi_p \left(u^{\Delta \nabla} (t) \right) \right)^\nabla + \lambda f(t, u(t), u^\Delta(t)) = 0, t \in [0, T]_\mathbb{T}, \\ u(0) = \beta(\xi), u^\Delta(T) = 0, \\ \phi_p \left(u^{\Delta \nabla} (0) \right) = \sigma \phi_p \left(u^{\Delta \nabla} (\xi) \right), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where \mathbb{T} is a time scale,

$$0, T \in \mathbb{T}, \quad [0, T]_\mathbb{T} = [0, T] \cap \mathbb{T}, \quad \phi_p(u) = |u|^{p-2}u, \quad p > 1, \quad (\phi_p)^{-1} = \phi_q, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

By Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative theorem we establish sufficient condition for the existence of at least one solution.

We note that by a solution u of the problem (1) we mean that $u: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is a delta differential, u^Δ and $\left(\phi_p \left(u^{\Delta \nabla} (t) \right) \right)^\nabla$ are both continuous on $\mathbb{T}^k \cap \mathbb{T}_k$, and u satisfies (1).

The interrelated definitions on time scales can be found in [10]. Throughout this paper it is assumed that

$$(H_1) \quad 0 < \beta, \quad \sigma < 1, \quad \xi \in (0, T)_\mathbb{T};$$

$$(H_2) \quad f: [0, T]_\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is C_{ld} continuous and does not vanish identically on any closed subinterval of $[0, T]_\mathbb{T}$, where \mathbb{R}^+ denotes the nonnegative real numbers.

Let $X = C_{ld} [0, T]_\mathbb{T}$ be the Banach space with norm $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, T]_\mathbb{T}} u(t)$ and order relation $x \leq y$ if $x(t) \leq y(t), t \in [0, T]_\mathbb{T}$.

Let $Y = C_{ld}^1 [0, T]_\mathbb{T}$ with norm $\|u\|_1 = \|u\| + \|u^\Delta\| = \max_{t \in [0, T]_\mathbb{T}} |u(t)| + \max_{t \in [0, T]_\mathbb{T}} |u^\Delta(t)|$. Then $(Y, \|u\|_1)$ is a Banach space.

For convenient, we denote

$$D = -A = \frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma} \int_0^\xi f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau,$$

$$\varphi(s) = \int_0^s (p(\tau) + q(\tau)) \nabla \tau,$$

$$\psi(s) = \int_0^s r(\tau) \nabla \tau + \frac{D}{\lambda},$$

$$M_\varphi = \int_0^T s (\varphi(s))^{p-1} \nabla s + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_0^\xi (\xi - s) (\varphi(s))^{p-1} \nabla s + \frac{1 + \beta(\xi - 1)}{1-\beta} \int_0^T (\varphi(s))^{p-1} \nabla s.$$

Lemma 1.1 ([10])

$$\phi_q(s+t) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} (\phi_q(s) + \phi_q(t)), & p \geq 2, \quad s, t > 0, \\ \phi_q(s) + \phi_q(t), & 1 < p < 2, \quad s, t > 0. \end{cases}$$

II. Main Results

Lemma 2.1. The solution expression of the boundary value problem (1) is

$$u(t) = -\int_0^t (t-s) \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s + t \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \left[-\int_0^\xi (\xi-s) \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s + \xi \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right]. \tag{2}$$

Proof. By(1), we have

$$u(t) = -\int_0^t (t-s) \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau - A \right) \nabla s + Bt + C, \tag{3}$$

$$u^\Delta(t) = -\int_0^t \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau - A \right) \nabla s + B, \tag{4}$$

and

$$u^{\Delta \nabla}(t) = -\phi_q \left(\int_0^t \lambda f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau - A \right). \tag{5}$$

Then $A = -\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma} \int_0^\xi \lambda f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau$ since

$$\phi_p(u^{\Delta \nabla}(0)) = A = \sigma \left(-\int_0^\xi \lambda f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + A \right)$$

On the other hand, using

$$u^\Delta(T) = -\int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau - A \right) \nabla s + B = 0$$

we can get

$$B = \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s$$

Furthermore, by

$$u(0) = C = \beta \left[-\int_0^\xi \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s + \xi \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s + C \right].$$

We see that

$$C = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \left[-\int_0^\xi \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s + \xi \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right]. \tag{6}$$

Substituting A, B and C into(3), we may see that (2) holds.

