Some Properties of FGSPR-Continuous Functions

M. Thiruchelvi¹, Gnanambal Ilango²

¹Department of Mathematics, Sankara College of Science and Commerce, Coimbatore – 641035, Tamilnadu, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College, Coimbatore – 641 018, Tamilnadu, India. Corresponding Author: M. Thiruchelvi

Abstract: In this paper some properties of fgspr-continuous functions are studied. It is shown that the class of all fgspr-irresolute functions implies the class of all fgspr^{*}-continuous functions and the class of all fgspr^{*}-continuous functions implies the class of all fgspr-continuous functions. **Keywords:** fgspr-continuous, fgspr-irresolute and fgspr^{*}-continuous.

Date of Submission: 29-June-2017 Date of acceptance: 18-July-2017

I. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets and fuzzy set operations were first introduced by Zadeh [15]. Subsequently, several authors have applied various basic concepts from general topology to fuzzy sets and developed the theory of fuzzy topological spaces. Fuzzy topology was introduced by Chang [3]. Wong [13] studied the product of fuzzy topological spaces in 1974. Azad [1] introduced fuzzy semi continuity in 1981. Balasubramanian and Sundaram [2] introduced generalized fuzzy continuous functions in 1997 and Thakur and Singh [8] introduced fuzzy semi pre continuity in 1998. Gnanambal and Balachandran [4] introduced the concept of generalized semi preregular closed sets and also introduced the notion of generalized semi preregular continuity and studied their properties. In 2013, Vadivel et al [11] explained the concept of fuzzy generalized preregular continuous mappings and studied their properties.

In this paper, some properties of fgspr-continuous functions and fgspr-irresolute functions are studied. Also fgspr^{*}-continuousfunction is introduced and some of its properties are studied. It is shown that the class of all fgspr-irresolute functions implies the class of all fgspr^{*}-continuous functions and the class of all fgspr^{*}-continuous functions implies the class of all fgspr-continuous functions.

II. Preliminaries

Let X, Y and Z be fuzzy sets. Throughout this paper (X, τ) , (Y, σ) and (Z, η) (or simply X, Y and Z) mean fuzzy topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be function from a fuzzy topological space X to fuzzy topological space Y. Let us recall the following definitions which we shall require later.

Definition 2.1: A fuzzy set λ in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ) is called

- (i) a fuzzy semi-preopen set [8] if $\lambda \leq cl(int(cl(\lambda)))$ and a fuzzy semi-preclosed set if $int(cl(int(\lambda))) \leq \lambda$.
- (ii) a fuzzy regular open set [1] if $int(cl(\lambda)) = \lambda$ and a fuzzy regular closed set if $cl(int(\lambda)) = \lambda$.

Definition 2.2: A fuzzy set λ in a fuzzy topological space (X, τ) is called

- (i) a fuzzy generalized closed set (briefly, fg-closed) [2] if $cl(\lambda) \le \mu$, whenever $\lambda \le \mu$ and μ is a fuzzy open set in X.
- (ii) a fuzzy generalized preregular closed set (briefly, fgpr-closed) [11] if $pcl(\lambda) \le \mu$, whenever $\lambda \le \mu$ and μ is a fuzzy regular open set in X.
- (iii) a fuzzy generalized semi preregular closed set (briefly, fgspr-closed) [9] if spcl(λ) $\leq \mu$, whenever $\lambda \leq \mu$ and μ is a fuzzy regular open set in X.

Definition 2.3: Let X, Y be two fuzzy topological spaces. A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called

- (i) a fuzzy continuous (briefly, f-continuous) [3] if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy open (fuzzy closed) set in X, for every fuzzy open (fuzzy closed) set λ in Y.
- (ii) a fuzzy semi pre continuous (briefly, fsp-continuous) [8] if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy semi preopen (fuzzy semi preclosed) set in X, for every fuzzy open(fuzzy closed) set λ in Y.
- (iii) a fuzzy generalized preregular continuous (briefly, fgpr-continuous) [12] if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy generalized preregular open (fuzzy generalized preregular closed) set in X, for every fuzzy open (fuzzy closed) set λ in Y.

