# A Note On Topology Of Non-Newtonian Real Numbers

Cenap Duyar and Oğuz Oğur

 (C. Duyar) Ondokuz Mayıs University, Arts And Science Faculty, Department Of Mathematics, Kurupelit Campus, Samsun, Turkey.
(O. Oğur) Giresun University, Faculty of Education, Department of Elementary Mathematics, Güre Giresun, Turkey.

Abstract: In this work, the authors examine the open and closed sets on the non-newtonian real line, and relationship between them.

Date of Submission: 14-12-2017	Date of acceptance: 26-12-2017

### **I. Introduction**

A generator is a one-to-one function  $\alpha$ , whose domain is  $\mathbb{R}$ , the set of all real numbers, and whose range is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Identity function and exponential function can be given as examples of generators. The range of generator  $\alpha$ , called Non-Newtonian real line, is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}(N)$ .

A  $\alpha$ -positive number is a number x with 0 < x, similarly a  $\alpha$ -negative number is a number xwith  $0 > x \cdot \alpha$ -zero and  $\alpha$ -one numbers are denoted by  $0 = \alpha(0)$  and  $1 = \alpha(1)$ , respectively.  $\alpha$ -integers is obtained sequentially by adding 1 to 0 and by subtracting 1 from 0.  $\alpha$ -integers are as follows: ..., $\alpha(-2), \alpha(-1), \alpha(0), \alpha(1), \alpha(2), \dots$ .

Each integer *n* according to  $\alpha$  – arithmetic is denoted by  $n = \alpha(n)$ .

Non-Newtonian arithmetic operations on  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  are represented as follows ([1],[2],[3],[4],[5]).

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - addition & x + y = \alpha \left( \alpha^{-1}(x) + \alpha^{-1}(y) \right) \\ \alpha - substraction & x - y = \alpha \left( \alpha^{-1}(x) - \alpha^{-1}(y) \right) \\ \alpha - multiplication & x \times y = \alpha \left( \alpha^{-1}(x) \times \alpha^{-1}(y) \right) \\ \alpha - division & x / y = \alpha \left( \alpha^{-1}(x) / \alpha^{-1}(y) \right) \\ \alpha - order & x < y \left( x \le y \right) \Leftrightarrow \alpha^{-1}(x) < \alpha^{-1}(y) \left( \alpha^{-1}(x) \le \alpha^{-1}(y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The open  $\alpha$  -intervals on  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  are represented by

$$(a,b)_{N} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}(N) : a < x < b \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}(N) : \alpha^{-1}(a) < \alpha^{-1}(x) < \alpha^{-1}(b) \right\} = \alpha \left( \left( \alpha^{-1}(a), \alpha^{-1}(b) \right) \right).$$

It is said that an open  $\alpha$  -interval has  $\alpha$  -lenght b-a ([2],[3]). Likewise closed and semi-open intervals can be represented.

All proven properties here are the generalization of basic topological properties known in real analysis. The reades can refer to the textbook [nat.] for these properties.

## **II. Main Results**

**Definition 1.** A point *c* is called an interior point of the subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}(N)$  if there exists an open  $\alpha$  - interval, contained entirely in the set *E*, which contains this point:

$$c \in (a,b)_{N} \subset E \Leftrightarrow \alpha^{-1}(c) \in \alpha^{-1}((a,b)_{N}) = (\alpha^{-1}(a),\alpha^{-1}(b)) \subset \alpha^{-1}(E).$$

According to this definition, c is an interior point of the subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}(N)$  if and only if  $\alpha^{-1}(c)$  is an interior point of the subset  $\alpha^{-1}(E) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2.** A subset  $E \subset \mathbb{R}(N)$  is said to be  $\alpha$  -open if all of its points are interior points.

According to this definition, an  $\alpha$  -open set G is the set that the reverse image  $\alpha^{-1}(G)$  is an open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus, we can say that G is an  $\alpha$  -open set in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  if and only if there exists an open  $\alpha$  - interval  $(a,b)_N$  in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  such that  $c \in (a,b)_N \subset G$  for all  $c \in G$ . Indeed, for any  $c \in G$  we have

$$\alpha^{-1}(c) \in (a,b) \subset \alpha^{-1}(G) \Leftrightarrow c \in \alpha((a,b)) = \alpha((\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(a)), \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(b)))) = (\alpha(a), \alpha(b))_{N} \subset G.$$

