## On Complete lift and Nijenhuis tensor of (1,1) tensorfield of the Basespace in the Cotangent Bundle

Ram Nivas<sup>1</sup>, Mobin Ahmad<sup>2</sup>, Bhupendra Nath PathaK<sup>3</sup>, V.N. Pathak<sup>4</sup> (*Ex.HeadDeptt.Mathematics and Astronomy,LucknUniversity,Lucknow,INDIA*,

<sup>6</sup> Ex.HeadDeptt.Mathematics and Astronomy,LucknUniversity,Lucknow,INDI
 <sup>2</sup> (Head Deptt Mathematics ,Integral University, Lucknow,INDIA ,
 <sup>3</sup> (Research Scholar, Integral University, Lucknow,INDIA ,
 <sup>4</sup> (Head Deptt. Mathematics, SRMCEM, Lucknow, INDIA

**Abstract:** If M is a differtiable manifold of dimension n, then its cotangent bundle  $T^*(M)$  is a differtiable manifold of dimension 2n[1]. In the present paper, complete and horizontal lifts of (1,1) tensor fields of M, which are tensor fields of same type in  $T^*(M)$ , are studied. The Nijenhuis tensor of complete lift and Integrability of the Hsu-structure in $T^*(M)$  are also studied.

*Keywords and Phrases:* Cotangent Bundle, Hsu-structure, differentiable manifold, Complete and horizontal lifts, Integrability.

AMS Subject Classification: 57 R 55Y

## I. Introduction

Let M be a differentiable manifold of class  $C^{\infty}$  and dimension n. At each point P of M, there is associated an n-dimensional vector space of tangent vectors called tangent space, denoted by  $T_P(M)$ . If  $T_P^*(M)$  be dual space of  $T_P(M)$ . We denote  $U_{P\in M}T_P^*(M) = T^*(M)$ , and call  $T^*(M)$  the cotangent bundle of M. It can be shown that  $T_P^*(M)$  is also a differentiable manifold of dimension 2n. Let  $\pi$  be projection map  $T^*(M) \to M$ . Let U be the coordinate neighborhood of P in M with coordinate functions  $(x^1, x^2, ..., x^n)$  or  $(x^h)$ . Then  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  is open subset in T\*(M) with coordinate functions  $(x^h, p_i)$ , h, i = 1,2....n, and p<sub>i</sub> are components of 1-form at P. Let U and U' be the two coordinate neighborhoods in M such that  $U \cap U' \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  and  $\pi^{-1}(U')$  are open subsets in T\*(M) and intersect each other. The local coordinate systems  $(x^h)$  and  $(x^{h'})$  in U, U' respectively induce local coordinate systems  $(x^h, p_i)$  and  $(x^{h'}p'_i)$  in  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  and  $\pi^{-1}(U')$  respectively. In the intersecting region  $\pi^{-1}(U) \cap \pi^{-1}(U')$ , we have the law of transformation

(i) 
$$x^{h'} = x^{h'}(x^h)$$
 (ii)  $p_{i'} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^{i'}} p_i$  .... (1.1)

We call M as the base space. Suppose M admits a tensorfield F of type (1, 1). Then its Complete lift  $F^{C}$  is a (1,1) tensorfield in  $T^{*}(M)$  with local components [1]

$$F^{c} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{i}^{h} & 0\\ p_{a} \left(\frac{\partial F_{h}^{a}}{\partial x^{i}} - \frac{\partial F_{i}^{a}}{\partial x^{h}}\right) & F_{h}^{i} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.2)

Where  $(x^1, x^2, ..., x^n)$  is local coordinate system in U and  $F_i^h$  are components of (1,1) tensorfield F in M. Suppose  $\nabla$  is a symmetric affine in M with local components  $[f_{ji}^h]$  in U. If  $[f_{ji} = p_a [f_{ji}^h]$ , the horizontal lift  $F^H$  of F is a (1,1) tensorfield in T\*(M) defined as [1]

$$\mathbf{F}^{c} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{i}^{h} & \mathbf{0} \\ -\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{ia}\mathbf{F}_{h}^{a} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{ih}\mathbf{F}_{i}^{a} & \mathbf{F}_{h}^{i} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.3)

The Nijenhuis tensor N(X,Y) of (1,1) tensorfield F in M is a (1,2) tensor given by  $N(X,Y) = [FX, FY]-F [FX,Y]-F[X,FY]+F^{2}[X,Y]$ (1.4) The structure is called integrable if its Nijenhuis tensor vanishes.

