Extension of Some Theorems In General Metric Spaces

¹ Salwa Salman AbedEbtihal Nabil Jaluobe

Department of Math., Coll. of Education for pure sciences Ibn Al-Haitham, Uinversity of Baghdad, Iraq

Abstract

We prove a version of Caristi-Kirk - BrowderTheorem and Park's Theorem [3,4] in G-metric space. And then give some corollaries.

Keywords

G-metric spaces, fixed point.

AMS (2010) subject classification: 46Axx, see also 47H10, 55M20

Date of Submission: 20-03-2018 Date of acceptance: 09-04-2018

I. Introduction and preliminaries

In 2005, a general metric spaces was introduced by Mustafa and Sims as appropriate notion of generalized metric space called G —metric spaces as follows.

Definition (1.1) [1]

Let X be a non-empty set and $G: X \times X \times X \to R^+$ be a function for all x, y, z, a in X satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) G(x, y, z) = 0 if x = y = z
- (2) $0 < G(x, x, y) \text{ with } x \neq y$
- (3) $G(x, x, y) \le G(x, y, z)$ with $y \ne z$
- (4) G(x, y, z) = G(P(x, y, z)), P(x, y, z) is permutation of x, y, z

$$(5)G(x,y,z) \le G(x,a,a) + G(a,y,z)$$

Then the ordered pair (X, G) is called a generalized metric or G-metric space. X is said to be symmetric if for all x, y in X

$$G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x)$$
."

Proposition (1. 3) [1]

"Let (X, G) be a G-metric space Then for any $u, v, w, and b \in X$, the following are satisfies

- (1)if G(u, v, w) = 0 Then u = v = w
- $(2)G(u,v,w) \leq G(u,u,v) + G(u,u,w)$
- $(3)G(u,v,v) \le 2G(v,u,u)$
- $(4)G(u,v,w) \leq G(u,b,w) + G(b,v,w)$
- $(5)G(u,v,w) \le 2/3(G(u,v,b) + G(u,b,w) + G(b,w,y))$
- $(6)G(u, v, w) \le G(u, b, b) + G(v, b, b) + G(w, b, b)$ "

Definition (1.4) [2]

"Let (X, G) be a G-metric space, let (x_n) be a sequence of points of X a point $x \in X$ is said to be the limit of the sequence (x_n) if

$$\lim_{n,m\to\infty}G(x,x_n,x_m)=0$$

Thus, that if $x_n \to x_0$ in a G-metric space (X, G), then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $K \in N$ such that $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ for all $n, m \ge K$."

Definition (1.5) [2]

"Let (X, G) be a G-metric space a sequence (x_n) is called G-Cauchy if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $K \in N$ such that $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$, for all $n, m, l \ge K$,

that is, if $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) \to 0$ as $n, m, l \to \infty$.

Definition (1.6) [2]

"A G-metric space (X, G) is said to be G-complete or (complete G-metric) if every G-cauchy sequence in (X, G) is convergent in (X, G)."

Definition (1.7) [1]

"Let (X, G) and (X', G') be two G-metric spaces, and let $f: (X, G) \to (X', G')$ be a function .then f is said to be G-continuous at a point $\alpha \in X$ if and only if given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $x, y \in X$; and

$$G(a, x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow G'(f(a), f(x), f(y)) < \varepsilon$$

47 | Page

A function f is G-continuous on X if and only if it is G-continuous at all $\alpha \in X$."

Definition (1.8) [2]

"Let (X, G) be a G-metric spase, the mapping $T: X \to X$ then for all $x, y, z \in X$

i-T is called G – contraction mapping if

$$G(T(x), T(y), T(z)) \le k G(x, y, z)$$
, for some $k \in (0,1)$

ii-.T is called a G - contractive if

$$G(T(x), T(y), T(z)) < G(x, y, z)$$
, for all x, y, z in X with $x \neq y \neq z$

iii-T is called G -expansive mapping if

$$G(T(x), T(y), T(z)) \ge a G(x, y, z)$$
, for some $a > 1$ "

"The version of Banach's fixed point Theorem in G-metric space is

Theorem (1.10) [2]

"If (X,G) be a complete G-metric space and $T:X\to X$ be a G – contraction mapping, then T has unique fixed point zinX, and $\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n(x)=z$, for any intial point xin X."