**Examples.** 1) Every open  $\alpha$  - interval $(a,b)_N$  is an  $\alpha$  -open set in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$ . Indeed, if  $c \in (a,b)_N$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{-1}(c) &\in \left(\alpha^{-1}(a), \alpha^{-1}(b)\right) \Rightarrow \exists r > 0 \ni \left(\alpha^{-1}(c) - r, \alpha^{-1}(c) + r\right) \subset \left(\alpha^{-1}(a), \alpha^{-1}(b)\right) \\ \Rightarrow \exists r > 0 \ni \alpha \left(\alpha^{-1}(c) - \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(r)), \alpha^{-1}(c) + \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(r))\right) \subset \alpha \left(\alpha^{-1}(a), \alpha^{-1}(b)\right) \\ \Rightarrow \exists r > 0 \ni \left(\alpha \left(\alpha^{-1}(c) - \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(r))\right), \alpha \left(\alpha^{-1}(c) + \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(r))\right)\right) \subset \alpha \left(\alpha^{-1}(a), \alpha^{-1}(b)\right) \\ \Rightarrow \exists r > 0 \ni \left(c \cdot \alpha(r), c + \alpha(r)\right)_{N} \subset (a, b)_{N}. \end{aligned}$$

2) The set  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  of all non-Newtonian real numbers and the void set  $\phi$  are open.

**Theorem 1.** The composition of an arbitrary family of  $\alpha$  -open sets in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  is an  $\alpha$  -open.

Proof. Let  $G = \bigcup_i G_i$ , where all of the sets  $G_i$  are open in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$ . If  $c \in G$ , the  $c \in G_{i_0}$  for some  $i_0$ . Since  $G_{i_0}$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$ , there exists an open  $\alpha$  -interval  $(a,b)_N$  such that  $c \in (a,b)_N \subset G_{i_0} \subset G$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.** The intersection of a finite number of  $\alpha$  -open sets in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  is an  $\alpha$  -open set.

Proof. Let  $G_i$  be  $\alpha$  -open set in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., n and  $G = \bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i$ . If it is given any element  $c \in G$ , then there exists an  $\alpha$  -interval  $(a_i, b_i)_N$  such that

$$c \in (a_i, b_i)_N = \alpha \left( \left( \alpha^{-1}(a_i), \alpha^{-1}(b_i) \right) \right) \subset G_i \Longrightarrow \alpha^{-1}(c) \in \left( \alpha^{-1}(a_i), \alpha^{-1}(b_i) \right) \subset \alpha^{-1}(G_i)$$

for all i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Now we set the numbers  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  by

 $\lambda = \max \left\{ \alpha^{-1}(a_1), ..., \alpha^{-1}(a_n) \right\} \text{ and } \mu = \min \left\{ \alpha^{-1}(b_1), ..., \alpha^{-1}(b_n) \right\}.$ 

Then we have

$$\alpha^{-1}(c) \in (\lambda, \mu) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^{-1}(G_i) \Longrightarrow c \in \alpha((\lambda, \mu)) = (\alpha(\lambda), \alpha(\mu))_{N} \subset \alpha(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^{-1}(G_i)) \subset G.$$

This shows that G is an  $\alpha$  -open set.

**Theorem 3.** If the set G is an open in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$ , then its complement  $G^c = \mathbb{R}(N) - G$  is closed.

Proof. Let  $c \in G$  be an arbitrary point. Then there exists an open  $\alpha$  -interval  $(a,b)_N$  in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  such that  $c \in (a,b)_N \subset G$ . According to this, any point of G can not be a limit point of  $G^c$ , hence  $G^c$  contains all of of its limit points and  $G^c$  is closed.

**Theorem 4.** If the set F is closed in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$ , then its complement  $F^c$  is open.

Proof. Let  $c \in F^c$  be an arbitrary point. Then c is not a limit point of F and thus there exists an open  $\alpha$  interval  $(a,b)_N$  in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  such that such that  $c \in (a,b)_N$  and  $(a,b)_N \cap F = \phi$ . Hence  $c \in (a,b)_N \subset F^c$ . Finally, each point of  $F^c$  is its interior points and this completes the proof.

**Examples.** 1) If G is an  $\alpha$  -open set in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  and  $[a,b]_N$  is a closed  $\alpha$  -interval containing G in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$ , then the set  $[a,b]_N - G$  is an  $\alpha$  -closed set in  $[a,b]_N$ .