- (iv) a fuzzy generalized semi preregular continuous (briefly, fgspr-continuous) [10] if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy generalized semi preregular open (fuzzy generalized semi preregular closed) set in X, for every fuzzy open (fuzzy closed) set λ in Y.
- (v) a fuzzy semi irresolute (briefly, fs-irresolute) [14] if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy semi open (fuzzy semi closed) set in X, for every fuzzy semi open (fuzzy semi closed) set λ in Y.
- (vi) a fuzzy generalized preregular irresolute (briefly, fgpr- irresolute) [12] if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy generalized preregular open (fuzzy generalized preregular closed) set in X, for every fuzzy generalized preregular open (fuzzy generalized preregular closed) set λ in Y.
- (vii) a fuzzy generalized semi preregular irresolute (briefly, fgspr-irresolute) [10] if $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy generalized semi preregular open (fuzzy generalized semi preregular closed) set in X, for every fuzzy generalized semi preregular open (fuzzy generalized semi preregular closed) set λ in Y.

Definition 2.4: [9] For any fuzzy set λ in any fuzzy topological space,

- (i) fgspr-cl(λ) = Λ { μ : μ is a fgspr-closed set and $\mu \ge \lambda$ }
- (ii) fgspr-int(λ) = V{ μ : μ is a fgspr-open set and $\mu \le \lambda$ }
- **Definition 2.5:** A fuzzy topological space (X, τ) is said to be
- (i) a fuzzy $T_{1/2}$ space [2] if every fg-closed is fuzzy closed.
- (ii) a fuzzy semi preregular $T_{1/2}$ space [9] if every fgspr-closed is fuzzy semi preclosed.
- (iii) a fuzzy semi preregular $T_{1/2}^*$ space [9] if every fgspr-closed is fuzzy closed.

Definition 2.6:[6] A fuzzy point $x_n \in A$ is said to be quasi-coincident with the fuzzy set A denoted by $x_n qA$ if and only if p + A(x) > 1. A fuzzy set A is quasi-coincident with a fuzzy set B denoted by A_0B if and only if there exists $x \in X$ such that A(x) + B(x) > 1. If A and B are not quasi-coincident then we write $A_{\overline{\alpha}}B$. Note that $A \leq B \iff A_{\bar{a}}(1-B).$

Lemma 2.7: [1] Let $f: X \to Y$ be a mapping and $\{\lambda_{\alpha}\}$ be a family of fuzzy sets of Y, then

(a)
$$f^{-1}(\cup \lambda_{\alpha}) = \cup f^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha})$$

(b) $f^{-1}(\cap \lambda_{\alpha}) = \cap f^{-1}(\lambda_{\alpha})$

Lemma 2.8: [1] For mappings $f_i: X_i \to Y_i$ and fuzzy sets λ_i of Y_i , i = 1,2; we have $(f_1 \times f_2)^{-1}(\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2) = f_1^{-1}(\lambda_1) \times f_2^{-1}(\lambda_2)$. **Lemma 2.9:** [1] Let $g: X \to X \times Y$ be the graph of a mapping $f: X \to Y$ then, if λ is a fuzzy set of X and μ is

a fuzzy set of Y, $q^{-1}(\lambda \times \mu) = \lambda \cap f^{-1}(\mu)$.

III. Fgspr-Continuous Functions

In this section, some properties of fuzzy generalized semi preregular continuous function are studied. **Theorem 3.1:** If a function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr-continuous then $f[fgspr-cl(\lambda)] \le cl[f(\lambda)]$ for every fuzzy set λ in X.