Next we will show

$$u(t) \geq 0, \quad u^\Delta(t) \geq 0, \quad u^{\Delta \nabla}(t) \leq 0. \tag{7}$$

From(5), $u^{\Delta \nabla}(t) \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}$, and $u^\Delta(T) = 0$ then $u^\Delta(t)$ is decreasing.

Thus $u(t) \geq u(0)$ By (6), and $u^\Delta(T) = 0$ we have $u(t) \geq 0, \quad u^\Delta(t) \geq 0.$

We define the operator $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ as follows:

$$(Qu)(t) = -\int_0^t (t-s) \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s + t \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \left[-\int_0^\xi (\xi-s) \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s + \xi \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right].$$

Lemma 2.2. $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ is complete continuous.

Proof. Let $C > 0$, and $u \in \bar{Y}_C = \{x \in Y : \|x\| < C\}$. By lemma 1.1, for $1 < p < 2$, $s, t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Qu\| &= \max_{t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} |Qu(t)| = Qu(T) \leq \int_0^T T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\int_0^s T \phi_q \left(\left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau \right) + \phi_q(D) \right) \nabla s + \xi \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau \right) + \phi_q(D) \right) \nabla s \right] < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we may obtain when $\|Qu\| < +\infty$ when $p \geq 2$.

Therefore, $Q\bar{Y}_C$ is bounded uniformly.

On the other hand, for $t_1 < t_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Qu)(t_2) - (Qu)(t_1)| \\ &= \left| -\int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s) \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s + t_1 \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right. \\ &+ \left. \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s) \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s - t_2 \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right| \\ &\leq (t_2 - t_1) \left| 2 \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right| \\ &+ (t_2 - t_1) \left| \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad (t_2 \rightarrow t_1) \end{aligned}$$

Arzela-Ascoli theorem and continuity of f show that $Q: \bar{Y}_C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a completely continuous operator.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $(H_1)(H_2)$ hold. There exists nonnegative functions $p(t), q(t), r(t) \in L^1$ satisfy

$$|f(t, u, v)| \leq p(t)|u|^{p-1} + q(t)|u|^{p-1} + r(t), \quad (t, u, v) \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \tag{8}$$

Where $p(t), q(t)$ do not vanish identically.

Then there exists a constant number $\lambda^* > 0$, for $\forall \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, the problem (1) has

at least one solution $u^* \in C_{ld}^1([0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. First, from $p(t_0) \neq 0$ or $q(t_0) \neq 0$, we have

$$\int_0^T \psi(s) \nabla s > 0$$

$$m = \frac{M_\psi}{M_\phi}, \quad \Omega = \{u \in C_{ld}^1[0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} : \|u\|_h < m\}$$

Let

Assume $u \in \partial\Omega$, $Qu = \mu u$, $\mu > 1$, then

$$\mu m = \mu \|u\|_1 = \|Qu\|_1 = \|Qu\| + \|(Qu)^\Delta\|$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|Qu\| &= \max_{t \in [0, T]_T} |(Qu)(t)| = |Qu(T)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^T s \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \left[-\int_0^\xi (\xi-s) \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s + \xi \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right] \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^T s \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s (p(\tau)|u|^{p-1} + q(\tau)|u^\Delta|^{p-1} + r(\tau)) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\int_0^\xi (\xi-s) \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s (p(\tau)|u|^{p-1} + q(\tau)|u^\Delta|^{p-1} + r(\tau)) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \xi \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s (p(\tau)|u|^{p-1} + q(\tau)|u^\Delta|^{p-1} + r(\tau)) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right] \\ &\leq \int_0^T s \phi_q \left(\lambda \|u\|_1^{p-1} \int_0^s (p(\tau) + q(\tau)) \nabla \tau + \lambda \int_0^s r(\tau) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\int_0^\xi (\xi-s) \phi_q \left(\lambda \|u\|_1^{p-1} \int_0^s (p(\tau) + q(\tau)) \nabla \tau + \lambda \int_0^s r(\tau) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \xi \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \|u\|_1^{p-1} \int_0^s (p(\tau) + q(\tau)) \nabla \tau + \lambda \int_0^s r(\tau) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right] \\ &= \int_0^T s \phi_q(\lambda) \phi_q \left(\|u\|_1^{p-1} \varphi(s) + \psi(s) \right) \nabla s \quad + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \left[\int_0^\xi (\xi-s) \phi_q(\lambda) \phi_q \left(\|u\|_1^{p-1} \varphi(s) + \psi(s) \right) \nabla s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \xi \int_0^T \phi_q(\lambda) \phi_q \left(\|u\|_1^{p-1} \varphi(s) + \psi(s) \right) \nabla s \right] \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider two cases

