I_2 –Lacunary Statistical Convergence of Double Sequences of Order α of Sets

¹A. M. BRONO and ²A. G. K. ALI

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Maiduguri, Borno State Nigeria ²Department of Mathematics, Kashim Ibrahim College of Education Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria Corresponding Author: A. M. BRONO

Abstract: In this paper, we shall introduce the extension of recently introduced concepts of Wijsman I-lacunary statistical convergence of order α and Wijsman strongly I-lacunary statistical convergence of order α to double sequences.

*Keywords and phrases: I*₂ – *convergence, Wijsman convergence, lacunary double sequences.* 2010 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 40F05, 40J05, 40G05

Date of Submission: 10-04-2019

Date of acceptance: 25-04-2019

I. Introduction

The concept of statistical convergence was formally introduced by Fast [8] and Schoenberg [18] independently. Although statistical convergence was introduced over fifty years ago, it has become an active area of research in recent years. It has been applied in various areas such as summability theory (Fridy [9] and Salat [16]), topological groups (Cakalli [2], [3]), topological spaces (Maio and Kocinac [11]), locally convex spaces (Madox [12]), measure theory (Cheng et al [4]), (Connor and Swardson [5]) and (Miller [13]), Fuzzy Mathematics (Nuray and Savas [15] and Savas [17]). In recent years generalization of statistical convergence has appeared in the study of strong summability and the structure of ideals of bounded functions, (Connor and Swardson [6]).Mursaleen and Edely [14] extended the notion of statistical convergence to double sequences. Kostyrko et al [10] further extended the idea of statistical convergence to I –convergence using the notion of ideals of N with many interesting consequences. Das and Savas [7] introduced and studied I –statistical and I –lacunary statistical convergence for double sequence of order α in line of Das and Savas [7]. Ulusu and Nuray [20] defined the Wijsman lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets, and considered its relation with Wijsman statistical convergence. Later on it was further studied by Sengül and Et [19].

If X is a non-empty set then a family of set $I \subset P(X)$ is called an ideal in X if and only if (i) $\Phi \in I$; (*ii*) for each $A, B \in I$ we have $A \cup B \in I$; (*iii*) for each $A \in I$ and $B \subset A$ we have $B \in I$.

Let *X* is a non-empty set. A non-empty family of sets $F \subset P(X)$ is called a filter on *X* if and only if (i) $\Phi \notin F$; (*ii*) for each $A, B \in F$ we have $A \cap B \in F$; (*iii*) for each $A \in F$ and $B \supset A$ we have $B \in F$.

An ideal *I* is called non-trivial if $I \neq \Phi$ and $X \notin I$.

A non-trivial ideal $I \subset P(X)$ is called an admissible ideal in X if and only if it contains all singletons, i.e., if it contains $\{\{x\}: x \in X\}$.

Throughout the paper we take I_2 as a nontrivial admissible ideal in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

A nontrivial ideal I_2 of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is called strongly admissible if $\{x\} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} \times \{x\}$ belong to I_2 for each $x \in \mathbb{N}$. For further study we shall take $X = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and I_2 will denote an ideal of subsets of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. The following proposition express a relation between the notions of an ideal and a filter.

Let $I_2 \subset P(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ be a non-trivial ideal. Then the class

 $F = F(I) = \{M \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : M = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} - A, for some A \in I\}$ is a filter on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ (we shall call F = F(I) the filter associated with I).

Let (X, d) be a metric space. For any non-empty closed subset $A_{j,k}$ of X, we

Say that the double sequence $\{A_{j,k}\}$ is bounded if $\sup_{j,k} d(x, A_{j,k}) < \infty$ for each $x \in X$. In this case we write, $\{A_{i,k}\} \in L^2_{\infty}$.

II. Main Results

In this section, we shallextend the results of Sengül and Et [19] to Wijsman I-lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences of order α and Wijsman strongly I-lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences of order α and examine some relationship between these concepts.