Proof: Let λ be any fuzzy set in X. Now cl [f(λ)] is a fuzzy closed set in Y. As f is fgspr-continuous, $f^{-1}(cl [f(\lambda)])$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. $\lambda \leq f^{-1}(cl [f(\lambda)])$ and so fgspr-cl(λ) $\leq f^{-1}(cl [f(\lambda)])$. Hence $f[fgspr-cl(\lambda)] \le cl[f(\lambda)].$

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

Example 3.2: Let X = {a, b, c}, Y = {x, y} and consider the fuzzy sets $\lambda_1 = \{(a, 0.3), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.4)\}$, $\lambda_2 = \{(a, 0.2), (b, 0.4), (c, 0.2)\}, \lambda_3 = \{(x, 0.8), (y, 0.6)\}, \lambda_4 = \{(x, 0.2), (y, 0.4)\} \text{ and } \lambda_5 = \{(x, 0.1), (y, 0.5)\}.$ Let $\tau = \{0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 1\}$ and $\sigma = \{0, \lambda_3, 1\}$. Define the function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by f(a) = f(c) = x and f(b) = y. Then for any fuzzy set λ , f[fgspr-cl(λ)] \leq cl[f(λ)]. Since the fuzzy set λ_5 is not a fuzzy closed set in Y but $f^{-1}(\lambda_5)$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Hence f is not fgspr-continuous.

Theorem 3.3: If a function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr-continuous then fgspr-cl[$f^{-1}(\mu)$] $\leq f^{-1}[cl(\mu)]$ for every fuzzy set µ in Y.

Proof: Let μ be any fuzzy set in Y. Now $cl(\mu)$ is a fuzzy closed set in Y. As f is fgspr-continuous, $f^{-1}[cl(\mu)]$ is Since $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq f^{-1}[cl(\mu)]$, it follows from Definition 2.4 that a fgspr-closed set in X. fgspr-cl[f⁻¹(μ)] \leq f⁻¹[cl(μ)].

The following example shows that the converse of the above theorem is not true.

Example 3.4: Let X = {a, b, c}, Y = {x, y} and consider the fuzzy sets $\mu_1 = \{(a, 0.2), (b, 0.5), (c, 0.7)\}$, $\mu_2 = \{(x, 0), (y, 0.7)\}, \mu_3 = \{(x, 1), (y, 0.3)\}$ and $\mu_4 = \{(x, 0), (y, 0.4)\}$. Let $\tau = \{0, \mu_1, 1\}$ and $\sigma = \{0, \mu_2, 1\}$. Define the function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ by f(a) = f(c) = x and f(b) = y. Then for any fuzzy set μ , fgspr-cl[f⁻¹(μ)] \leq f⁻¹[cl(μ)]. Since the fuzzy set μ_4 is not a fuzzy closed set in Y but $f^{-1}(\mu_4)$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Hence f is not fgspr-continuous.

Theorem 3.5: A function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr-continuous iff $f^{-1}[int(\mu)] \leq fgspr-int[f^{-1}(\mu)]$ for every fuzzy set μ in Y.

Proof: Let μ be any fuzzy set in Y. Now $int(\mu)$ is a fuzzy open set in Y. As f is fgspr-continuous, $f^{-1}[int(\mu)]$ is a fgspr-open set in X and we have $f^{-1}[int(\mu)] = fgspr-int(f^{-1}[int(\mu)]) \leq fgspr-int(f^{-1}(\mu))$. Hence $f^{-1}[int(\mu)] \leq fgspr-int[f^{-1}(\mu)].$

Conversely, let μ be any fuzzy open set in Y. By hypothesis we have, fgspr-int[f⁻¹(μ)] \geq f⁻¹[int(μ)] = f⁻¹(μ) and so fgspr-int[f⁻¹(μ)] \geq f⁻¹(μ). Also we have f⁻¹(μ) \geq fgspr-int[f⁻¹(μ)] we get f⁻¹(μ) = fgspr-int[$f^{-1}(\mu)$]. Hence $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fgspr-open set in X and f is fgspr-continuous.

Theorem 3.6: A function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr-continuous iff $int[f(\lambda)] \le f[fgspr-int(\lambda)]$ for every fuzzy set λ in X.

