A series Operators for Hardy Spaces on Linear Functional domains of \mathbb{C}^n

^{*}Ahmed Abdallatif ¹, Yousif. A.Mohammed², Fatima Mohamed³

¹University of Zalingei, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics, Sudan ²University of Dalanj, College of Education, Department of Mathematics, Sudan ⁹University of Dalanj, Faculty of Post Graduate, Sudan. Corresponding Author: Ahmed Abdallatif

Abstract: We show that there is a linear functional bounded uniformly on all atoms in $H^1(\mathbb{C}^n)$. In this work, we first give a new atomic decomposition, where the decomposition converges in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ rather than only in the distribution sense. Then using this decomposition, we show that for $\varepsilon \leq 0$, T_{r-1} is a linear a series operators which is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, then T_{r-1} can be extended to a bounded a series operators from $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ to $L^{2}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$ if and only if T_{r-1} is bounded uniformly on all $(\varepsilon + 1,2)$ -atoms in $L^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$. A similar result from $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$ to $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$ is also obtained.

Keword: Hardy Spaces, atomic decomposition, quasi-Banach space.

Date of Submission: 18-08-2017

Date of acceptance: 08-09-2017

Introduction

From [7] the atomic decompositions of Hardy spaces play an important role in the boundedness of a series operators on Hardy spaces. The best known examples of a class with this property are Calderon-Zygmund a series operators. Recently, in [1,3,5,7], gave an example of a linear functional series defined on a dense subspace of Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{C}^n)$, which maps all atoms into bounded scalars, but it cannot be extended to a bounded functional series on the whole space $H^1(\mathbb{C}^n)$. As a consequence of his example, it implies that to show the boundedness of a series operators from Hardy space $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n), \varepsilon \leq 0$, to some other quasi-Banach space, in general it does not suffice to just verify that this series operators maps atoms into bounded elements of this quasi-Banach space. Therefore, it should be very carefully to do this. Maybe this problem is based on the atomic decomposition of Hardy spaces. Since Calderon-Zygmund a series operators are bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ spaces, the atomic decompositions are converged in the distribution sense. So, the series operators should not be put into each one atom in the series.

In this work, using the Calderon reproducing formula, we give an atomic decomposition of a dense subspace $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap L^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of the Hardy spaces $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, where the decomposition converges also in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ rather than only in the distribution sense. Then, using this atomic decomposition, we can show the boundedness of linear operators series on Hardy spaces by T_{r-1} is bounded uniformly on all atoms.

Suppose $\psi_r(x)$ satisfying the conditions $\int_0^\infty \sum_r |\hat{\psi}_r(t\xi)|^2 \frac{dt}{t} = 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \sum_r \psi_r(x) x^{\alpha} dx = 0 \text{ for all nonnegative multi-indexes } \alpha \text{ with} |\alpha| \le [n \left(\frac{-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon+1}\right)].$

Definition 1: Let $f_r \in S'(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the space of tempered distributions. Suppose ψ_r be a series functions as above. We define $S(f_r)$, by

$$\sum_{r} S(f_{r})(x) = \sum_{r} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{|\mathcal{Y}-x| < t} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t^{n+1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
(1)

Where $\sum_{r} (\psi_{r})_{t}(x) = t^{-n} \sum_{r} \psi_{r} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$. **Definition 2:** The Hardy space $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^{n}), \varepsilon \leq 0$, is defined by

$$H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \{ f_r \in S'(\mathbb{C}^n) \colon S(f_r) \in L^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n) \}.$$
(2)

If $f_r \in H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the norm of f_r is defined by $||S(f_r)||_{\varepsilon+1}$ It was known that the definition 2 is independent of the choice of the a series functions ψ_r . The usual atomic decomposition of $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is as follows (see [2, 4, 6,8]).

Theorem 3. Let $f_r \in H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then there is a sequence of $(\varepsilon + 1, 2)$ -atoms $\{(a_r)_j\}$ and a sequence of scalars $\{\lambda_j\}$ with $\sum_j |\lambda_j|^{\varepsilon+1} \leq C ||f_r||_{H^{\varepsilon+1}}^{\varepsilon+1}$ such that $f_r = \sum_j \lambda_j (a_r)_j$, where the series converges to f_r in the sense of tempered distributions. Conversely, if f_r is a tempered distribution such that $\sum_j \lambda_j (a_r)_j$ in the sense of tempered distributions with $\sum_j |\lambda_j|^{\varepsilon+1} < \infty$, and the $(a_r)_j$'s being $(\varepsilon + 1, 2)$ -atoms, then $f_r \in H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $||f_r||_{H^{\varepsilon+1}}^{\varepsilon+1} \leq C \sum_j |\lambda_j|^{\varepsilon+1}$. Here a series functions $a_r(x)$ is said to be an $(\varepsilon + 1, 2)$ -atom of $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\varepsilon \leq 0$, if $a_r(x)$ is