Definition 2.1([19]): Let (X, d) be a metric space, θ be a lacunary sequence, $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and $I \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be an admissible ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} . For any non-empty closed subsets of $A, A_k \subset X$, we say that the sequence $\{A_k\}$ is Wijsman I –lacunary statistical convergent to A of order $\alpha(0r S_{\theta}^{\alpha}(I_w) - convergent to A)$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0$ and $x \in X$,

$$\left\{r \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}} \left| \{k \in I_r: |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \ge \varepsilon \} \right| \ge \delta \right\}$$

belongs to I.

Definition 2.2([19]): Let (X, d) be a metric space, θ be a lacunary sequence, $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and $I \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be an admissible ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} . For any non-empty closed subsets of $A, A_k \subset X$, we say that the sequence $\{A_k\}$ is Wijsman strongly *I* -lacunary statistical convergent to *A* of order α ($N_{\theta}^{\alpha}(I_w)$ - convergent to *A*) if for each $\varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0$ and $x \in X$,

$$\left\{r \in \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha}} \sum_{k \in I_r} |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \ge \varepsilon\right\}$$

belongs to *I*.

We now give our main definitions and results.

Definition 2.3: Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence, $0 < \alpha \le 1$ and $I_2 \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ be an admissible ideal of subsets of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. For any non-empty closed subsets of $A, A_{j,k} \subset X$, we say that the double sequence $\{A_{j,k}\}$ is Wijsman I_2 –lacunary statistical convergent to A of order $\alpha \left(S_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w}) - convergent \text{ to } A\right)$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0$ and $x \in X$,

$$\left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ (j,k) \in I_{r,s} : \left| d\left(x, A_{j,k}\right) - d(x,A) \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \ge \delta \right\}$$

belongs to I_2 . In this case, we write $A_{j,k} \rightarrow \left(S_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w})\right)$. Consider the following example:

$$A_{jk} = \begin{cases} \{3x\}, & j_{r-1}k_{s-1} < jk < j_{r-1}k_{s-1} + \sqrt{h_{r,s}} \\ \{0\}, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Let (\mathbb{R}, d) be a metric space such that for $x, y \in X$, d(x, y) = |x - y|, $A = \{1\}$, x > 1 and $\alpha = 1$. Since

$$\frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ (j,k) \in I_{r,s} : \left| d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,1) \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \ge \delta$$

Belongs to I_2 , the double sequence $\{A_{j,k}\}$ is Wijsman I_2 –lacunary statistical convergent to $\{1\}$ of order α , that is $A_{j,k} \rightarrow \{1\} \left(S_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w})\right)$.

Definition 2.4: Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence, $0 < \alpha \le 1$ and $I_2 \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ be an admissible ideal of subsets of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. For any non-empty closed subsets of $A, A_{j,k} \subset X$, we say that the double sequence $\{A_{j,k}\}$ is Wijsman strongly I_2 –lacunary statistical convergent to A of order $\alpha \left(N_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w}) - convergent to A$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0$ and $x \in X$,

$$\left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{(j,k) \in I_{r,s}} \sum_{(j,k) \in I_{r,s}} \left| d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A) \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\}$$

belongs to I_2 . In this case, we write $A_{j,k} \to A\left(N_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w})\right)$. Consider the following example:

$$A_{jk} = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{3jk}{2} \right\}, & j_{r-1}k_{s-1} < jk < j_{r-1}k_{s-1} + \sqrt{h_{r,s}} \\ \{0\}, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Let (\mathbb{R}, d) be a metric space such that for $x, y \in X$, d(x, y) = |x - y|, $A = \{1\}$, x > 1 and $\alpha = 1$. Since

$$\frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}}\sum_{(j,k)\in I_{r,s}}\sum_{(j,k)\in I_{r,s}}\left|d(x,A_{j,k})-d(x,1)\right|\geq\varepsilon,$$

the double sequence $\{A_{j,k}\}$ is Wijsman I_2 -lacunary statistical convergent to $\{1\}$ of order α , that is $A_{j,k} \rightarrow 0$ $\{1\}\Big(N^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w})\Big).$

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence and $A, A_{j,k}$ (for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$) be a non-empty closed subsets of X, then

(i)
$$A_{j,k} \to A\left(N_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w})\right) \Rightarrow A_{j,k} \to A\left(S_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w})\right) \text{ and } N_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w}) \text{ is a proper subset of } S_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w}),$$