Proof: Let λ be any fuzzy set in X. Now int[f(λ)] is a fuzzy open set in Y. As f is fgspr-continuous, $f^{-1}(\inf [f(\lambda)])$ is a fgspr-open set in X. From Theorem 3.5, $f^{-1}(\inf [f(\lambda)]) \leq fgspr-int(f^{-1}[f(\lambda)]) \leq fgspr-int(\lambda)$ and so $f^{-1}(\inf [f(\lambda)]) \leq fgspr-int(\lambda)$. Hence $\inf [f(\lambda)] \leq f[fgspr-int(\lambda)]$.

Conversely, let μ be any fuzzy open set in Y. By hypothesis we have, $f[fgspr-int(f^{-1}(\mu))] \ge int[f(f^{-1}(\mu))] =$ $\operatorname{int}(\mu) = \mu$ and so $\operatorname{fgspr-int}(f^{-1}(\mu)) \ge f^{-1}(\mu)$. Also we have $f^{-1}(\mu) \ge \operatorname{fgspr-int}(f^{-1}(\mu))$ we get $f^{-1}(\mu) = \mu$ fgspr-int($f^{-1}(\mu)$). Hence $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fgspr-open set in X and f is fgspr-continuous.

Theorem 3.7: If $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr-continuous then for each fuzzy point x_p of X and each $\mu \in \sigma$ such that $f(x_p) \in \mu$, there exists a fgspr-open set λ of X such that $x_p \in \lambda$ and $f(\lambda) \leq \mu$.

Proof: Let x_p be a fuzzy point of X and $\mu \in \sigma$ such that $f(x_p) \in \mu$. Put $\lambda = f^{-1}(\mu)$ then by hypothesis λ is a fgspr-open set of X such that $x_p \in \lambda$ and $f(\lambda) = f[f^{-1}(\mu)] \leq \mu$.

Theorem 3.8: If $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr-continuous then for each fuzzy point x_n of X and each $\mu \in \sigma$ such that $f(x_n)q\mu$, there exists a fgspr-open set λ of X such that $x_pq\lambda$ and $f(\lambda) \leq \mu$.

Proof: Let x_p be a fuzzy point of X and $\mu \in \sigma$ such that $f(x_p)q\mu$. Put $\lambda = f^{-1}(\mu)$ then by hypothesis λ is a fgspr-open set of X such that $x_p q \lambda$ and $f(\lambda) = f[f^{-1}(\mu)] \leq \mu$.

Theorem 3.9: Let X_1, X_2, Y_1 and Y_2 be fuzzy topological spaces such that X_1 is product related to X_2 . Then the product $f_1 \times f_2: X_1 \times X_2 \to Y_1 \times Y_2$ is fgspr-continuous if $f_1: X_1 \to Y_1$ and $f_2: X_2 \to Y_2$ are fgspr-continuous.

Proof: Let $\lambda \equiv \bigcup (\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2)$, where λ_1 and λ_2 are fuzzy open sets of Y_1 and Y_2 respectively, $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$ be a fuzzy open set of $Y_1 \times Y_2$. Using Lemma 2.7 (a) and 2.8, we have

 $(f_1 \times f_2)^{-1} (\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2)(x_1, x_2)$ $= \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2[f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2)]$ $\begin{array}{l} (f_1 \times f_2) \quad (\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2)(x_1, x_2) & = \lambda_1 \times \lambda_2 [f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2)] \\ & = \min[\lambda_1 f_1(x_1), \lambda_2 f_2(x_2)] \\ & = \min[f_1^{-1}(\lambda_1)(x_1), f_2^{-1}(\lambda_2)(x_2)] \\ & = (f_1^{-1}(\lambda_1) \times f_2^{-1}(\lambda_2))(x_1, x_2) \\ (i.e) \ (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1} [\cup (\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2)] = \cup \ [f_1^{-1}(\lambda_1) \times f_2^{-1}(\lambda_2)] \\ & (i.e) \ (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1}(\lambda) = \cup \ [f_1^{-1}(\lambda_1) \times f_2^{-1}(\lambda_2)] \\ & (i.e) \ (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1}(\lambda) = \cup \ [f_1^{-1}(\lambda_1) \times f_2^{-1}(\lambda_2)] \\ & \text{Therefore} \ (f_1 \times f_2)^{-1}(\lambda) \text{ is a fgspr-open set of } X_1 \times X_2. \text{ Hence } f_1 \times f_2 \colon X_1 \times X_2 \to Y_1 \times Y_2 \text{ is fgspr-continuous.} \\ & \text{Theorem 3.10: Let } f_1 \colon Y \to Y \text{ be any function.} \text{ Then if the graph } g_1 \colon Y \to Y \times Y \text{ of f is form continuous.} \end{array}$