(I) If $p \geq 2$, then by using inequality $x^{p-1} + y^{p-1} \leq (x+y)^{p-1}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_q \left(\|u\|_1^{p-1} \varphi(s) + \psi(s) \right) &= \phi_q \left(\phi_p \left(\|u\|_1 \left(\varphi(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) + \phi_p \left(\psi(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \\ &\leq \|u\|_1 \left(\varphi(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \left(\psi(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus
$$\|Qu\| \leq \phi_q(\lambda) \|u\|_1 \left(\int_0^T s \left(\varphi(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_0^\xi (\xi-s) \left(\varphi(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s + \frac{\beta \xi}{1-\beta} \int_0^T \left(\varphi(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s \right)$$

$$+ \phi_q(\lambda) \|u\|_1 \left(\int_0^T s \left(\psi(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_0^\xi (\xi-s) \left(\psi(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s + \frac{\beta \xi}{1-\beta} \int_0^T \left(\psi(s) \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Qu)^\Delta\| &= \max_{t \in [0, T]_T} |(Qu)^\Delta| = |(Qu)^\Delta(0)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s f(\tau, u, u^\Delta) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \right| \leq \int_0^T \phi_q \left(\lambda \int_0^s (p(\tau)|u|^{p-1} + q(\tau)|u^\Delta|^{p-1} + r(\tau)) \nabla \tau + D \right) \nabla s \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_0^T \phi_q(\lambda) \phi_q \left(\|u\|_1^{p-1} \varphi(s) + \psi(s) \right) \nabla s \\ &\leq \int_0^T \phi_q(\lambda) \left(\|u\|_1 (\varphi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (\psi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \nabla s \\ &= \phi_q(\lambda) \|u\|_1 \int_0^T (\varphi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s + \phi_q(\lambda) \int_0^T (\psi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Qu\|_1 &\leq \phi_q(\lambda) \|u\|_1 \left(\int_0^T s (\varphi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_0^\xi (\xi-s) (\varphi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s + \frac{\beta(\xi-1)}{1-\beta} \int_0^T (\varphi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s \right) \\ &+ \phi_q(\lambda) \left(\int_0^T s (\psi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_0^\xi (\xi-s) (\psi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s + \frac{1+\beta(\xi-1)}{1-\beta} \int_0^T (\psi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s \right) \\ &= \phi_q(\lambda) \|u\|_1 M_\varphi + \phi_q(\lambda) M_\psi \end{aligned}$$

(II) For $1 < p < 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Qu\| &\leq \int_0^T s \phi_q(\lambda) \left[\phi_q \left(\|u\|_1^{p-1} \varphi(s) \right) + \phi_q(\psi(s)) \right] \nabla s \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \phi_q(\lambda) \int_0^\xi (\xi-s) \left[\phi_q \left(\|u\|_1^{p-1} \varphi(s) \right) + \phi_q(\psi(s)) \right] \nabla s \\ &+ \phi_q(\lambda) \frac{\beta\xi}{1-\beta} \int_0^T \left[\phi_q \left(\|u\|_1^{p-1} \varphi(s) \right) + \phi_q(\psi(s)) \right] \nabla s \leq \int_0^T s \phi_q(\lambda) \left(\|u\|_1 (\varphi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (\psi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \nabla s \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \phi_q(\lambda) \int_0^\xi (\xi-s) \left(\|u\|_1 (\varphi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (\psi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \nabla s \\ &+ \phi_q(\lambda) \frac{\beta\xi}{1-\beta} \int_0^T \left(\|u\|_1 (\varphi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (\psi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \nabla s \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Qu)^\Delta\| &= |(Qu)^\Delta(0)| \\ &\leq \int_0^T \phi_q(\lambda) \left[\phi_q \left(\phi_p \left(\|u\|_1 (\varphi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \right) + \phi_q \left(\phi_p \left((\psi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right) \right) \right] \nabla s \\ &= \phi_q(\lambda) \|u\|_1 \int_0^T (\varphi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s + \phi_q(\lambda) \int_0^T (\psi(s))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \nabla s \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|Qu\|_1 \leq \phi_q(\lambda) \|u\|_1 M_\varphi + \phi_q(\lambda) M_\psi \tag{9}$$