 $\{A_{j,k}\} \in L^{2}_{\infty} \text{ and } A_{j,k} \to A\left(S^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w})\right) \Rightarrow A_{j,k} \to A\left(N^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w})\right),$ $S^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w}) \cap L^{2}_{\infty} = N^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w}) \cap L^{2}_{\infty}.$ (ii)

(iii)

Proof: (i) The inclusion part of the proof is easy. In order to show that the inclusion $N^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w}) \subseteq S^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w})$ is proper, let $\theta_{r,s}$ be given and we write a double sequence $\{A_{j,k}\}$ as follows

$$A_{jk} = \begin{cases} \{x^2\}, j, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots [\sqrt{h_{r,s}}] \\ \{0\}, & otherwise \end{cases}.$$

Let (\mathbb{R}, d) be a metric space such that for $x, y \in X, d(x, y) = |x - y|$. We have for every $\varepsilon > 0, x > 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \le 1$,

$$\frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ (j,k) \in I_{r,s} : \left| d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,\{0\} \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \le \frac{\left[\sqrt{h_{r,s}} \right]}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}},$$

And for any $\delta > 0$ we get

$$\left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ (j,k) \in I_{r,s} : \left| d\left(x, A_{j,k}\right) - d\left(x, \{0\}\right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \ge \delta \right\} \subseteq \left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{\left[\sqrt{h_{r,s}} \right]}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \ge \delta \right\}.$$

Since the set on the right hand side is finite and belongs to I_2 , it follows that for $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \le 1, A_{j,k} \rightarrow 0$ $\{0\}\left(S^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w})\right).$

On the other hand, for $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \le 1$ and x > 0,

$$\frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{(j,k)\in I_{r,s}} \sum_{(j,k)\in I_{r,s}} \left| d(x, A_{j,k}) - d(x, \{0\}) \right| = \frac{(x^2 - 2x)[\sqrt{h_{r,s}}]}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \to 0$$

And for $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}, \frac{(x^2 - 2x)[}{h_{r_s}^{\alpha}}$ Hence we have

$$\begin{cases} (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{(j,k) \in I_{r,s}} \sum_{(j,k) \in I_{r,s}} \left| d(x, A_{j,k}) - d(x, \{0\}) \right| \ge 0 \\ &= \{b, b+1, b+2, \dots\} \end{cases} = \{b, b+1, b+2, \dots\} \end{cases}$$

For some $b \in \mathbb{N}$ which belongs to F(I), since I_2 is admissible. So $A_{j,k} \neq \{0\} \left(N_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w}) \right)$.

(ii) Suppose that
$$\{A_{j,k}\} \in L^2_{\infty}$$
 and $A_{j,k} \to A\left(S^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w})\right)$. Then we can assume that
 $\left|d(x, A_{j,k}) - d(x, A)\right| \le G$

for each $x \in X$ and all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we get

$$\frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{(j,k)\in I_{r,s}} \sum |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \\ = \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \ge \varepsilon}} \sum |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} \sum |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} \sum |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{(j,k)\in I_{r,s} \\ |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon}} |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \le \varepsilon} |d(x,A$$

Hence we have

DOI: 10.9790/5728-1502034448

$$\left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \sum_{(j,k) \in I_{r,s}} \sum \left| d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A) \right| \ge G\delta + \varepsilon \right\} \subset \left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \left| \{ (j,k) \in I_{r,s} : dx,A_{j,k} - d(x,A) \ge \varepsilon \ge \delta \in I2. \right\}$$

Therefore $A_{j,k} \to A\left(N_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w})\right)$. (iii) Follows from (i) and (ii).

Theorem 2.2: Let $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence and α be a fixed number such that $0 < \alpha \le 1$. If $\lim \inf_{r,s} q_{r,s} > 1$, then $St_2^{\alpha}(I_{2w}) \subset S_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w})$.

