

On qpI-Irresolute Mappings

Mandira Kar¹, S. S. Thakur²

¹(Department of Mathematics, St. Aloysius College, Jabalpur, India)

²(Department of Applied Mathematics, Government Engineering College, Jabalpur India)

Abstract : In the present paper the concept of qpI-Irresolute mappings have been introduced and studied.

Keywords: Ideal bitopological spaces, qpI- closed sets, qpI- open sets and qpI- Irresolute mappings AMS

Subject classification 54A05, 54C08

I. Preliminaries

Mashhour [6] introduced the concept of preopen sets in topology. A subset A of a topological space (X, \square) is called preopen if $A \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$. Every open set is preopen but the converse may not be true. In 1961 Kelly [3] introduced the concept of bitopological spaces as an extension of topological spaces. A bitopological space $(X, \square_1, \square_2)$ is a nonempty set X equipped with two topologies \square_1 and \square_2 [3]. The study of quasi open sets in bitopological spaces was initiated by Dutta [1] in 1971. In a bitopological space $(X, \square_1, \square_2)$ a set A of X is said to be quasi open [1] if it is a union of a \square_1 -open set and a \square_2 -open set. Complement of a quasi open set is termed quasi closed. Every \square_1 -open (resp. \square_2 -open) set is quasi open but the converse may not be true. Any union of quasi open sets of X is quasi open in X . The intersection of all quasi closed sets which contains A is called quasi closure of A . It is denoted by $\text{qcl}(A)$ [5]. The union of quasi open subsets of A is called quasi interior of A . It is denoted by $\text{qInt}(A)$ [5]. In 1995, Tapi [8] introduced the concept of quasi preopen sets in bitopological spaces. A set A in a bitopological space $(X, \square_1, \square_2)$ is called quasi preopen [8] if it is a union of a τ_1 -preopen set and a \square_2 -preopen set. Complement of a quasi preopen set is called quasi pre closed. Every \square_1 -preopen (\square_2 -preopen, quasi open) set is quasi preopen but the converse may not be true. Any union of quasi preopen sets of X is a quasi preopen set in X . The intersection of all quasi pre closed sets which contains A is called quasi pre closure of A . It is denoted by $\text{qpcl}(A)$. The union of quasi preopen subsets of A is called quasi pre interior of A . It is denoted by $\text{qpInt}(A)$.

The concept of ideal topological spaces was initiated Kuratowski [4] and Vaidyanathaswamy [10]. An Ideal I on a topological space (X, \square) is a non empty collection of subsets of X which satisfies: i) $A \in I$ and $B \subset A \Rightarrow B \in I$ and ii) $A \in I$ and $B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$. If $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the set of all subsets of X , in a topological space (X, \square) a set operator $(\cdot)^*: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ called the local function [2] of A with respect to \square and I and is defined as follows:

$$A^*(\square, I) = \{x \in X \mid U \cap A \notin I, \forall U \in \square(x)\}, \text{ where } \square(x) = \{U \in \square \mid x \in U\}.$$

Given an ideal bitopological space $(X, \square_1, \square_2, I)$ the quasi local function [3] of A with respect to \square_1, \square_2 and I denoted by $A_q^*(\square_1, \square_2, I)$ (in short A_q^*) is defined as follows:

$$A_q^*(\square_1, \square_2, I) = \{x \in X \mid U \cap A \notin I, \forall \text{ quasi open set } U \text{ containing } x\}.$$

A subset A of an ideal bitopological space $(X, \square_1, \square_2)$ is said to be qI- open [2] if $A \subset \text{qInt } A_q^*$. A mapping $f: (X, \square_1, \square_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called qI- continuous [2] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is qI- open in X for every quasi open set V of Y . Recently the authors of this paper [9] defined qpI- open sets and qpI- continuous mappings in ideal bitopological spaces.

Definition 1.1. [9] Given an ideal bitopological space $(X, \square_1, \square_2, I)$ the quasi pre local mapping of A with respect to \square_1, \square_2 and I denoted by $A_{qp}^*(\square_1, \square_2, I)$ (more generally as A_{qp}^*) is defined as $A_{qp}^*(\square_1, \square_2, I) = \{x \in X \mid U \cap A \notin I, \forall \text{ quasi pre-open set } U \text{ containing } x\}$

Definition 1.2. [9] A subset A of an ideal bitopological space $(X, \square_1, \square_2, I)$ is qpI- open if $A \subset \text{qpInt}(A_{qp}^*)$ and qpI- closed if its complement is qpI- open.

Remark 1.1. [9] Every qI- open set is qpI- open but the converse is not true

Remark 1.2. [9] The concepts of qpI- open sets and quasi pre open sets are independent.

