

Existence of Necessary Condition for Normal Solution Operator Equation

¹Md Najmul Hoda*, ²Mohammad Abid Ansari

¹Research Scholar* Department of Mathematics, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur-812007, India

²Associate Professor in Mathematics T.N.B. College, Bhagalpur, T.M. Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur-812007, India

E-mail:najmul85hoda@gmail.com

Corresponding author*

Abstract: An operator means a bounded linear operator on Hilbert span. This paper proves the assertion made in its title. Following theorem yields the famous result

$$AB + BA^* = I = A^*B + BA \quad (1)$$

Where A and B are the bounded linear operator on a Hilbert span H. where B* is self adjoint satisfying the above equation. After modification of this equation some interesting results are obtained.

Theorem: If $AB + BA^* = I$ has solution B, then $0 \in \sigma_\delta(A)$ and $0 \in \rho(B)$. Further $\|B^{-1}\| \leq 2\|A\|$. and $0 \in \rho(\operatorname{Re} B)$.

Proof. If $\sigma_\pi(A)$ denotes the approximate point spectrum of A then $\sigma_\pi(A^*) = \overline{\sigma_\pi(A)}$ bar is complex conjugate. Let $0 \in \sigma_\delta(A)$, then $0 \in \sigma_\pi(A^*)$, and so there exist a sequence $\{X_n\}$ of unit vector in H such that $A^*x_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now $AB + BA^* = I$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = (x_n, x_n) = ((AB + BA^*)x_n, x_n)$$

$$\text{or, } 1 = (ABx_n, x_n) + (BA^*x_n, x_n)$$

$$= (Bx_n, A^*x_n) + (A^*x_n, Bx_n) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This is contradiction, hence $0 \notin \sigma_\delta(A)$.

Similarly we can prove that $0 \notin \sigma_\delta(B)$. since B is a self adjoint, we have $\sigma_\delta(B) = \sigma(B)$ Hence $0 \in \rho(B)$

Next we suppose that $x \in H$ be arbitrary then

$$\|x\|^2 = ((AB + BA^*)x, x)$$

$$= (ABx, x) + (BA^*x, x)$$

$$= (Bx, A^*x) + (A^*x, Bx)$$

$$\leq \|Bx\| \|A^*x\| + \|A^*x\| \|Bx\|$$

$$= 2\|Bx\| \|Ax\|$$

$$\text{i.e. } 1 \leq 2\|B\| \|A\|$$

i.e. $\|B^{-1}\| \leq 2\|A\|$

Now we suppose that $0 \in \sigma_\delta(\operatorname{Re} A)$, this means that $0 \in \sigma_\pi(\operatorname{Re} A)$, hence there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of unit vectors in H such that $\operatorname{Re}(A)x_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
we have

$$2I = AB + BA^* + A^*B + BA$$

$$\Rightarrow 2(x_n, x_n) = \left((A + A^*)B + B(A + A^*)x_n, x_n \right)$$

or, $2 = 2\left[(\operatorname{Re} ABx_n, x_n) + (B \operatorname{Re} Ax_n, x_n) \right]$

or, $I = (Bx_n, \operatorname{Re} Ax_n) + (\operatorname{Re} Ax_n, Bx_n) \rightarrow 0$
as $n \rightarrow \infty$

This is contradiction, hence $0 \notin \sigma_\delta(\operatorname{Re} A)$. Since $(\operatorname{Re} A)$ is self adjoint, hence $\sigma_\delta(\operatorname{Re} A) = \sigma(\operatorname{Re} A)$.

$$\Rightarrow 0 \in \rho(\operatorname{Re} A)$$

Theorem : If (i) has a solution $B > 0$ (i.e. $(Bx, x) > 0$ for all $x \in H / \{0\}$). Then there is an inner product on H , equivalent to the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) , such that $\operatorname{Re} A > 0$ with respect to it.

Proof. We define a new equivalent inner product on H by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = (x, By), \text{ for all } x, y \in H$$

Since $[B, A - A^*] = 0$ we have

$$B(A - A^*) = (A - A^*)B$$

$$\Rightarrow (B(A - A^*)x, x) = ((A - A^*)Bx, x)$$

or, $((A - A^*)x, Bx) = (Bx, (A^* - A)x)$
 $= \overline{((A^* - A)x, Bx)}$

or, $\langle (A - A^*)x, x \rangle = \overline{\langle (A^* - A)x, x \rangle}$

or, $\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle A^*x, x \rangle = \overline{\langle A^*x, x \rangle} - \overline{\langle Ax, x \rangle}$

or, $\langle Ax, x \rangle + \overline{\langle Ax, x \rangle} = \langle A^*x, x \rangle + \overline{\langle A^*x, x \rangle}$

or, $\operatorname{Re} \langle Ax, x \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \langle A^*x, x \rangle$ (2)