Theorem 3.10: Let $f: X \to Y$ be any function. Then if the graph $g: X \to X \times Y$ of f is fgspr-continuous, f is also fgspr-continuous.

Proof: Let μ be any fuzzy open set in Y. Using Lemma 2.9, $f^{-1}(\mu) = 1 \cap f^{-1}(\mu) = g^{-1}(1 \times \mu)$. Since g is fgspr-continuous and $1 \times \mu$ is a fuzzy open set of $X \times Y$ and $g^{-1}(1 \times \mu)$ is a fgspr-open set of X. Then $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fgspr-open set of X and hence f is fgspr-continuous.

IV. Fgspr-Irresolute Functions

In this section, some properties of fuzzy generalized semi preregular irresolute function are studied.

Theorem 4.1: If a function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr-irresolute then $f[fgspr-cl(\lambda)] \leq fgspr-cl[f(\lambda)]$ for every fuzzy set λ in X,

Proof:Let λ be any fuzzy set in X. Now fgspr-cl[f(λ)] is a fgspr-closed set in Y. As f is fgspr-irresolute, $f^{-1}(fgspr-cl[f(\lambda)])$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Furthermore, $\lambda \leq f^{-1}[f(\lambda)] \leq f^{-1}(fgspr-cl[f(\lambda)])$ and it follows from Definition 2.4 that fgspr-cl(λ) $\leq f^{-1}(fgspr-cl[f(\lambda)])$. Hence f[fgspr-cl(λ)] $\leq fgspr-cl[f(\lambda)]$

Theorem 4.2: If a function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr-irresolute then fgspr-cl[$f^{-1}(\mu)$] $\leq f^{-1}[fgspr-cl(\mu)]$ for every fuzzy set μ in Y.

Proof:Let μ be any fuzzy set in Y. Now fgspr-cl(μ) is a fgspr-closed set in Y. As f is fgspr-irresolute, $f^{-1}[fgspr-cl(\mu)]$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Since $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq f^{-1}[fgspr-cl(\mu)]$, it follows from the Definition 2.4 that fgspr-cl[f⁻¹(μ)] \leq f⁻¹[fgspr-cl(μ)].

Theorem 4.3: A function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr-irresolute iff $f^{-1}[fgspr-int(\mu)] \leq fgspr-int[f^{-1}(\mu)]$ for every fuzzy set μ in Y,

Proof: Let μ be any fuzzy set in Y. Now fgspr-int(μ) is a fgspr-open set in Y. As f is fgspr-irresolute, $f^{-1}[fgspr-int(\mu)]$ is a fgspr-open set in X and we have $f^{-1}[fgspr-int(\mu)] = fgspr-int(f^{-1}[fgspr-int(\mu)]) \leq fgspr-int(\mu)$ fgspr-int[$f^{-1}(\mu)$]. Hence $f^{-1}[fgspr-int(\mu)] \le fgspr-int[f^{-1}(\mu)]$.

Conversely, let μ be any fgspr-open set in Y. Then $\mu = \text{fgspr-int}(\mu)$ and $f^{-1}(\mu) = f^{-1}[\text{fgspr-int}(\mu)] \leq \text{fgspr-int}(f^{-1}(\mu))$. Also we have $f^{-1}(\mu) \geq \text{fgspr-int}(f^{-1}(\mu))$ we get $f^{-1}(\mu) = f^{-1}[\text{fgspr-int}(\mu)]$. fgspr-int($f^{-1}(\mu)$). Hence $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fgspr-open set in X and f is fgspr-irresolute.

