

On I and $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence in n-normed linear Spaces

Pabitra Debnath¹ and Mantu Saha²

¹ Department of Mathematics, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous), Kolkata-700016, West Bengal, India.

² Department of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan-713104, West Bengal, India.

Corresponding author: Pabitra Debnath

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the concept of I-convergence, $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence, I-cluster points and I-limit points in a n-normed linear space and thereby we prove some of its basic properties of I-convergence and $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence on such spaces.

Date of Submission: 29-08-2018

Date of acceptance: 13-09-2018

I. Introduction

The notion of statistical convergence was introduced first by Fast ([3]). Subsequently Kostyrko et al. ([10]) extended this idea to the concept of I-convergence of sequences in a metric space with the notion of an ideal of the set of positive integers. In fact the notion of I-convergence is a generalization of statistical convergence and it also provides a general outline to study the properties in respect of various types of convergence. Taking into consideration of such notion of I-convergence much work had been done in different forms of convergences via I-cluster points, I-limit superior, I-limit inferior (see [1],[2],[5],[6],[11]) in different topological structured spaces. Based on the concept of 2-metric spaces and 2-normed linear space introduced by S. Gähler (see [12]-[13]) a study on n-norm theory led by Gunawan and Mashadi (see [4]) gave into the development of a n-normed space which is a generalization of 2-normed space. Further the investigations on ideal convergence and $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence of a sequence in a 2-normed linear space was done by M. Gürdal (see [7]) and Madjid Eshaghi Gordji et al (see [9]) respectively. Also the work on ideal convergence of a sequence in n-normed spaces could also be found in Gürdal and Sahiner (see [8]). In a natural way, one may invite these concepts of convergence for its general study on such n-normed linear spaces and thereby we have been able to prove here some of its properties on convergence of a sequences. Through out the paper \mathbb{N} denotes the set of positive integers.

II. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [4]. Let X be the linear space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be a non-negative real valued function on $X \times X \times \dots \times X = X^n$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ are linearly dependent.

(ii) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ is invariant under any permutation of $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$.

(iii) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, \alpha x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$.

(iv) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y + z\| \leq \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| + \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, z\|$, for all $y, z, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$

Then $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ is called a n-norm on X and the corresponding pair $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called a n-normed linear space.

Example 2.2 [4]. The space $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ is equipped with the following n-norm:

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & \dots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & \dots & x_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & \dots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \right|$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in})$, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Definition 2.3 [4]. A sequence (x_n) in a n-normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to be a Cauchy if

$\lim_{k,m \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_k - x_m\| = 0$, for all z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X .

Definition 2.4[4]. A sequence (x_n) in a n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to be convergent if there is a point x in X such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_k - x\| = 0$, for all z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X . If (x_n) converges to x , we write $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.5 [4]. A n -normed linear space in which every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent to an element of X is called a n -Banach space.

Definition 2.6 [9]. A nonempty family $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$ of subset of a nonempty set Y is said to be an ideal in Y if:

- (i) $\phi \in I$
- (ii) $A, B \in I$ implies $A \cup B \in I$
- (iii) $A \in I, B \subseteq A$ implies $B \in I$.

I is called a proper ideal if $Y \notin I$ and I is not a proper ideal if $I = \mathcal{P}(Y)$. The ideal of all finite subsets of a given set Y is called Fin .

Definition 2.7. An ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$ is said to be non-trivial if $I \neq \phi$ and $Y \notin I$.

Definition 2.8 [9]. A non-trivial ideal I in Y is said to be admissible if $\{x\} \in I$ for each $x \in Y$.

Definition 2.9 [9]. A nonempty family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$ of subset of a nonempty set Y is said to be a filter in Y if:

- (i) $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$
- (ii) $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$
- (iii) $A \in \mathcal{F}, A \subseteq B$ implies $B \in \mathcal{F}$

If I is non-trivial ideal in $Y, Y \neq \phi$, then the class $F(I) = \{M \subset Y : (\exists A \in I) M = Y - A\}$ is a filter on Y , called the filter associated with Y .