Again from the equation (1) we have

$$2I = (A + A^*)B + B(A + A^*) \text{ then for any } x \in H.$$

$$2(x, x) = ((A + A^*)Bx, x) + (B(A + A^*)x, x)$$

Or, $2\|x\|^2 = (Bx, (A^* + A)x) + ((A + A^*)x, Bx)$
 $= \overline{((A^* + A)x, Bx)} + ((A + A^*)x, Bx)$
 $= \overline{\langle (A + A^*)x, x \rangle} + \langle (A + A^*)x, x \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \langle \overline{Ax+x} \rangle + \langle A^*x, x \rangle + \langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle A^*x, x \rangle \\
 &= \langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle \overline{Ax, x} \rangle + \langle A^*x, x \rangle + \langle \overline{A^*x+x} \rangle \\
 &= 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Ax, x \rangle + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle A^*x, x \rangle \\
 &= 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Ax, x \rangle + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle Ax, x \rangle \quad [\text{By(2)}] \\
 &= 4\operatorname{Re}\langle Ax, x \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Or, $\operatorname{Re}\langle Ax, x \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 > 0$ for all $x \in H$

Hence $\operatorname{Re}A > 0$

Theorem : If there exists a solutions A to (1) such that the eigen vectors of A^* span H , then $W(B) \subseteq R/\{0\}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A^*)$ (* the point spectrum of A^*) and let $x \in H, x \neq 0$ be an eigen vector

corresponding to λ . So we have $A^*x = \lambda x$ for all $x \in H$.

From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \left((A - A^*)Bx, x \right) + \left(B(A^* - A)x, x \right) \\
 \text{or, } 0 &= \left(Bx, (A^* - A)x \right) + \left((A^* - A)x, Bx \right) \\
 \text{or, } 0 &= \left(Bx, \lambda x - Ax \right) + \left(\lambda x - Ax, Bx \right) \\
 \text{or, } 0 &= \left(Bx, \lambda x \right) - \left(Bx, Ax \right) + \left(\lambda x, Bx \right) - \left(Ax, Bx \right) \\
 \text{or, } 0 &= \bar{\lambda} \left(Bx, x \right) - \left(Bx, Ax \right) + \lambda \left(Bx, x \right) - \left(Ax, Bx \right) \\
 \text{or, } 0 &= \left(Bx, Ax \right) + \left(\overline{Bx, Ax} \right) + \left(\lambda + \bar{\lambda} \right) \left(Bx, x \right) \\
 \text{Or, } \operatorname{Re}(Bx, Ax) &= (\operatorname{Re} \lambda)(Bx, x) \tag{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Again we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \|x\|^2 &= \left((A + A^*)Bx, x \right) + \left(B(A^* + A)x, x \right) \\
 &= \left(Bx, (A^* + A)x \right) + \left((A^* + A)x, Bx \right) \\
 &= \left(Bx, \lambda x + Ax \right) + \left(\lambda x + Ax, Bx \right) \\
 &= \left(Bx, \lambda x \right) + \left(Bx, Ax \right) + \left(\lambda x, Bx \right) + \left(Ax, Bx \right) \\
 &= \bar{\lambda} \left(Bx, x \right) + \lambda \left(Bx, x \right) + \left(Bx, Ax \right) + \left(\overline{Bx, Ax} \right) \\
 &= \left(\lambda + \bar{\lambda} \right) \left(Bx, x \right) + 2\operatorname{Re}(Bx, Ax) \\
 &= 2 \left[\operatorname{Re} \lambda (Bx, x) + \operatorname{Re} \lambda (Bx, x) \right] \quad \text{by(3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or, } 2\|x\|^2 = 4(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)(Bx, x)$$

$$\text{or, } 1 = 2(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)(By, y) \quad \text{where } y = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$$

So that $\|y\| = 1$. Clearly $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \neq 0$

This implies that

$$(By, y) = \frac{1}{2(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)} \text{ for all } y \in H$$

Hence $W(B) \subseteq R/\{0\}$.