Definition 1.3 [9] A mapping $f: (X, \square_1, \square_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called a qpI- continuous if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a qpI- open set in X for every quasi open set V of Y

Remark1.3. [9] Every qI- continuous mapping is qpI- continuous but the converse is not true

Definition1.4. [9] In an ideal bitopological space $(X, \square_1, \square_2, I)$ the quasi *-pre closure of A of X denoted by $qpcl^*(A)$ is defined by $qpcl^*(A) = A \cup A_{qp}^*$

Definition1.5. [9] A subset A of an ideal bitopological space $(X, \square_1, \square_2, I)$ is said to be a qpI- neighbourhood of a point $x \in X$ if \exists a qpI- open set O such that $x \in O \subset A$

Definition1.6. [9] Let A be a subset of an ideal bitopological space $(X, \square_1, \square_2, I)$ and $x \in X$. Then x is called a qpI-interior point of A if $\exists V$ a qpI- open set in X such that $x \in V \subset A$. The set of all qpI- interior points of A is called the qpI- interior of A and is denoted by $qpIInt(A)$.

Definition1.7. [9] Let A be a subset of an ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) and $x \in X$. Then x is called a qpI-cluster point of A, if $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$. for every qpI- open set V in X. The set of all qpI-cluster points of A denoted by $qpIcl(A)$ is called the qpI-closure of A .

II. qpI- Irresolute Mappings

Definition2.1. A mapping $f: (X, \square_1, \square_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called qpI- irresolute if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a qpI- open set in X for every quasi pre open set V of Y.

Remark2.1. Every qpI- irresolute mapping is qpI- continuous but the converse may not true. For,

Example2.1. Let $X = \{ a, b, c \}$ and $I = \{ \phi, \{a\} \}$ be an ideal on X. Let $\square_1 = \{ X, \phi, \{c\} \}$, $\square_2 = \{ X, \phi, \{a, b\} \}$, $\sigma_1 = \{ X, \phi, \{b\} \}$ and $\sigma_2 = \{ \phi, X \}$ be topologies on X. Then the identity mapping $f: (X, \square_1, \square_2, I) \rightarrow (X, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is qpI- continuous but not qpI- irresolute.

Theorem2.1. Let $f: (X, \square_1, \square_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ be a mapping, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) f is qpI- irresolute.
- (b) $f^{-1}(V)$ is qpI- closed set in X for every quasi pre closed set V of Y
- (c) for each $x \in X$ and every quasi pre open set V of Y containing $f(x)$, \exists a qpI- open set W of X containing x such that $f(W) \subset V$.
- (d) for each $x \in X$ and every quasi pre open set V of Y containing $f(x)$, $f^{-1}(V)_{qp}^*$ is a qpI- neighbourhood of X.

Proof: (a) \Leftrightarrow (b). Obvious.

(a) \Rightarrow (c). Let $x \in X$ and V be a quasi pre open set of Y containing $f(x)$. Since f is qpI- irresolute, $f^{-1}(V)$ is a qpI- open set. Put $W = f^{-1}(V)$, then $x \in W$. Hence $f(W) \subset V$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a). Let A be a quasi pre open set in Y. If $f^{-1}(A) = \emptyset$, then $f^{-1}(A)$ is clearly a qpI- open set. Assume that $f^{-1}(A) \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in f^{-1}(A)$, then $f(x) \in A \Rightarrow \exists$ a qpI- open set W containing x such that $f(W) \subset A$. Thus $W \subset f^{-1}(A)$. Since W is qpI- open, $x \in W \subset qpInt(W_{qp}^*) \subset qpInt(f^{-1}(A)_{qp}^*)$ and so $f^{-1}(A) \subset qpInt(f^{-1}(A)_{qp}^*)$. Hence $f^{-1}(A)$ is a qpI- open set and so f is qpI- irresolute.

(c) \Rightarrow (d). Let $x \in X$ and V be a quasi pre open set of Y containing $f(x)$ then \exists a qpI- open set W containing x such that $f(W) \subset V$. therefore $W \subset f^{-1}(V)$. Since W is a qpI- open set, $x \in W \subset qpInt(W_{qp}^*) \subset qpInt(f^{-1}(V)_{qp}^*) \subset (f^{-1}(V)_{qp}^*)$. Hence $f^{-1}(V)_{qp}^*$ is a qpI- neighbourhood of x.

(d) \Rightarrow (c). Obvious.

Definition2.2. A mapping $f: (X, \square_1, \square_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I)$ is said to be :

- (a) qpI- pre open if $f(U)$ is a qpI- open set of Y for every quasi pre open set U of X.
- (b) qpI- pre closed if $f(U)$ is a qpI- closed set of Y for every quasi pre closed set U of X.