Choose $\lambda^* = \left(\frac{1}{2M_\varphi} \right)^{p-1}$, when for $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*$, we can get

$$\mu = \frac{\mu \|u\|_1}{m} \leq \frac{1}{2M_\varphi} \frac{\|u\|_1}{m} + \frac{M_\psi}{2M_\varphi m} \leq 1$$

Which is contract with $\mu > 1$. Thus \mathcal{Q} has a fixpoint $u^* \in \overline{\Omega}$. Since $f(t, 0, 0)$ does not vanish identically, (1) has a non-trivial Solution in $C_{ld}^1([0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that $(H_1)(H_2)$ hold and

$$0 \leq L = \lim_{|u|+|v| \rightarrow \infty} \max_{t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{|u|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}} < \infty \tag{10}$$

holds, then there exists a constant $\lambda^* > 0$ such that the problem(1) has at least one solution $u^* \in C_{ld}^1([0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$

when $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*]$.

证明: $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, satisfies $L + 1 - \varepsilon > 0$, by(10), there is $H > 0$ such that

$$|f(t, u, v)| \leq (L + 1 - \varepsilon)(|u|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}), \quad |u| + |v| \geq H, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Let $K = \max_{t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, |u| + |v| \geq H} |f(t, u, v)|$, then for all $(t, u, v) \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(t, u, v)| \leq (L + 1 - \varepsilon)(|u|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}) + K$$

holds. In the view of the Theorem 2.1, (1) has at least one solution $u^* \in C_{ld}^1([0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 2.1 Assume that $(H_1)(H_2)$ hold and the inequality

$$0 \leq L = \lim_{|u| + |v| \rightarrow \infty} \max_{t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{|u|^{p-1}} < \infty,$$

$$0 \leq L = \lim_{|u| + |v| \rightarrow \infty} \max_{t \in [0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{f(t, u, v)}{|v|^{p-1}} < \infty$$

holds, then there exist a constant $\lambda^* > 0$ such the problem(1) has at least one solution $u^* \in C_{ld}^1([0, T]_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R})$ when $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*]$.

References

- [1]. B.Aulbach, L.Neidhart, Integration on measure chains, in: Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Difference Equations, CRC, Boca Raton, FL. (2004)239-252.
- [2]. Chengmin Hou, Yansheng He, Mingzhe Sun, Multiple Positive Solutions of Nonlinear Boundary Value Problem for Finite Fractional Difference, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Article ID 147975. (2014)12.
- [3]. F.Merdivenci Atici, On Green's function and positive solutions for boundary value problems on time scales, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics. 144(2012)75-99.
- [4]. H.R.Sun, W.T.Li, Existence theory for positive solutions to one-dimensional p -Laplacian boundary value problems on time scales, J. Differential Equations. 240(2007)217-248.
- [5]. I.Yaslan, Multiple positive solutions for nonlinear three-point boundary value problems on time scales, Comput. Math. Appl. 50(2005)1603-1620.
- [6]. K.L.Boey, P.J.Y.Wong, Existence of triple positive solutions of two-point right focal boundary value problems on time scales, Comput.Math.Appl. 50(2005)1603-1620.
- [7]. Wei Han, MaoxingLiu, Existence and uniqueness of a nontrivial solution for a class of third-order nonlinear p -Laplacian m -point eigenvalue problems on time scales, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Method and Applications. 70(2009)1877-1889.
- [8]. You-Hui Su, Arbitrary positive solutions to a multi-point p -Laplacian boundary value problem involving the derivative on time scales, Mathematical and Computer Modeling. 53(2011)1742-1747.
- [9]. Bao Ling Li, ChengminHou, Multiple positive solutions of a m -point p -Laplacian boundary value problem involving derivative on time scales, Mathematica Aeterna. 51201572:293-304.
- [10]. Y.H.Su, W.T.Li.H.R.Sun. Positive solutions of singular p -Laplacian BVPs with sign changing non-linearity on time scales, Math, Comput, Modeling. 48(2008)845-858.