Definition 2.10[3]. Let E be a subset of natural numbers \mathbb{N} and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The quotient $d_j(E) = \text{card}(E \cap \{1, \dots, j\})/j$ is called the j th partial density of E where d_j is a probability measure on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ with support $\{1, \dots, j\}$. The limit $d(E) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} d_j(E)$ is called the natural density of $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ (if exists). Clearly, finite subsets have natural density zero and $d(E^c) = 1 - d(E)$ where $E^c = \mathbb{N} - E$, i.e. the complement of E .

Definition 2.11. A sequence (x_n) of elements in a n -normed linear space X is said to be statistically convergent to $x \in X$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for non zero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X the set

$A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n - x\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ has natural density zero. In other words for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \text{card}(\{n \geq k : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_k - x\| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$$

Definition 2.12[8]. Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be a nontrivial ideal in \mathbb{N} . The sequence (x_n) of X is said to be I -convergent to $x \in X$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and non zero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X , the set

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_k - x\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I$$

If (x_n) is I -convergent to $x \in X$ then we write $I - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_k - x\| = 0$; or

$I - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_k\| = \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x\|$. The number $x \in X$ is called the I -limit of the sequence (x_n) .

Further we can see some examples of ideals and its corresponding I -convergence [see 14]. It is immediate that the following holds.

(I) Let I_f be the family of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . Then I_f is an admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} and I_f -convergence of a sequence in a n -normed linear space X coincides with its usual convergence in X .

(II) Put $I_d = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} : d(A) = 0\}$. Then I_d is an admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} and I_d -convergence of a sequence in a n -normed linear space coincides with its statistical convergence in X .

Remark 2.13[8]. If I is an admissible ideal in n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ then the convergence of a sequence in X implies its I -convergence in X .

We are now in a position to note that which of the following holds for the convergence of a sequence in X implies its I -convergence in X .

- (A) Every constant sequence (x, x, \dots, x, \dots) converges to x in a n -normed linear space.
- (B) The limit of any convergent sequence in a n -normed linear space X is uniquely determined.
- (C) If a sequence (x_n) in X has a limit x in X , then each of its subsequence has the same limit.
- (D) If each subsequence of the sequence (x_n) in X has a subsequence which converges to x in X , then (x_n) converges to x in X .

Proposition 2.14 [8]. Suppose that X is a n -normed linear space having at least two points. Let $I \subset \mathcal{P}(Y)$ be an admissible ideal, then

- (i) The I -convergence in X satisfies (A),(B) and (D).
- (ii) If I contains an infinite set, then I -convergence in X does not satisfy (C)

Example 2.15 [8]. Let $I = I_d$. Define a sequence (x_n) in a n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ by

$$x_n = \begin{cases} (0, 0, \dots, n) & \text{if } n = i^2, i \in \mathbb{N} \\ (0, 0, \dots, 0) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $x = (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in X$. Then $I - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_k\| = \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x\|$. But the sequence (x_n) is not convergent to x .

We now conclude the fact that I -limit operation for the sequence in n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is linear with respect to summation and scalar multiplication.

Theorem 2.16[8]. Let I be an admissible ideal in a n -normed linear space X . For each z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X , if $I - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_k - x\| = 0$ and $I - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, y_k - y\| = 0$ then

- (i) $I - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, (x_k + y_k) - (x + y)\| = 0$ and
- (ii) $I - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, c(x_k - x)\| = 0$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$

III. Main Results

Note that there is a strong connection between statistical cluster points and ordinary limit points of a given sequence. We will prove that analogue fact is also satisfied for I -cluster points and I -limit points for a given sequences in a n -normed linear space X .