Theorem 4.4: A function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr-irresoulte iff fgspr-int[$f(\lambda)$] \leq f[fgspr-int(λ)] for every fuzzy set λ in X.

Proof: Let λ be any fuzzy set in X. Now fgspr-int[f(λ)] is a fgspr-open set in Y. As f is fgspr-irresolute, $f^{-1}[fgspr-int[f(\lambda)]]$ is a fgspr-open set in X. From Theorem 4.3, $f^{-1}(fgspr-int[f(\lambda)]) \leq fgspr-int(f^{-1}[f(\lambda)]) \leq fgspr-int(f^{-1}[f(\lambda)])$ fgspr-int(λ) and so f⁻¹(fgspr-int[f(λ)]) \leq fgspr-int(λ). Hence fgspr-int[f(λ)] \leq f[fgspr-int(λ)].

Conversely, let μ be any fgspr-open set in Y. Then μ = fgspr-int(μ). By hypothesis we have, $f[fgspr-int(f^{-1}(\mu)) \ge fgspr-int[f(f^{-1}(\mu))] = fgspr-int(\mu) = \mu$ and so $fgspr-int(f^{-1}(\mu)) \ge f^{-1}(\mu)$. Also we have $f^{-1}(\mu) \ge fgspr-int(f^{-1}(\mu))$ we get $f^{-1}(\mu) = fgspr-int(f^{-1}(\mu))$. Hence $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fgspr-open set in X and f is fgspr-irresolute.

V. Fgspr^{*}-Continuous Functions

Definition 5.1: Let X and Y be two fuzzy topological spaces. A function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be fuzzy generalized semi preregular*-continuous (briefly, fgspr*-continuous) if the inverse image of every fuzzy semi preclosed set in Y is a fgspr-closed set in X.

Example 5.2: Let $X = \{a, b, c\} = Y$ and consider the fuzzy sets $\lambda_1 = \{(a, 1), (b, 1), (c, 0)\},$ $\lambda_2 = \{(a, 0), (b, 1), (c, 0)\}, \lambda_3 = \{(a, 1), (b, 0), (c, 0)\}, \lambda_4 = \{(a, 0), (b, 0), (c, 1)\} \text{ and } \lambda_5 = \{(a, 0), (b, 1), (c, 1)\}.$ Let $\tau = \{0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, 1\}$ and $\sigma = \{0, \lambda_3, 1\}$. Define the function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ by f(a) = f(b) = a and f(c) = b. Then the only fuzzy semi preclosed sets in Y are λ_2 , λ_4 and λ_5 and $f^{-1}(\lambda_2)$, $f^{-1}(\lambda_4)$ and $f^{-1}(\lambda_5)$ are fgspr-closed sets in X. Hence f is fgspr^{*}-continuous.

Theorem 5.3: A function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr^{*}-continuous iff the inverse image of every fuzzy semi preopen set in Y is a fgspr-open set in X.

Proof: Suppose the function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr^{*}-continuous. Let λ be fuzzy semi preopen set in Y. Then $1 - \lambda$ is a fuzzy semi preclosed set in Y. Since f is fgspr^{*}-continuous, $f^{-1}(1 - \lambda)$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. But $f^{-1}(1-\lambda) = 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)$ and so $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fgspr-open set in X.

Conversely, assume that the inverse image of every fuzzy semi preopen set in Y is a fgspr-open set in X. Let μ be fuzzy semi preclosed set in Y. Then $1 - \mu$ is a fuzzy semi preopen set in Y. By hypothesis, $f^{-1}(1 - \mu)$ is a fgspr-open set in X. But $f^{-1}(1 - \mu) = 1 - f^{-1}(\mu)$ and so $f^{-1}(\mu)$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Hence f is fgspr^{*}-continuous function.