Proof: Suppose first that $\lim \inf_{r,s} q_{r,s} > 1$, then there exists a $\rho > 0$ such that $q_{r,s} \ge 1 + \rho$ for sufficiently large r, s, which implies that

$$\frac{h_{r,s}}{j_r k_s} \ge \frac{\rho}{1+\rho} \Longrightarrow \left(\frac{h_{r,s}}{j_r k_s}\right)^{\alpha} \ge \left(\frac{\rho}{1+\rho}\right)^{\alpha} \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{j_r k_s} \ge \frac{\rho^{\alpha}}{(1+\rho)^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}}.$$

 $\begin{aligned} A_{j,k} &\to A(St_2^{\alpha}(I_{2w})), \text{ then for every } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ for each } x \in X, \text{ and for sufficiently large } r, s, \text{ we have} \\ &\frac{1}{(j_r k_s)^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ j \le j_r, k \le k_s : \left| d(x, A_{j,k}) - d(x, A) \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \ge \frac{1}{(j_r k_s)^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in I_{r,s} : \left| d(x, A_{j,k}) - d(x, A) \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \\ &\ge \frac{\rho^{\alpha}}{(1+\rho)^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ (j, k) \in I_{r,s} : \left| d(x, A_{j,k}) - d(x, A) \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right|. \end{aligned}$

For $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{cases} (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} |\{(j,k) \in I_{r,s} : |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \ge \varepsilon\}| \ge \delta \\ \\ \subseteq \left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} |\{(j,k) \in I_{r,s} : |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \ge \varepsilon\}| \ge \delta \frac{\rho^{\alpha}}{(1+\rho)^{\rho}} \right\} \in I_2. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.3: Let $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence and the parameters α and β be fixed real numbers such that $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$, then $N^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w}) \subseteq N^{\beta}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w})$ and the inclusion is strict.

Proof: The inclusion part of the proof is easy. To show that the inclusion is strict define $\{A_{j,k}\}$ such that for (\mathbb{R}, d) , x > 1 and $A = \{0\}$,

$$\begin{split} A_{jk} &= \begin{cases} (3x+5), j_{r-1}k_{s-1} < jk < j_{r-1}k_{s-1} + \sqrt{h_{r,s}} \\ \{0\}, & otherwise \end{cases} \\ \text{Then } \{A_{j,k}\} \in N^{\beta}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w}) \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} < \beta \leq 1 \text{ but } \{A_{j,k}\} \notin N^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w}) \text{ for } 0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 2.4: Let the parameters α and β be fixed real numbers such that $0 < \alpha \le \beta \le 1$, $St_2^{\beta}(I_{2w}) \subseteq N^{\alpha}(I_{2w})$.

Proof: For any double sequence $\{A_{j,k}\}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{(mn)^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| d\left(x, A_{j,k}\right) - d\left(x, A\right) \right| \ge \frac{1}{(mn)^{\alpha}} \left| \left\{ j \le m, k \le n; \left| d\left(x, A_{j,k}\right) - d\left(x, A\right) \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \varepsilon$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{(mn)^{\beta}} \left| \left\{ j \le m, k \le n; \left| d\left(x, A_{j,k}\right) - d\left(x, A\right) \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \varepsilon$$

and so

$$\begin{cases} (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{(mn)^{\alpha}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A) \right| \ge \delta \\ \\ \subseteq \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{(mn)^{\beta}} \left| \left\{ j \le m, k \le n : \left| d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A) \right| \ge \epsilon \right\} \right| \ge \frac{\delta}{\epsilon} \right\} \in I_2. \end{cases}$$

This gives that $St_2^{\beta}(I_{2w}) \subseteq N^{\alpha}(I_{2w})$.

Theorem 2.5: Let $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence and α be a fixed number such that $0 < \alpha \le 1$. If $\lim_{r,s\to\infty,\infty} \inf \frac{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}}{j_{r}k_{s}} > 0$, then $St_{2}(I_{2w}) \subseteq S_{\theta_{r,s}}^{\alpha}(I_{2w})$.