Theorem: If there exists a solution A to (1) such that the eigen vectors of A span H, then $W(B) \subseteq R/\{0\}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$ and let $0 \neq x \in H$ be an eigen vector corresponding to λ . Then we have $Ax = \lambda x$ for all $x \in H$

From equation (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left((A - A^*)Bx, x \right) + \left(B(A^* - A)x, x \right) \\ &= \left(Bx, (A^* - A)x \right) + \left((A^* - A)x, Bx \right) \\ &= \left(Bx, A^*x - \lambda x \right) + \left(A^*x - \lambda x, Bx \right) \\ &= \left(Bx, A^*x \right) - \bar{\lambda} \left(Bx, x \right) + \left(A^*x, Bx \right) - \lambda \left(x, Bx \right) \\ &= \left(Bx, A^*x \right) + \left(\overline{Bx, A^*x} \right) + \bar{\lambda} \left(Bx, x \right) - \lambda \left(Bx, x \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or, } (\lambda + \bar{\lambda})(Bx, x) = \left(Bx, A^*x \right) + \left(\overline{Bx, A^*x} \right)$$

$$\text{Or, } \operatorname{Re} \lambda (Bx, x) = \operatorname{Re} \left(Bx, A^*x \right) \tag{4}$$

Again we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{or, } 2\|x\|^2 &= \left((A + A^*)Bx, x \right) + \left(B(A^* + A)x, x \right) \\ \text{or, } 2\|x\|^2 &= \left(Bx, (A^* + A)x \right) + \left((A^* + A)x, Bx \right) \\ &= \left(Bx, A^*x + \lambda x \right) + \left(A^*x + \lambda x, Bx \right) \\ &= \left(Bx, A^*x \right) + \bar{\lambda} \left(Bx, x \right) + \left(A^*x, Bx \right) + \lambda \left(x, Bx \right) \\ &= (\lambda + \bar{\lambda})(Bx, x) + \left(Bx, A^*x \right) + \left(\overline{Bx, A^*x} \right) \\ &= 2\operatorname{Re} \lambda (Bx, x) + 2\operatorname{Re} \left(Bx, A^*x \right) \\ \text{or, } 2\|x\|^2 &= 2 \left[\operatorname{Re} \lambda (Bx, x) + \operatorname{Re} \lambda (Bx, x) \right] \quad \text{by(4)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or, } 2\|x\|^2 = 4\operatorname{Re}\lambda(Bx, x)$$

$$\text{or, } 1 = 2\operatorname{Re}\lambda(By, y) \quad \text{where } y = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$$

$$\Rightarrow (By, y) = \frac{1}{2\operatorname{Re}\lambda}, (\operatorname{Re}\lambda \neq 0)$$

This show that $W(B) \subseteq R/\{0\}$.

Theorem : If there exists a solution B to equation (1) such that the eigen vectors of B span H, then either $W(A) \subseteq R/\{0\}$ or $W(\operatorname{Re}A) \subseteq R/\{0\}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_p(B)$ and let $0 \neq x \in H$ be an eigen vector corresponding to λ . Then $Bx = \lambda x$ for all $x \in H$.

From equation is (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left((A - A^*)Bx, x \right) + \left(B(A^* - A)x, x \right) \\ &= \left(Bx, (A^* - A)x \right) + \left((A^* - A)x, Bx \right) \\ &= \left(\lambda x, (A^* - A)x \right) + \left((A^* - A)x, \lambda x \right) \\ &= \lambda \left[(x, A^*x) - (x, Ax) \right] + \bar{\lambda} \left[(A^*x, x) - (Ax, x) \right] \\ &= \lambda \left[(Ax, x) - \overline{(Ax, x)} \right] - \bar{\lambda} \left[(Ax, x) - \overline{(Ax, x)} \right] \\ &= (\lambda - \bar{\lambda}) \left[(Ax, x) - \overline{(Ax, x)} \right] \\ &= (2I_m \lambda)(2I_m(Ax, x)) \\ &= 4(I_m \lambda)(I_m(Ax, x)) \\ &\Rightarrow \text{Either } I_m(Ax, x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{In this case } (Ax, x) = \overline{(Ax, x)} \tag{5}$$

$$\text{Or } I_m \lambda = 0 \text{ In this case } \lambda = \bar{\lambda} \tag{6}$$

Again from (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|x\|^2 &= \left((A + A^*)Bx, x \right) + \left(B(A + A^*)x, x \right) \\ &= \left(Bx, (A^* + A)x \right) + \left((A^* + A)x, Bx \right) \\ &= \left(\lambda x, (A^* + A)x \right) + \left((A^* + A)x, \lambda x \right) \\ &= \lambda \left[(x, A^*x) + (x, Ax) \right] + \bar{\lambda} \left[(A^*x, x) + (Ax, x) \right] \\ &= \lambda \left[(Ax, x) + \overline{(Ax, x)} \right] + \bar{\lambda} \left[(Ax, x) + \overline{(Ax, x)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\lambda + \bar{\lambda}) \left[(Ax, x) + \overline{(Ax, x)} \right] \tag{7}$$