Definition 3.1. Let $I \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ be an admissible ideal in X and $x = (x_n)$ be a sequence in X . Then

- (i) A number $\xi \in X$ is said to be an I -limit point of x if there is a set $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_{k-1}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $M \notin I$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_{m_k} - \xi\| = 0$ for each non zero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X . The set of all I -limit point of x is denoted by $I(\Lambda_x^n)$.
- (ii) A number $\xi \in X$ is said to be an I -cluster points of x if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n - \xi\| < \varepsilon\} \notin I$ for each non zero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X . The set of all I -cluster points of x is denoted by $I(\Gamma_x^n)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $I \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ be an admissible ideal in X . Then for each sequence $x = (x_n)$ in a n -normed linear space X we have $I(\Lambda_x^n) \subset I(\Gamma_x^n)$ and the set $I(\Gamma_x^n)$ is a closed set.

Proof. Let $\xi \in I(\Lambda_x^n)$.

Then there exist a set $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots\} \notin I$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_{m_k} - \xi\| = 0$ for each non zero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X . Thus for each $\delta > 0$ there exist $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k > k_0$ and each nonzero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X , we have by (3.1)

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n - \xi\| < \delta\} \supset M \setminus \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{k_0}\}$$

and so $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n - \xi\| < \delta\} \notin I$. Therefore $\xi \in I(\Gamma_x^n)$.

Let $y \in \overline{I(\Gamma_x^n)}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$. So there exists a $\xi_0 \in X$ such that $\xi_0 \in I(\Gamma_x^n) \cap B_u(y, \varepsilon)$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $B_u(\xi_0, \delta) \subset B_u(y, \varepsilon)$. Therefore we have

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n - y\| < \varepsilon\} \supset \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n - \xi_0\| < \delta\}$$

Hence $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n - y\| < \varepsilon\} \notin I$ which in turn implies that $y \in I(\Gamma_x^n)$.

Definition 3.3. Let $I \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ be an admissible ideal in X and let $x = (x_n)$ be a sequence in n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$. If $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots\} \in I$, then the subsequence $x_K = (x_{k_n})$ is called I -thin subsequence of the sequence x and if $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots\} \notin I$, then the subsequence $x_K = (x_{k_n})$ is called I -non- thin subsequence of the sequence x .

Theorem 3.4. Let $I \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ be an admissible ideal in a n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $x = (x_n)$ and $y = (y_n)$ are sequence in X such that $M = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: x_n \neq y_n\} \in I$. Then $I(\Lambda_x^n) = I(\Lambda_y^n)$ and $I(\Gamma_x^n) = I(\Gamma_y^n)$.

Proof. Let $M = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: x_n \neq y_n\} \in I$. If $\xi \in I(\Lambda_x^n)$. Then there is a set $K = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots\} \notin I$ such that $I - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_{m_k} - \xi\| = 0$ for each non zero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X .

Since $K_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: n \in K, x_n \neq y_n\} \subset M \in I$, then $K_2 = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: n \in K, x_n = y_n\} \notin I$; because if $K_2 \in I$, then $K = K_1 \cup K_2 \in I$, but $K \notin I$. Hence the sequence $y_{K_2} = (y_{m_n})$ is a I -non- thin subsequence of $y = (y_n)$ and y_{K_2} converges to $\xi \in X$ i.e. $\xi \in I(\Lambda_y^n)$. Now if $\xi \in I(\Gamma_x^n)$, then $K_3 = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n - \xi\| < \varepsilon\} \notin I$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each non zero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X and $K_4 = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: n \in K_3, x_n = y_n\} \notin I$. Therefore $K_4 \subset \{n \in \mathbb{N}: \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, y_n - \xi\| < \varepsilon\}$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and nonzero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X . Thus it follows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and non zero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, y_n - \xi\| < \varepsilon\} \notin I$. i.e. $\xi \in I(\Gamma_y^n)$. This completes the proof.

The concepts of I and I^* -convergence are also introduced in different topological space (see [11],[13]). We can extend these concepts to the notion of the $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence for sequences in a n -normed linear space. In a analogue way we can introduce the definition of I and I^* -convergence for a sequences in a n -normed linear space.