II. Main Results

We begin with following

Theorem (2.1): Let *M* be a subset of a complete G-metric space and $T: X \to X$ be a mapping such that $\emptyset: X \to R^+G(x, x, Tx) \le \emptyset(x) - \emptyset(T(x))$, for all $x \in X$.

where Ø is lower semi continuous function

Proof:

For $x_0 \in X$ and $n, m \in N$ with n < m, we have $\emptyset: X \to R$, then, by similar argument of proof of Theorem (2.1) in [2]

$$G(T^{n}(x_{0}), T^{n}(x_{0}), T^{m+1}(x_{0})) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m} G(T^{i}(x_{0}), T^{i}(x_{0}), T^{i+1}(x_{0}))$$

$$\leq \emptyset(T^{n}(x_{0})) - T^{m+1}(x_{0})$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} G\left(T^{i}(x_{0}), T^{i}(x_{0}), T^{i+1}(x_{0})\right) < \infty$$

Therefore, $(T^n(x_0))$ is Cauchy sequence. Since T is continuous, then $(T^n(x_0))$ converges to a fixed point of T. **Definition(2.2):**

A real valued function \emptyset on X has a G —point $p \in X$ if

$$\emptyset(p) - \emptyset(x) < G(p, p, x)$$
, for other point $x \in X, x \neq p$.

Proposition (2.3):

Every lower semi continuous function $\emptyset: X \to R^+$ on a complete X has a G -point p in X.

Proof:

By putting T = I and T(x) = p in theorem (2.1).

Theorem (2.4)

Let M be a subset of a complete G-metric space X and $f, g: M \to X$ be maps such that

- (i) f is surjective
- (ii) There exist a lower semi continuous function $\emptyset : X \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying

$$G(f(x), f(x), g(x)) \le \emptyset(f(x)) - \emptyset(g(x)) \qquad \dots \tag{2.1}$$

for each $x \in M$. Then f and g have a coincidence point.

Proof:

By proposition (2.3), then \emptyset has a G-point $p \in X$, means that

$$\emptyset(p) - \emptyset(x) < G(p, x, x)$$

Now, let $x \in f^{-1}p$, suppose fx = gx since p = fx and $gx \in X$, we have

$$\emptyset(f(x)) - \emptyset(g(x)) < G(f(x), f(x), g(x))$$

which contradicts (ii).

By putting X = M and = I, Theorem (2.1) reduces to the version of Caristi-Kirk Theorem in G-metric space: Consequently, we obtain the following:

Corollary (1)

- (i) If M = X and $f = I_x$, then the above theorem reduces to the version Caristi-Kirk -Browder theorem in this case ,if g is G-continuous then for any $x \in X$ the sequence $\{g_x^n\}$ G-converges to a fixed point of g
- (ii) If M = X and $g = 1_x$.then f has a fixed point

Corollary (2)

Let X be a G-metric space and $f: X \to X$ be onto mapping such that for all x, y in X if there is a constant a > 1 such that

$$G(f(x), f(x), f(y)) \ge a G(x, x, y) \dots (2.2)$$

then f has a unique fixed point

Proof:

From (2.2) f is clearly injective. Since f is also surjective, $g = f^{-1}$ exists and is surjective for any x, y in X we obtain, from (2.3)

$$G(x, x, y) \ge a G(gx, gx, gy)$$

and g is G-continuous. One could use Theorem (1.10) at this point to prove that g has a unique fixed point. Adding (a-1)G(x,x,y) to each side of the above inequality to get

$$a G(x,x,y) - a G(gx,gx,gy) \ge (a-1)G(x,x,y)$$

Now, put y = gx to get

 $G(x, x, gx) \le \emptyset(x) - \emptyset(gx)$,

where, define Ø as

$$\emptyset(x) = \frac{a \ G(x, x, gx)}{(a-1)}$$

since g is G-continuous, \emptyset is lower semi continuous, and g has a fixed point by Corollary (1-i). For any $x \in X$,the sequence $\{g^n x\}G$ -converges to a fixed point of g, that is of f. From (2.2) the fixed point is unique.