Solution. Let us accept  $G \subset [a,b]_N$  . Then we can write

$$G \subset \alpha \left( \left[ \alpha^{-1}(a), \alpha^{-1}(b) \right] \right) \Longrightarrow \alpha^{-1}(G) \subset \left[ \alpha^{-1}(a), \alpha^{-1}(b) \right]$$

and obtain that

$$\left[\alpha^{-1}(a),\alpha^{-1}(b)\right] - \alpha^{-1}(G) = \left[\alpha^{-1}(a),\alpha^{-1}(b)\right] \cap \left(\alpha^{-1}(G)\right)^{c}$$
  
is an closed set in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence the set  $\left[a,b\right]_{N} - G$  is an  $\alpha$  -closed set in  $\left[a,b\right]_{N}$ .

2) Similar to the previous one, we say that If F is an  $\alpha$  -open set in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  and  $(a,b)_N$  is a open  $\alpha$  -interval containing G in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$ , then the set  $(a,b)_N - F$  is an  $\alpha$  -open set in  $[a,b]_N$ .

On the other hand, if F is a  $\alpha$ -closed subset in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  ve  $F \subset [a,b]_N$ , then the set  $[a,b]_N - F$  is always not  $\alpha$ -open. For example, let  $F = [\alpha(0), \alpha(1)]_N$  and  $[a,b]_N = [\alpha(0), \alpha(2)]_N$ . Then we have the set

$$\begin{split} \left[a,b\right]_{N} - F &= \alpha \left( \left[\alpha^{-1} \left(\dot{0}\right), \alpha^{-1} \left(\dot{2}\right)\right] \right) - \alpha \left( \left[\alpha^{-1} \left(\dot{0}\right), \alpha^{-1} \left(1\right)\right] \right) \\ &= \alpha \left( \left[\alpha^{-1} \left(\dot{0}\right), \alpha^{-1} \left(\dot{2}\right)\right] - \left[\alpha^{-1} \left(\dot{0}\right), \alpha^{-1} \left(1\right)\right] \right) \\ &= \alpha \left( \left(\alpha^{-1} \left(\dot{1}\right), \alpha^{-1} \left(\dot{2}\right)\right] \right) = \alpha \left((1,2]\right) = \left(\dot{1},\dot{2}\right]_{N}, \end{split}$$

what is neither open nor closed.

**Definition 3.** Let *E* be a non-void bounded subset in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$  and let  $a = \inf_N E$ ,  $b = \sup_N E$ . The closed  $\alpha$ -interval  $S = [a,b]_N$  is called the smallest closed interval containing *E*. Here is obviously  $\inf_N E = \alpha (\inf \alpha^{-1}(E))$  and  $\sup_N E = \alpha (\sup \alpha^{-1}(E))$ .

**Theorem 5.** If  $S = [a,b]_N$  is the smallest closed  $\alpha$  -interval containing the bounded closed subset F in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$ , then the set S - F is  $\alpha$  -open in  $\mathbb{R}(N)$ . Proof. Since

$$S - F = [a,b]_{N} - F = \alpha \left( \left[ \alpha^{-1}(a), \alpha^{-1}(b) \right] - \alpha^{-1}(F) \right) = \alpha \left( \left( \alpha^{-1}(a), \alpha^{-1}(b) \right) \cap \left( \alpha^{-1}(F) \right)^{c} \right)$$

and the set  $(\alpha^{-1}(a), \alpha^{-1}(b)) \cap (\alpha^{-1}(F))^c$  is an open set in  $\mathbb R$  .

#### **III.** Conclusion

The authors make up the substructure for identification and examination the Lebesgue measure on nonnewtonian real line in this work, as in references [1] and [3]. After this step, one can define and examine the Lebesgue measure on non-newtonian real line.

#### References

- Duyar C., Sağır B. 2017. Non-Newtonian comment of Lebesgue Measure in Real Numbers, Journal of Mathematics, Volume 2017 (2017), Article ID 6507013, 4 pages.
- [2]. Duyar C., Erdoğan M. 2016. On Non-Newtonian Real Number Series, IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR JM), Volume 12, Issue 6 Ver. IV (Nov.-Dec. 2016), PP 34-48.
- [3]. Duyar C., Sağır B., Oğur O. 2015. Some Basic Topological Properties on Non-Newtonian Real line, British J. Math. Comput. Sci. 9-4, 300-306.
- [4]. Grossman, M. 1972. Non-Newtonian Calculus, Lee Press, Pigeon Cove (Lowell Technological Institue).
- [5]. Natanson, I. P. 1964. Theory of Functions of a Real Variable, Vol. 1, Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York.

Cenap Duyar, Oğuz Oğur "A Note On Topology Of Non-Newtonian Real Numbers." IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) 13.6 (2017): 11-14.

DOI: I0.9790/5728-1306041114 www.iosrjournals.org