Definition 3.5. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be a n -normed linear space and I be an ideal on a set A . The function $f: A \rightarrow X$ is said to be I -convergent to $x \in X$ if for all nonzero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X and for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{a \in A: \|f(a) - x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I.$$

We write it as $I - \lim f = x$.

Remark 3.6. If $A = \mathbb{N}$, we obtain the usual definition I -convergence of the sequence (x_n) to x in a n -normed linear spaced X .

Lemma 3.7. Let X, Y be two n -normed linear spaces and let A be a non empty set and I, I_1 and I_2 be ideals on A . Then

- (i) If I is not a proper ideal, then every function $f: A \rightarrow X$ is I -convergent to each point of X .
- (ii) If $I_1 \subset I_2$, then for every function $f: A \rightarrow X$, we have $I_1 - \lim f = x \Rightarrow I_2 - \lim f = x$.

Proof. (i) Let x be an arbitrary element of X , then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each non zero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X , we have $A(\varepsilon) = \{a \in A: \|f(a) - x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{P}(A) = I$

(ii) Let $I_1 \subset I_2$ and $I_1 - \lim f = x$. Then we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{a \in A: \|f(a) - x, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I_1 \subset I_2$. Hence $I_2 - \lim f = x$

Definition 3.8. $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be a n -normed linear space and I be an ideal on \mathbb{N} . The sequence (x_n) in X is said to be I^* -convergent to a point $x \in X$, if there exists a set $M \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with respect to norm in M . We write it as $I^* - \lim x_n = x$. We now introduce the definition of $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence. Now we replace the ideal I by an arbitrary ideal on the set A .

Definition 3.9. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be a n -normed linear space and let \mathcal{K} and I be ideals on \mathbb{N} . The sequence (x_n) in X is said to be $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence to a point $x \in X$, if there exists a set $M \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ and a sequence (y_n) such that

$$y_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } n \in M \\ x & \text{if } n \notin M \end{cases} \text{ satisfying } \mathcal{K} - \lim y_n = x. \text{ We write it as } I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim x_n = x.$$

Remark 3.10. The definition of $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence can be reformulated in the form of decomposition. A sequence (x_n) is $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence if and only if $(x_n) = (y_n) + (z_n)$ where (y_n) is \mathcal{K} -convergent and (z_n) is a sequence of non-zero elements on a set from I .

Example 3.11. We now exhibit some examples of ideals and their $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence

(i) Let $I_0 = \mathcal{K}_0 = \{\phi\}$. I_0 is the minimal ideal in \mathbb{N} . A sequence (x_n) is $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergent if and only if it is constant

(ii) Let $\phi \neq M \subset \mathbb{N}, M \neq \mathbb{N}$. Take $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{P}(M)$ i.e \mathcal{K} is a proper ideal in \mathbb{N} . Let $I = \{\phi\}$. A sequence (x_n) is $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergent if and only if it is constant on $\mathbb{N} \setminus M$.

(iii) Let \mathcal{K} be a admissible ideal in \mathbb{N} and I be an arbitrary ideal. A sequence (x_n) is $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergent to a point $x \in X$ if there exists a set $M \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ and the sequence (y_n) given by Definition is such that the convergence of (y_n) is its usual convergence.

Theorem 3.12. The limit of any $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergent sequence in n -normed linear space X is unique.

Proof. Suppose that (x_n) be a $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergent sequence in a n -normed linear space X . Let $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l_1$, $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l_2$ and $l_1 \neq l_2$. Hence there exists z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X , such that z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} and $l_1 - l_2$ are linearly independent. Take $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, l_1 - l_2\| = 2\varepsilon.$$

Since $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l_1$, by Definition 3.9 there exists a set $M_1 \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that the sequence (p_n) given by

$$p_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } n \in M_1 \\ l_1 & \text{if } n \notin M_1 \end{cases}$$

satisfies $\mathcal{K}\text{-lim} p_n = l_1$.