Corollary (4)

Let X be a G-metric space and $f: X \to X$ be onto mapping such that for all x, y in X if there exist $a, b, c \ge 0$ with a + b + c > 1 and a < 1 such that

$$G(f(x), f(x), f(y)) \ge a G(x, x, f(x)) + b G(y, y, f(y)) + c G(x, x, y)....(2.3)$$

with $x \ne y$, then f has a fixed point

Proof:

Since (2.4) is symmetric in x and yassume that a = b < 1. Adding, a G(fx, fx, fy) to both sides of (2.3) we have

 $(1+a) G(fx, fx, fy) \ge a[G(x, x, fx) + G(fx, fx, fy) + G(fy, y, y)] + c G(x, x, y) \ge (a+c)G(x, x, y)$ or

$$G(fx, fx, fy) \ge \frac{a+c}{1+a}G(x, x, y)$$

since a + c = 0 implies a = b > 1, (a + c)/(1 + a) > 0

and f is injective. Since f is also surjective
$$g = f^{-1}$$
 exists. Also, since $G(x, x, y) \ge \frac{a+c}{1+a}G(gx, gx, gy)$, for all $x, y \in X$,

and hence g is G-continuous. (2.3) will be in the form

$$G(x,x,y) \geq a \; G(gx,gx,x) + b \; G(gy,gy,y) + c \; G(gx,gx,gy)$$

set y = gx and then add (b + c + a - 1)G(x, x, gx)

to each side to get

$$(b+c)[G(x,x,gx)-G(gx,gx,g^2x)] \ge (b+c+a-1)G(x,x,gx)$$

or

$$G(x, x, gx) \le \emptyset(x) - \emptyset(gx)$$

where defined

$$\emptyset(x) = \frac{(b+c)G(x, x, gx)}{(a+b+c-1)}$$

Since g is G-continuous, \emptyset is (lsc) and g has a fixed point by Corollary (1-i). Moreover for $\forall x \in X$ the sequence $\{g^n x\}G$ -converges to a fixed point of g, that is ,of f

Corollary (5)

Let X be a G-metric space and $f: X \to X$ be onto and G-continuous mapping such that for all $x \in X$ and if $\exists a > 1$ satisfying

$$G(f(x), f(x), f^{2}(x)) \ge a G(x, x, f(x))$$
 (2.4)

then f has a fixed point

Proof:

Adding
$$-G(x, x, f(x))$$
 to condition (2.4) yields

$$G(x, x, fx) \le [G(fx, fx, f^2x) - G(x, x, fx)]/(a-1)$$

Define,
$$\emptyset: X \to R^+$$
 by $\emptyset(x) = \frac{G(x, x, f(x))}{a-1}$

since f is G-continuous, \emptyset is (lsc) and by corollary (1-ii), f has fixed point

Corollary (6)

Let X be a G-metric space and $f: X \to X$ be onto and G-continuous mapping such that for all x, y in X and if there exists a real constant a > 1 such that

$$G(f(x), f(x), f(y)) \ge a \min[G(x, x, f(x)), G(y, y, fy), G(x, x, y)] \dots (2.5)$$

then f has a fixed point

Proof:

Set y = f(x) in condition (2.5)

$$G(f(x), f(x), f(y)) \ge a \min[G(x, x, fx), G(y, y, fy), G(x, x, y)]$$

yield to

$$G(f(x), f(x), f^{2}(x)) \ge a G(x, x, f(x)) \dots (2.4)$$

then f has a fixed point.

References

- [1]. Z. Mustafa and B.Sims,"A New Approach to Generalized Metric Spaces", Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, 7 (2), (2006), 289–297.
- [2]. Z.Mustafa, H.Obiedat, F.Awawdeh, "Some Fixed Point Theorem for Mapping on Complete G-metric Spaces", Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2008, article ID 189870, doi: 10.1155/2008/189870
- [3]. S. Park, "On Extensions of The Caristi-kKirk Fixed Point Theorem", Korean math.sco.,19, 1983, 143-151.
- [4]. S.Park, B, Rhoades, "Some Fixed Point Theorems for Expansion Mappings" Jnanbha, 15(1983),151-156.

Salwa Salman AbedEbtihal Nabil Jaluobe "Extension of Some Theorems In General Metric Spaces." IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) 14.2 (2018): 47-50.

DOI: 10.9790/5728-1402024750 www.iosrjournals.org 50 | Page