Here a series functions $a_r(x)$ is said to be an $(\varepsilon + 1, 2)$ -atom of $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\varepsilon \leq 0$, if $a_r(x)$ is supported in a cube Q; $||a_r||_2 \leq |Q|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon+1}}$; and finally, $\int a_r(x)x^{\alpha_r} dx = 0$ for all nonnegative multi-indexes α_r with $|\alpha_r| \leq [n(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+1}-1)]$.

 α_r with $|\alpha_r| \leq [n(\frac{1}{\epsilon+1}-1)]$. **Corollary 4.** Let $f_r \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap H^{\epsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Then there is a sequence of $(\epsilon+1,2)$ -atoms $\{(a_r)_j\}$ and a sequence of scalars $\{\lambda_j\}$ with $\sum_j |\lambda_j|^{\epsilon+1} \leq C ||f_r||_{H^{\epsilon+1}}^{\epsilon+1}$ such that $f_r = \sum_j \lambda_j (a_r)_j$, where the series converges to f_r in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Proof : Let ψ_r be a series functions mentioned above. Then the following Calderon reproducing formula holds

$$\sum_{r} f_{r}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{r} (\psi_{r})_{t} * (\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(x) \frac{dt}{t},$$
(3)

Where the integral converges $\operatorname{in} L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Now, suppose $f_r \in L^2 \cap H^{\varepsilon+1}$. Let $\Omega_k = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : S(f_r)(x) > 2^k\}$ and $B_k = \{Q : \text{dyadic cubes such that } |Q \cap \Omega_k| > \frac{1}{2} |Q| \text{ and } |Q \cap \Omega_{k+1}| \le \frac{1}{2} |Q|\}$. For each dyadic cube Q, denote $\hat{Q} = \{(\mathcal{Y}, t) : \mathcal{Y} \in Q \text{ and } \sqrt{ne} (Q) \le t < 2\sqrt{ne} (Q)\}$, Where e(Q) is the side length of . We claim that

$$\sum_{r} f_{r}(x) = \sum_{k} \sum_{\tilde{Q} \in B_{k}} \sum_{Q \subseteq \tilde{Q} \cap B_{k}} \sum_{r} \int_{\tilde{Q}} (\psi_{r})_{t} (x - \mathcal{Y})(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y}) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t}, \quad (4)$$

Where $\tilde{Q} \in B_k$ are maximal dyadic cubes in B_k , and the series converges in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. To show the claim, it suffices to show that for any positive integer N,

$$\left\|\sum_{r}\sum_{k>N}\sum_{Q\in B_{k}}\int_{Q}(\psi_{r})_{t}(x-\mathcal{Y})(\psi_{r})_{t}*f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})\frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t}\right\|_{2}\to 0, as N\to\infty.$$

First let $\widetilde{\Omega}_k = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C}^n : M(x\Omega_k)(x) > \frac{1}{2} \right\}$, where *M* is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal a series function. Then $\Omega_k \subseteq \widetilde{\Omega}_k$, and by the maximal theorem, $\left| \widetilde{\Omega}_k \right| \le C |\Omega_k|$.

Let $\chi(x, \mathcal{Y}, t)$ be the characterization of $\{(x, \mathcal{Y}, t): x \in \widetilde{\Omega}_k \setminus \Omega_{k+1}, |x - \mathcal{Y}| < t\}$. For any $x \in Q \in B_k$, since $|Q \cap \Omega_k| \ge \frac{1}{2}|Q|$, one has $x \in \widetilde{\Omega}_k$, thus if $(\mathcal{Y}, t) \in \widehat{Q}$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \chi(x, \mathcal{Y}, t) dx \ge |Q \cap \left(\widetilde{\Omega}_k \setminus \Omega_k\right)|$$
$$|Q \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_k| - |Q \cap \Omega_{k+1}| \ge |Q| - \frac{|Q|}{2} = C't^n.$$