Since $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l_2$, therefore there exist $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that the sequence (q_n) given by

$$q_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } n \in M_2 \\ l_2 & \text{if } n \notin M_2 \end{cases}$$

satisfies $\mathcal{K}\text{-lim} q_n = l_2$.

Therefore for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X . We have

$$\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, p_m - l_1\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{K}$$

$$\text{and } \{m \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, q_m - l_2\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Take $M = M_1 \cap M_2$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varepsilon &= \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, l_1 - x_m + x_m - l_2\| \\ &\leq \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, l_1 - x_m\| + \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_m - l_2\| \\ &\leq \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, l_1 - p_m\| + \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, q_m - l_2\| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{m \in M : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, q_m - l_2\| < \varepsilon\} \subseteq \{m \in M : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, p_m - l_1\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{K}$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $I \neq \phi$.

We now show that $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -limit operation for the sequences in a n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is linear with respect to summation and scalar multiplication .

Theorem 3.13. Let (x_n) and (y_n) be sequences in n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l_1, I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(y_n) = l_2$, then (i) $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n + y_n) = l_1 + l_2$ (ii) $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(\alpha x_n) = \alpha l_1$.

Proof. (i) Let $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l_1$. By definition there exist $M_1 \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that the sequence (p_n) given by

$$p_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } n \in M_1 \\ l_1 & \text{if } n \notin M_1 \end{cases}$$

satisfies $\mathcal{K}\text{-lim} p_n = l_1$.

Since $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l_2$, there exist $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that the sequence (q_n) given by

$$q_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } n \in M_2 \\ l_2 & \text{if } n \notin M_2 \end{cases}$$

satisfies $\mathcal{K}\text{-lim} q_n = l_2$. Take $M = M_1 \cap M_2 \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ and we define a sequence

$$r_n = \begin{cases} x_n + y_n & \text{if } n \in M \\ l_1 + l_2 & \text{if } n \notin M. \end{cases}$$

and we see that $\mathcal{K}\text{-lim}(p_n + q_n) = \mathcal{K}\text{-lim} p_n + \mathcal{K}\text{-lim} q_n = l_1 + l_2$

(ii) Proof is straightforward and left out.

Lemma 3.14. Let \mathcal{K} and I be ideals on a set \mathbb{N} . Let (x_n) be sequences in a n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ such that $\mathcal{K} - \lim(x_n) = l$. Then $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l$.

Lemma 3.15. Let $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, I, I_1$ and I_2 be ideals in a set \mathbb{N} such that $I_1 \subset I_2$ and $\mathcal{K}_1 \subset \mathcal{K}_2$. Let (x_n) be a sequences in a n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ then we have

$$(i) I_1^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim x_n = l \Rightarrow I_2^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim x_n = l$$

$$(ii) I^{\mathcal{K}_1} - \lim x_n = l \Rightarrow I^{\mathcal{K}_2} - \lim x_n = l$$

Proof. (i) Suppose $I_1^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim x_n = l$, By definition there exist $M \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that the sequence (p_n) given by

$$p_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } n \in M \\ l & \text{if } n \notin M. \end{cases}$$

satisfies \mathcal{K} - $\lim p_n = l$.

Now for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and non zero z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X , we have

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, p_n - l\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{K}$$

Since $I_1 \subset I_2$ we have $M \in \mathcal{F}(I_1) \subset \mathcal{F}(I_2)$. Therefore $I_2^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim x_n = l$

(ii) Suppose $I^{\mathcal{K}_1} - \lim x_n = l$, By definition there exist $M \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that the sequence (p_n) given by

$$p_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } n \in M \\ l & \text{if } n \notin M. \end{cases}$$

satisfies \mathcal{K} - $\lim p_n = l$.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X . we have

$$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, p_n - l\| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{K}_1 \subset \mathcal{K}_2$$

Therefore $I^{\mathcal{K}_2} - \lim x_n = l$

In the following theorem, we show the relationship between the I -convergence and $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence.

Theorem 3.16. Let \mathcal{K} and I be ideals on a set \mathbb{N} .