Now two cases arise

Case I : When $(Ax, x) = \overline{(Ax, x)}$ then by (7)

We have

$$2 \|x\|^2 = 4(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)(Ax, x)$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = 2(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)(Ay, y) \quad \text{where } y = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$$

Obviously by $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \neq 0$. This implies that

$$(Ay, y) = \frac{1}{2(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)} \text{ for all } y \in H.$$

Hence $W(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Case II : When $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$; i.e. λ is purely real from equation (7) we have

$$2 \|x\|^2 = 2\lambda \left[(Ax, x) + \overline{(Ax, x)} \right]$$

$$= 2\lambda 2\operatorname{Re}(Ax, x)$$

$$= 4\lambda \operatorname{Re}(Ax, x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\|^2 = 2\lambda \operatorname{Re}(Ax, x)$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = 2\lambda \operatorname{Re}(Ay, y) \text{ where } y = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$$

$$= \operatorname{Re}(Ay, y) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \text{ for all } y \in H \tag{8}$$

We have

$$2a = z + \bar{z} \text{ i.e. } a = \frac{1}{2}(z + \bar{z})$$

$$\text{i.e. } \operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + \bar{z})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left((Ay, y) + \overline{(Ay, y)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left((Ay, y) + \overline{(y, A^*y)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left((Ay, y) + (A^*y, y) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left((A + A^*)y, y \right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}(A + A^*)y, y \right) \\
 &= (\operatorname{Re}(A)y, y) \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (8) and (9) we have

$$((\operatorname{Re} A)y, y) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \text{ for all } y \in H$$

$$\Rightarrow W(\operatorname{Re} A) \subseteq R/\{0\}$$

References

- [1]. Simmons, G.F.: Introduction to topology and modern analysis. McGraw-Hill, New York (1963).
- [2]. Rudin, Walter: Functional analysis (1981).
- [3]. Duggal B.P. and Khalagai J.M.: On operator equation $AB + BA^* = A^*B + BA = I$, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 13(H), 1376-1383 November 1983.
- [4]. Duggal B.P. and Khalagai J.M.: On operator equation $AB + B^*A = A^*B + BA = I$, Math Japan, 26(1981)
- [5]. R. Nakamoto: On the operator equation $THT = K$ Math. Japan; 18 (1973), PP. 251-252.
- [6]. G.K. Pederson, M. Takesaki: The operator equation $THT = K$ Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 36 (1972), PP. 311-312.
- [7]. T. Kato: Perturbation theory for linear operator, 2nd Edition, Springer, 1980.
- [8]. Taylor, A.E.: Introduction to functional analysis, Wiley, New York, 1958.
- [9]. Md. N. Hoda and Mohammad A. Ansari: Some normal solution on operator equation, AIJRSTEM (USA); 16(1); Sep. – Nov. (2016); PP. 49-51.
- [10]. R. Meise, D. Vogt: Introduction to functional analysis, Oxford G.T.M.2, Oxford University Press 1997.
- [11]. M.H. Mortad: On some product of two unbounded self adjoint operator, Integral Equation Operator Theory 64 (2009), 399-408.
- [12]. M.H. Mortad: On Normality of the solution two Normal operators, complex Analysis Oper. Theory, 61 (2012) 105-112, DOI: 10.1007/s11785-010-0072-7.
- [13]. M.H. Mortad: Products of unbounded normal operator, (submitted), arXIV: 1202.6143V1.
- [14]. C. Putram: Commutation properties of Hilbert span operators, Springer, 1967.
- [15]. W. Rudin: Functional analysis McGraw-Hill, 1991 (2nd edition)
- [16]. Schwanberg, Allen: The operator equation $AX - XB = C$ with normal A and B, Pasifie J. Math., Vol. 102:447-453 (1982).
- [17]. Read, Michael and Sincon, Barry: Functional analysis Academic Press, New York (1972).
- [18]. Roth, W.E.: The equation $AX - YB = C$ and $AX - XB = C$ in matrices, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 5:392-396 (1952).
- [19]. Rosen-blum, M.: On operator equation $BX - XA = C$ Duk Math. J., 25, 263-269 (1956).
- [20]. Rosen-blum, M.: The operator equation $BX - XA = Q$ with self adjoint. A and B. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20:115-120 (1969).
- [21]. Bellman, R.: Introduction to matrix analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York (1960).
- [22]. Barberian, S.K.: Lectures in functional analysis and operator theory, Springer-Verlag, New York (1979).
- [23]. Barberian, S.K.: The numerical range of normal operator, Duke Math. J., 31:479-483.