Therefore

$$C2^{2k}|\Omega_{k}| \geq 2^{2k} \left|\widetilde{\Omega}_{k}\right| \geq \sum_{r} \int_{\widetilde{\Omega}_{k}\setminus\Omega_{k+1}}^{\infty} (Sf_{r})^{2}(x)dx$$

$$= \sum_{r} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{\infty} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2}\chi(x,\mathcal{Y},t) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dtdx}{t^{n+1}}$$

$$\geq \sum_{Q\in B_{k}} \int_{Q}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}}^{\infty} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2}\chi(x,\mathcal{Y},t) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dtdx}{t^{n+1}}$$

$$C'\left\{\sum_{Q\in B_{k}} \sum_{r} \int_{Q}^{\infty} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t}\right\}.$$
(5)

Now by duality argument and Hölder's inequality, we have

 \geq

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k>N} \sum_{Q \in B_{k}} \sum_{r} \int_{Q} (\psi_{r})_{t} (x - \mathcal{Y}) \psi_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y}) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\|_{2} \\ &= \sup_{\|\|g_{r}\|_{2} \leq 1} \left\| < \sum_{r} \sum_{k>N} \sum_{Q \in B_{k}} \int_{Q} (\psi_{r})_{t} (x - \mathcal{Y}) (\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y}) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t}, g_{r} > \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|\|g_{r}\|_{2} \leq 1} \sum_{r} \sum_{k>N} \sum_{Q \in B_{k}} \int_{Q} (\psi_{r})_{t} (x - \mathcal{Y}) (\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y}) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|g_{r}\|_{2} \leq 1} \left\{ \sum_{r} \sum_{k>N} \sum_{Q \in B_{k}} \int_{Q} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * g_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\left\{ \sum_{r} \sum_{k>N} \sum_{Q \in B_{k}} \int_{Q} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ ⊃_{\|g_{r}\|_{2} \leq 1} \sum_{r} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n}_{+}+1} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{r} \sum_{k>N} \sum_{Q \in B_{k}} \int_{Q} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \sum_{r} \sum_{k>N} \sum_{Q \in B_{k}} \int_{Q} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Where the last inequality follows from the L^2 estimates of the Littlewood-Paley square series function

$$\left\{\sum_{r}\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}_{+}}|(\psi_{r})_{t}*f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2}\frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t}\right\}^{\overline{2}}\leq\sum_{r}C\|g_{r}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{C}^{n})}.$$

Then the estimate in (5) implies that

 \leq

$$\left\|\sum_{r}\sum_{k>N}\sum_{Q\in B_{k}}\int_{\hat{Q}}(\psi_{r})_{t}(x-\mathcal{Y})(\psi_{r})_{t}*f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})\frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t}\right\|_{2}\leq C(\sum_{k>N}2^{2k|\Omega_{k}|})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The last term tends to zero as *N* goes to infinity is because of

$$\sum_{k} 2^{2k} |\Omega_k| \le \sum_{r} C ||S(f_r)||_2^2 \le \sum_{r} C ||f_r||_2^2 < \infty.$$

Thus (4) hold, and the series converges in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Moreover,(4) gives an atomic decomposition of $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. To see this, we denote

$$\sum_{r} (\mathbf{b}_{r})_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}}(x) = \sum_{r} \sum_{Q \subseteq \widetilde{Q} \cap B_{k}} \int_{\widetilde{Q}} (\psi_{r})_{t} (x - \mathcal{Y}) (\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y}) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t},$$

then it is easy to see that $(b_r)_{\tilde{Q}}(x)$ is supported in 5 \tilde{Q} (the same center and *n* times side length of \tilde{Q}). By Hölder's inequality,

$$\sum_{r} \left\| (b_{r})_{\tilde{\varrho}}(x) \right\|_{\varepsilon+1}^{\varepsilon+1} = \left\| \sum_{r} \sum_{Q \subseteq \tilde{\varrho} \cap B_{k}} \int_{\tilde{\varrho}} (\psi_{r})_{t} (x - \mathcal{Y})(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y}) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\|_{\varepsilon+1}^{\varepsilon+1}$$

$$\leq \left| n \tilde{\varrho} \right|^{\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}\right)} \left\| \sum_{r} \sum_{Q \subseteq \tilde{\varrho} \cap B_{k}} \int_{\tilde{\varrho}} (\psi_{r})_{t} (x - \mathcal{Y})(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y}) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\|_{2}^{\varepsilon+1}.$$
(7)