Let (x_n) be sequences in n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$.

(i) If $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l$ implies $I - \lim(x_n) = l$ for some $l \in X$, which has one neighborhood different from X , then $\mathcal{K} \subseteq I$

(ii) If $\mathcal{K} \subseteq I$ then $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l$ implies $I - \lim(x_n) = l$

Proof. (i) Suppose that \mathcal{K} is not a subset of I . Then there exists a set $V \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $V \notin I$. Let $l \in X$ has a neighborhood $U \subset X$ such that $U \neq X$ and $y \in X \setminus U$. We define a sequence (t_n) on X such that

$$t_n = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } n \in V \\ l & \text{if } n \notin V. \end{cases}$$

satisfying $\mathcal{K} - \lim(x_n) = l$. Thus by Lemma 3.14, we get $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l$.

Hence $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, t_n - l\| \geq \varepsilon\} = V \notin I$. Hence the sequence (x_n) is not I -convergent to l

(ii) Let (x_n) be sequences in a n -normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ and $l \in X$. Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq I$ and $I^{\mathcal{K}} - \lim(x_n) = l$. By definition of $I^{\mathcal{K}}$ -convergence, there exist $M \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that the sequence (p_n) given by

$$p_n = \begin{cases} x_n & \text{if } n \in M \\ l & \text{if } n \notin M. \end{cases}$$

satisfying $\mathcal{K} - \lim(x_n) = l$. Now for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} in X , we have

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, p_n - l\| \geq \varepsilon\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n - l\| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

Hence $A(\varepsilon) \cap M \in \mathcal{K} \subseteq I$ and $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, x_n - l\| \geq \varepsilon\} \subseteq (X \setminus M) \cup (A(\varepsilon) \cap M) \in I$

Therefore $I - \lim x_n = l$

References

- [1]. B.K Lahiri and P. Das, I and I* convergence in topological spaces, *Math.Bohem*, **130**(2005), 153-160.
- [2]. B.K Lahiri and P.Das, Further remark on I-limit superior and I-limit inferior, *Math. Commun.* **8** (2003),151-156.
- [3]. H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq.Math*, **2**(1951) 241-244.
- [4]. H.Gunawan and Mashadi, On n-norm space, *Int.J.Math.Math.Sci.*, (2001),631-639.
- [5]. J. Činčura, T. Šalát, M.Sleziak and V.Toma, Set of statistical cluster points and I-cluster points, *Real Anal. Exchange*, **30**(2004/2005),565-580.
- [6]. K.Demirci, I-limit superior and limit inferior, *Math.Commun.* **6**(2001) 165-172.
- [7]. M.Gürdal, On ideal convergent sequences in 2-Normed Spaces, *Thai.J.Math.*, **4**(1), (2006),85 - 91
- [8]. M.Gürdal and A.Sahiner, Ideal convergence in n-normed spaces and some sequence spaces via n-norm, *Journal of Fundamental Science* **4**(2008),233-244.
- [9]. Madjid Eshaghi Gordji, Saeed Sarabadian and Fatemeh Amouei Arani, I^K-convergence in 2-normed Space, *Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation.*, *Math.* **4:1**, (2012) 1-7.
- [10]. P.Kostyrko, T.Salat and W.Wilczynski, I-convergence, *Real Anal.Exchange*, **26**(2001)666-669.
- [11]. P.Kostyrko, M.Macaj, T.Salat and M.Sleziak, I-convergence and external I-limit points, *Math.Slovaca*, **55**(2005)443-464.
- [12]. S.Gähler, 2-metric Raume and ihre topologische struktur, *Math.Nachr.*, **26**(1963), 115-14.
- [13]. S.Gähler, Über die uniformisierbarkeit 2-metrischer Raume, *Math.Nachr.* **28**(1965), 235 - 244.

Pabitra Debnath "On I and I^K-convergence in n-normed linear Spaces " "(IOSR-JM) 14.4 (2018): 38-44.