Remark 5.4: The class of all fgspr-irresolute functions implies the class of all fgspr^{*}-continuous functions and the class of all fgspr^{*}-continuous functions implies the class of all fgspr-continuous functions, as seen from the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function

- If f is fgspr-irresolute, then it is a fgspr^{*}-continuous function (i)
- (ii) If f is fgspr^{*}-continuous, then it is a fgspr-continuous function

Proof: (i)Let $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be fgspr-irresolute. Let λ be fuzzy semi preclosed set in Y and so λ is a fgspr-closed set in Y as every fuzzy semi preclosed is fgspr-closed. Since f is fgspr-irresolute, $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Hence f is fgspr^{*}-continuous function.

(ii) Let $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be fgspr^{*}-continuous. Let λ be fuzzy closed set in Y and so λ is a fuzzy semi preclosed set in Y as every fuzzy closed is fuzzy semi preclosed. Since f is fgspr^{*}-continuous, $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Hence f is fgspr-continuous function.

Theorem 5.6: If $f: X \to Y$ is fgspr^{*}-continuous function and $g: Y \to Z$ is fsp-continuous function, then $gof: X \to Z$ is fgspr-continuous function.

Proof: Let λ be fuzzy closed set in Z. Then $g^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy semi preclosed set in Y as g is fsp-continuous function. Since f is fgspr^{*}-continuous, $f^{-1}[g^{-1}(\lambda)]$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Now $(gof)^{-1}(\lambda) = f^{-1}[g^{-1}(\lambda)]$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Hence $gof: X \to Z$ is fgspr-continuous function.

Theorem 5.7: If $f: X \to Y$ is fgspr-irresolute function and $g: Y \to Z$ is fgspr^{*}-continuous function, then $gof: X \to Z$ is fgspr^{*}-continuous function.

Proof: Let λ be fuzzy semi preclosed set in Z. Then $g^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fgspr-closed set in Y as g is fgspr^{*}-continuous function. Since f is fgspr-irresolute, $f^{-1}[g^{-1}(\lambda)]$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Now $(gof)^{-1}(\lambda) = f^{-1}[g^{-1}(\lambda)]$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Hence $gof: X \to Z$ is fgspr-continuous function. **Theorem 5.8:** If $f: X \to Y$ is fgspr^{*}-continuous function, $g: Y \to Z$ is fgspr-continuous function and Y is fuzzy

semi preregular $T_{1/2}$ space, then $gof: X \to Z$ is fgspr-continuous function.

Proof: Let λ be fuzzy closed set in Z. Then $g^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fgspr-closed set in Y as g is fgspr-continuous function. Since Y is fuzzy semi preregular $T_{1/2}$ space, $g^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a fuzzy semi preclosed set in Y. Since f is fgspr^{*}-continuous, $f^{-1}[g^{-1}(\lambda)]$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Now $(gof)^{-1}(\lambda) = f^{-1}[g^{-1}(\lambda)]$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Hence $gof: X \to Z$ is fgspr-continuous function.

Theorem 5.9: If a function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr^{*}-continuous then for every fuzzy set μ in Y,

- (i) $fgspr-cl[f^{-1}(\mu)] \le f^{-1}[cl(\mu)]$
- (ii) $f^{-1}[int(\mu)] \leq fgspr-int[f^{-1}(\mu)]$

Proof: (i) Let μ be any fuzzy set in Y. Now $cl(\mu)$ is a fuzzy semi preclosed set in Y. As f is fgspr^{*}-continuous, $f^{-1}[cl(\mu)]$ is a fgspr-closed set in X. Since $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq f^{-1}[cl(\mu)]$, it follows from Definition 2.4 that fgspr-cl[$f^{-1}(\mu)$] $\leq f^{-1}[cl(\mu)]$.

(ii)Let μ be any fuzzy set in Y. Now $int(\mu)$ is a fuzzy semi preopen set in Y. As f is fgspr^{*}-continuous, $f^{-1}[int(\mu)]$ is a fgspr-open set in X and we have $f^{-1}[int(\mu)] = fgspr-int(f^{-1}[int(\mu)]) \leq fgspr-int[f^{-1}(\mu)]$. Hence $f^{-1}[int(\mu)] \leq fgspr-int[f^{-1}(\mu)]$.