Theorem2.2. Let $f: (X, \square_1, \square_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I)$ be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) f is qpI- pre open
- (b) $f(qpInt(U)) \subset qpIInt(f(U))$ for each subset U of X.
- (c) $qpInt(f^{-1}(V)) \subset f^{-1}(qpIInt(V))$ for each subset V of Y.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let U be any subset of X. Then $qpInt(U)$ is a quasi pre open set of X. Then $f(qpInt(U))$ is a qpI- open set of Y. Since $f(qpInt(U)) \subset f(U)$, $f(qpInt(U)) = qpIInt(f(qpInt(U))) \subset qpIInt(f(U))$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c). Let V be any subset of Y. Obviously $f^{-1}(V)$ is a subset of X. Therefore by (b), $f(qpInt(f^{-1}(V))) \subset qpIInt(f(f^{-1}(V))) \subset qpIInt(V)$. Hence, $qpInt(f^{-1}(V)) \subset f^{-1}(qpIInt(V)) \subset f^{-1}(qpIInt(V))$

(c) \Rightarrow (a). Let V be any quasi pre open set of X . Then $\text{qpInt}(V) = V$ and $f(V)$ is a subset of Y . So $V = \text{qpInt}(V) \subset \text{qpInt}(f^{-1}(f(V))) \subset f^{-1}(\text{qpInt}(f(V)))$. Then $f(V) \subset f(f^{-1}(\text{qpInt}(f(V)))) \subset \text{qpInt}(f(V))$ and $\text{qpInt}(f(V)) \subset f(V)$. Hence, $f(V)$ is a qpl-open set of Y and f is qpl-open.

Theorem2.3. Let $f: (X, \square_1, \square_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I)$ be a qpl- pre open mapping. If V is a subset of Y and U is a quasi pre closed subset of X containing $f^{-1}(V)$, then there exists a qpl- closed set F of Y containing V such that $f^{-1}(F) \subset U$.

Proof: Let V be any subset of Y and U a quasi pre closed subset of X containing $f^{-1}(V)$, and let $F = Y \setminus (f(X \setminus U))$. Then $f(X \setminus U) \subset f(f^{-1}(X \setminus U)) \subset (X \setminus U)$ and $X \setminus U$ is a quasi pre open set of X . Since f is qpl- pre open, $f(X \setminus U)$ is a qpl- open set of Y . Hence F is a quasi pre closed subset of Y and $f^{-1}(F) = f^{-1}(Y \setminus (f(X \setminus U))) \subset U$.

Theorem2.4. A mapping $f: (X, \square_1, \square_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I)$ is qpl- pre closed if and only if $\text{qpIcl}(f(V)) \subset f(\text{qpcl}(V))$ for each subset V of X .

Proof: Necessity. Let f be a qpl- pre closed mapping and V be any subset of X . Then $f(V) \subset f(\text{qpcl}(V))$ and $f(\text{qpcl}(V))$ is a qpl- closed set of Y . Thus $\text{qpIcl}(f(V)) \subset \text{qpIcl}(f(\text{qpcl}(V))) = f(\text{qpcl}(V))$.

Sufficiency. Let V be a quasi pre closed set of X . Then by hypothesis $f(V) \subset \text{qpIcl}(f(V)) \subset f(\text{qpcl}(V)) = f(V)$. And so, $f(V)$ is a qpl- closed subset of Y . Hence, f is qpl- pre closed.

Theorem2.5. A mapping $f: (X, \square_1, \square_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I)$ is qpl- pre closed if and only if $f^{-1}(\text{qpIcl}(V)) \subset \text{qpcl}(f^{-1}(V))$ for each subset V of Y .

Proof: Obvious.

Theorem 2.6. Let $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I)$ be a qpl- pre closed mapping. If V is a subset of Y and U is a quasi pre open subset of X containing $f^{-1}(V)$, then there exists a qpl- open set F of Y containing V such that $f^{-1}(F) \subset U$.

Proof: Obvious.

References

- [1] M.C. Datta, Contributions to the theory of bitopological spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, B.I.T.S. Pilani, India., (1971)
- [2] S. Jafari. and N. Rajesh, On ql open sets in ideal bitopological spaces, University of Bacau, Faculty of Sciences, Scientific Studies and Research, Series Mathematics and Informatics., Vol. 20, No.2 (2010), 29-38
- [3] J.C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc.,13(1963), 71-89
- [4] K. Kuratowski, Topology, Vol. I, Academic press, New York., (1966)
- [5] S. N. Maheshwari, G. I. Chae and P. C. Jain On quasi open sets, U. I. T. Report., 11 (1980), 291-292.
- [6] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt., 53 (1982), 47-53
- [7] U. D. Tapi, S. S. Thakur and Alok Sonwalkar On quasi precontinuous and quasi preirresolute mappings, Acta Ciencia Indica., 21(14) (2)(1995), 235-237
- [8] U. D. Tapi, S. S. Thakur and Alok Sonwalkar, Quasi preopen sets, Indian Acad. Math., Vol. 17 No.1, (1995), 8-12
- [9] M. Kar, and S.S. Thakur, Quasi Pre Local Functions in Ideal Bitopological Spaces Book: International Conference on Mathematical Modelling and Soft Computing 2012, Vol. 02 (2012),143-150
- [10] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, The localization theory in set topology, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 20 (1945), 51-61