Using duality argument again, we obtain

$$\left\|\sum_{r}\sum_{\substack{Q\subseteq\bar{Q}\cap B_{k}}}\int_{\bar{Q}}(\psi_{r})_{t}(x-\mathcal{Y})(\psi_{r})_{t}*f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})\frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t}\right\|_{2}$$
$$= sup_{\sum_{r}\parallel g_{r}\parallel_{2}\leq 1}\left|<\sum_{r}\sum_{\substack{Q\subseteq\bar{Q}\cap B_{k}\mid\bar{Q}}}\int_{\bar{Q}}(\psi_{r})_{t}(x-\mathcal{Y})(\psi_{r})_{t}*f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})\frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t},g_{r}>\right|$$

DOI: 10.9790/5728-1305010105

$$\leq \sup_{\sum_{r} \|g_{r}\|_{2} \leq 1} \sum_{r} \sum_{\substack{Q \subseteq \bar{Q} \cap B_{k}}} \int_{\bar{Q}} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * g_{r}(\mathcal{Y})(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})| \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t}$$

$$\leq \sup_{\sum_{r} \|g_{r}\|_{2} \leq 1} \left\{ \sum_{r} \sum_{\substack{Q \subseteq \bar{Q} \cap B_{k}}} \int_{\bar{Q}} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * g_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{r} \sum_{\substack{Q \subseteq \bar{Q} \cap B_{k}}} \int_{\bar{Q}} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C \left\{ \sum_{r} \sum_{\substack{Q \subseteq \bar{Q} \cap B_{k}}} \int_{Q} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

Where the last inequality also follows from the L^2 estimate of the littlewood-Paley square series function as the same as in (6) used. Hence, together with the cancellation condition of ψ_r , it is easy to see that if we set

$$\sum_{r} (a_{r})_{\tilde{Q}}(x) = C \left| n \tilde{Q} \right|^{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon + 1}\right)} \left\{ \sum_{r} \sum_{Q \subseteq \tilde{Q} \cap B_{k}} \int_{\tilde{Q}} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \sum_{r} \sum_{Q \subseteq \tilde{Q} \cap B_{k}} \int_{\tilde{Q}} (\psi_{r})_{t} (x - \mathcal{Y})(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y}) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t}$$

For a suitable constant *C*, then $(a_r)_{\bar{Q}}(x)$ is an $(\varepsilon + 1, 2)$ -atom. Finally, by (5), we obtain

$$\sum_{k} \sum_{Q \in B_{k}} \left| \lambda_{\tilde{Q}} \right|^{\varepsilon+1} = \sum_{k} \sum_{Q \in B_{k}} \left| 5\tilde{Q} \right|^{(1-\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2})} \left\{ \sum_{r} \sum_{Q \subseteq Q \cap B_{k}} \int_{\tilde{Q}} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}} \\ \sum_{k} \left| \Omega_{k} \right|^{(1-\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2})} 2^{2k} \left| \Omega_{k} \right|^{\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}} \leq \sum_{r} C \|S(f_{r})\|_{\varepsilon+1}^{\varepsilon+1} = C \|f_{r}\|_{H^{\varepsilon+1}}^{\varepsilon+1}.$$

Therefore, we have the new atomic decomposition of $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$

$$\sum_{r} f_{r}(x) = \sum_{k} \sum_{\tilde{Q} \in B_{k}} \lambda_{\tilde{Q}}(a_{r})_{\tilde{Q}}(x)$$
(8)

Which converges in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. This ends the proof of **Corollary** 4.

Corollary 5. fix $\varepsilon \leq 0$. Let T_{r-1} be a linear a series operators which is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. (i) T_{r-1} can be extended to a bounded a series operators from $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ to $L^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ if and only if $||T_{r-1}a_r||_{\varepsilon+1} \leq C$ for all $(\varepsilon + 1, 2)$ -atoms, where the constant C is independent of a; (ii) T_{r-1} can be extended to a bounded a series operator from $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ to $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ if and only if $||T_{r-1}a_r||_{H^{\varepsilon+1}} \leq C$ for all $(\varepsilon + 1, 2)$ -atoms, where the constant C is also independent of a_r .