Proof: Let (X, d) be a metric space, $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence and $A, A_{j,k}$ (for all $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$) be a nonempty closed subsets of X. If $\lim_{r,s\to\infty} \inf \frac{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}}{j_r k_s} > 0$, then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \{j \le j_r, k \le k_s : |d(x, A_{j,k}) - d(x, A)| \ge \varepsilon\} \supset \{(j, k) \in I_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{j,k}) - d(x, A)| \ge \varepsilon\} \\ \frac{1}{j_r k_s} |\{j \le j_r, k \le k_s : |d(x, A_{j,k}) - d(x, A)| \ge \varepsilon\}| \ge \frac{1}{j_r k_s} |\{(j, k) \in I_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{jk}) - d(x, A)| \ge \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}}{j_r k_s} \frac{1}{h_r^{\alpha} s} |\{(j, k) \in I_{r,s} : |d(x, A_{j,k}) - d(x, A)| \ge \varepsilon\}|.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{cases} (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}} |\{(j,k) \in I_{r,s} : |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \ge \varepsilon\}| \ge \delta \\ \\ \subseteq \left\{ (r,s) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{j_r k_s} |\{j \le j_r, k \le k_s : |d(x,A_{j,k}) - d(x,A)| \ge \varepsilon\}| \ge \delta \frac{h_{r,s}^{\alpha}}{j_r k_s} \right\} \end{cases}$$

Which implies that $St_2(I_{2w}) \subseteq S^{\alpha}_{\theta_{r,s}}(I_{2w})$.

References

- [1]. A. M. Brono, A. G. K. Ali and M. T. Bukar, I_2 –statistical and I_2 –lacunary statistical convergence for double sequence of order α . IOSR Journal of Mathematics, 13(2017), 107-112.
- [2]. H. Cakalli, On statistical convergence in topological groups. *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.***43**(1996), 27–31.
- [3]. H. Cakalli and P. Das, Fuzzy compactness via summability. Journal of Applied Mathematics, 22(2009), 1665-1669.
- [4]. L. Cheng, G. Lin, Y. Lan and H. Liu, Measure Theory of Statistical Convergence. Science in China Series A-Math. (2008) 51: 2285.
- [5]. J. Connor, and M. A. Swardson, Strong integral summability and stone-chech compactification of the half-line. Pacific Journal of Mathematics. 157(1993), 201-224.
- [6]. J. Connor and M. A. Swardson, Equivalence of bounded strong integral summability methods, Math. Japon. 39(1994), 401-428.
- [7]. P. Das, and E. Savas, On I-statistical and I-lacunary statistical convergence of order α . Bulletin of Iranian Mathematical Society, **40**(2014), 459-472.
- [8]. H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2(1951), 241-244
- [9]. J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, *Analysis* 5(1985), 301-313.
- [10]. P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát and W. Wilczynki, *I*-convergence, *Real Anal. Exchange* 26(2000/2001), 669-685.
- [11]. G. Di. Maio and Lj. D. R. Kočinac, Statistical convergence in topology, Topology Appl. 156(2008), 28-45.
- I. J. Maddox, Statistical Convergence in a locally convex space. <u>Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical</u> <u>Society</u> 104(1988), 141 – 145.
- [13]. H. I. Miller, A measure theoretical subsequence characterization of statistical convergence. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **347**(1995), 1811-1819.
- [14]. M. Mursaleen, and O. H. H. Edely, "Statistical convergence of double sequences," J. Math. Anal. Appl., 288(2003), 223–231.
- [15]. F. Nuary, and E. Savas, Statistical convergence of sequences of fuzzy numbers, *Math. Slovaca*, 45(1995), 269-273.
- [16]. T. Šalát, On Statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, Math. Slovaca 30 (1980), 139-150.
- [17]. E. Savas, Statistical convergence of fuzzy numbers, Inform. Sci., **137**(2001), 277-282.
- [18]. I. J. Schoenberg, the integrability of certain functions and related summability methods. American Mathematics. Monthly 66(1959), 361-375.
- [19]. H. Sengül and M. Et, On *I* –lacunary Statistical convergence of Order α of Sequences of Sets, *published by faculty of sciences and mathematics*, University of Nis, Serbia.31(2017), 2403-2412.
- [20]. U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, Lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets, Prog. Appl. Math. 4(2012), 99-109.

A. M. BRONO. "m I_2-Lacunary Statistical Convergence of Double Sequences of Order α of Sets." IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM) 15.2 (2019): 44-48.