Theorem 5.10: If a function $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is fgspr^{*}-continuous then for every fuzzy set λ in X,

- (i) $f[fgspr-cl(\lambda)] \le cl[f(\lambda)]$
- (ii) int $[f(\lambda)] \leq f[fgspr-int(\lambda)]$

Proof: (i) Let λ be any fuzzy set in X. Now cl [f(λ)] is a fuzzy semi preclosed set in Y. As f is fgspr^{*}-continuous f⁻¹(cl [f(λ)]) is a fgspr-closed set in X. $\lambda \leq f^{-1}(cl [f(\lambda)])$ and so fgspr-cl(λ) $\leq f^{-1}(cl f_{\lambda})$. Hence f[fgspr-cl(λ)] $\leq cl[f_{\lambda}]$.

(ii) Let λ be any fuzzy set in X. Now $\operatorname{int}[f(\lambda)]$ is a fuzzy semi preopen set in Y. As f is fgspr^{*}-continuous, $f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}[f(\lambda)])$ is a fgspr-open set in X. From Theorem 5.9 (ii), $f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}[f(\lambda)]) \leq \operatorname{fgspr-int}(f^{-1}[f(\lambda)]) \leq \operatorname{fgspr-int}(\lambda)$ and so $f^{-1}(\operatorname{int}[f(\lambda)]) \leq \operatorname{fgspr-int}(\lambda)$. Hence $\operatorname{int}[f(\lambda)] \leq \operatorname{f[fgspr-int}(\lambda)]$.

References

- [1] K. K Azad, On fuzzy semi continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity, J.Math.Anal.Appl., 82(1)(1981), 14-32.
- G. Balasubramanian and P. Sundaram, On some generalizations of fuzzy continuous functions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 86(1)(1997), 93 – 100.
- [3] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy Topological Spaces, J.Math.Anal.Appl., 24(1968), 182 190.
- [4] Y. Gnanambal and K. Balachandran, On GPR-Continuous Functions in Topological spaces, Indian J.pure.appl.Math., 30(6)(1999), 581-593.
- [5] Govindappa Navalagi, A. S. Chandrashekarappa and S. V. Gurushantanavar, On GSPR Closed Sets in Topological Spaces, Int.J.Math.Comp.Appl., Vol 2, No. 1 2(2010), 51 58.
- [6] P. M. Pu and Y. M. Liu, Fuzzy Topology, I. Neighbourhood Structure of a Fuzzy Point and Moore-Smith Convergence, J.Math. Anal.Appl., 76(2)(1980), 571 -599.
- [7] R. K. Saraf, Govindappa Navalagi and Meena Khanna, On Fuzzy Semi Pre Generalized Closed Sets, Bull.Malays.Math.Sci.Soc.(2)28(1)(2005), 19-30.
- [8] S. S. Thakur and S. Singh, On Fuzzy Semi-Pre open and Fuzzy Semi-Pre Continuity, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 98(3)(1998), 383 - 391.
- [9] M. Thiruchelvi and Gnanambal Ilango, Fuzzy Generalized Semi Preregular Closed Sets in Fuzzy Topological Spaces. (Communicated)
- [10] M. Thiruchelvi and Gnanambal Ilango, Fuzzy Generalized Semi Preregular Continuous Functions in Fuzzy Topological Spaces, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 106(6) (2016), 75-83.
- [11] A. Vadivel, K. Devi and D. Sivakumar, Fuzzy Generalized Preregular Closed Sets in Fuzzy Topological Spaces, Antartica J.Math., 9(6)(2012), 525 – 535.
- [12] A. Vadivel, K. Devi and D. Sivakumar, Fuzzy Generalized Preregular Continuous Mappings in Fuzzy Topological Spaces, J.Advan.Stud.Topo.,4(1)(2013), 22-31.
- [13] C. K. Wong, Fuzzy topology Product and Quotient Theorems, J.Math.Anal.Appl., 45(1974) 512-521.
- [14] T. H. Yalvac, Semi-interior and Semi-closure of a fuzzy set, J.Math.Anal.Appl., (132)(1988), 356 364.
- [15] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8(1965), 338 353.