Proof. Suppose that a linear series operators T_{r-1} is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $||T_{r-1}(a_r)||_{\varepsilon+1} \leq C$ uniformly on all $(\varepsilon + 1, 2)$ -atoms. By Corollary 4, for any $f_r \in H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\varepsilon \leq 0$, we obtain

$$\sum_{r} \|T_{r-1}f_{r}\|_{\varepsilon+1}^{\varepsilon+1} = \left\|\sum_{r} \sum_{k} \sum_{\bar{Q} \in B_{k}} T_{r-1} \left(\sum_{Q \subseteq \bar{Q} \cap B_{k}} \int_{\bar{Q}} (\psi_{r})_{t} (0-\bar{\mathcal{Y}})(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y}) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t}\right)\right\|_{\varepsilon+1}^{\varepsilon+1}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k} \sum_{Q \in B_{k}} |n\tilde{Q}|^{(1-\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2})} \left\{\sum_{r} \sum_{Q \subseteq \bar{Q} \cap B_{k}} \int_{\bar{Q}} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t}\right\}^{\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{k} |\Omega_{k}|^{(1-\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2})} 2^{2k} |\Omega_{k}|^{\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}} \leq \sum_{r} C \|S(f_{r})\|_{\varepsilon+1}^{\varepsilon+1} = \sum_{r} C \|f_{r}\|_{H^{\varepsilon+1}}^{\varepsilon+1}.$$

Where the equality follows from the fact that the L^2 convergence of the series implies the convergence for almost everywhere, and the first inequality then follows from the uniform boundedness of T_{r-1} on all $(\varepsilon + 1,2)$ -atoms in $L^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and same estimate as (7).

Similarly, since the decomposition in (4) (or in (8)) converges in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, as a consequence, it also converges in *S'*. Applying Lusin series functions and taking the pth-power of $L^{\varepsilon+1}$ norm to the both sides in (4) yield

$$\sum_{r} \|T_{r-1}f_r\|_{H^{\varepsilon+1}}^{\varepsilon+1} = \sum_{r} \sum_{k} \sum_{\tilde{Q} \in B_k} \left\| T_{r-1} \left(\sum_{Q \subseteq \tilde{Q} \cap B_k} \int_{\tilde{Q}} (\psi_r)_t (0-\mathcal{Y})(\psi_r)_t * f_r(\mathcal{Y}) \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right) \right\|_{\varepsilon+1}^{\varepsilon+1}.$$

DOI: 10.9790/5728-1305010105

Using the fact that T_{r-1} is bounded uniformly on all $(\varepsilon + 1,2)$ -atoms in $H^{\varepsilon+1}$ and repeating the same estimate above give

$$\sum_{r} \|T_{r-1}f_{r}\|_{H^{\varepsilon+1}}^{\varepsilon+1} \leq C \sum_{r} \sum_{k} \sum_{\bar{Q} \in B_{k}} |n\tilde{Q}|^{(1-\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2})} \left\{ \sum_{Q \subseteq \bar{Q} \cap B_{k}} \int_{\bar{Q}} |(\psi_{r})_{t} * f_{r}(\mathcal{Y})|^{2} \frac{d\mathcal{Y}dt}{t} \right\}^{\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}} \\ \leq C \sum_{k} |\Omega_{k}|^{(1-\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2})} 2^{2k} |\Omega_{k}|^{\frac{\varepsilon+1}{2}} \leq \sum_{r} C \|S(f_{r})\|_{\varepsilon+1}^{\varepsilon+1} = \sum_{r} C \|f_{r}\|_{H^{\varepsilon+1}}^{\varepsilon+1}.$$

Since $L^2 \cap H^{\varepsilon+1}$ is dense in $H^{\varepsilon+1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the parts of Corollary 5 are showed, and hence the proof of Corollary 5 is complete.

References

- M. Bownik, Boundedness of operators on Hardy spaces via atomic decompositions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 133(12) (2005), 3535-3542.
- [2] R. R. Coifman, A real variable characterization of Hp, Studia Math., 51 (1974), 269-274.
- S. A. Chang and R. Fefferman, The Calder_on-Zygmund decomposition on product domains, Amer. J. Math., 104(3) (1982), 455-468.
- [4] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 83 (1977), 569-645.
- [5] Y. S. Han, Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on spaces of homogeneous type, Studia Math., 108 (1994), 247-273.
- [6] R. H. Latter, A decomposition of Hp(Rn) in terms of atoms, Studia Math., 62 (1978), 92-101.
- [7] Kai Zhao and Yongsheng Han, boundedness of operators on Hardy spaces, TAIWANESE JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 319-327, April 2010.
- [8] A.A, Y.A.M, A.Z, I.E, On A Series the Complex Functions for Hardy Sobolev Spaces with An applications, IOSR Jour nal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) e-ISSN: 2278-5728, p-ISSN: 2319-765X. Volume 13, Issue 3 Ver. IV (May - June 2017), PP 48-53 www.iosrjournals.org.

Ahmed Abdallatif . "A series Operators for Hardy Spaces on Linear Functional domains of C^n." IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM), vol. 13, no. 5, 2017, pp. 01–05.