II. Hsu Structure in T<sup>\*</sup>(M)

Suppose that the base space admits a (1,1) tensorfield F satisfying  $F^{10} + \lambda^r F^6 + \mu^r F^2 = 0$ 

Where  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  are scalars. Let us call that M admits  $F_{\lambda,\mu}(10, -4)$  Hsu Structure [5]

The Complete lift  $F^{C}$  is a (1,1)tensorfield in  $T^{*}(M)$  with local components given by the equation (1.2). If we put [4]  $p_{a}\left(\frac{\partial F_{h}^{a}}{\partial x^{i}} - \frac{\partial F_{i}^{a}}{\partial x^{h}}\right) = 2p_{a} \partial [iF_{h}^{a}]$ 

(2.1)

Then we have 
$$(F^c) = \begin{bmatrix} F_i^h & 0\\ 2p_a \partial [iF_h^a] & F_h^i \end{bmatrix}$$
  
(2.3)
  
 $(F^c)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} F_i^h F_j^i & 0\\ 2p_a \partial [iF_h^a] F_j^i + 2p_t \partial [iF_i^t] F_h^i & F_i^j F_h^i \end{bmatrix}$ 

If we put

$$2p_a \partial [iF_h^a]F_j^i + 2p_t \partial [iF_i^t]F_h^i = L_{hj}$$

then

$$(F^{c})^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{i}^{\ h}F_{j}^{\ i} & 0\\ L_{hj} & F_{i}^{\ j}F_{h}^{\ i} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.4)

Similarly

$$(F^{c})^{4} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{i}^{\ h} F_{j}^{\ i} F_{k}^{\ j} F_{l}^{\ k} & 0 \\ F_{k}^{\ j} F_{l}^{\ k} L_{hj} + F_{i}^{\ j} F_{h}^{\ i} L_{jl} & F_{k}^{\ l} F_{j}^{\ k} F_{i}^{\ j} F_{h}^{\ i} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Putting \quad F_{k}^{\ j} F_{l}^{\ k} L_{hj} + F_{i}^{\ j} F_{h}^{\ i} L_{jl} = L_{hl}$$

$$(F^{c})^{4} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{i}^{\ h} F_{j}^{\ i} F_{k}^{\ j} F_{l}^{\ k} & 0 \\ L_{hl} & F_{k}^{\ l} F_{j}^{\ k} F_{i}^{\ j} F_{h}^{\ i} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(2.5)$$

Again putting  $F_m^l F_m^m L_{hl} + F_k^{\ l} F_j^{\ s} F_i^{\ j} F_h^{\ i} L_{ln} = L_{hn}$  and proceeding in the similar way, we have

$$(F^{c})^{6} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{i}^{\ h}F_{j}^{\ i}F_{k}^{\ j}F_{l}^{\ k}F_{m}^{l}F_{m}^{m} & 0\\ L_{hn} & F_{m}^{n}F_{l}^{m}F_{k}^{l}F_{j}^{\ k}F_{i}^{\ j}F_{h}^{i} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.6)

In the same way, we have

$$(F^{c})^{10} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{i}^{h}F_{j}^{i}F_{k}^{j}F_{l}^{k}F_{m}^{l}F_{m}^{m}F_{p}^{n}F_{q}^{p}F_{r}^{q}F_{s}^{r} & 0\\ L_{hs} & F_{r}^{s}F_{q}^{r}F_{p}^{q}F_{n}^{p}F_{m}^{n}F_{l}^{m}F_{k}^{l}F_{j}^{k}F_{i}^{j}F_{h}^{i} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.7)  
where  $F_{p}^{n}F_{q}^{p}F_{r}^{q}F_{s}^{r}L_{hn} + F_{m}^{n}F_{l}^{m}F_{k}^{l}F_{j}^{k}F_{i}^{j}F_{h}^{i}L_{hl} = L_{hs}$ .

Thus in T\*(M)

$$\begin{split} (F^c)^{10} + \lambda^r (F^c)^6 + \mu^r (F^c)^2 &= 0 \quad \text{holds if and only if} \\ L_{hs} + \lambda^r L_{hn} + \mu^r L_{hj} &= 0 \end{split}$$

Hence, we have the following theorem:

## III. The Nijenhuis Tensor

Since the base space M admits  $F_{\lambda,\mu}(10,-4)$  Hsu-structure, the Nijenhuis Tensor of complete lift of F<sup>10</sup> in T<sup>\*</sup>(M) is given by

$$\begin{split} N_{(F^{10})^{C}, (F^{10})^{C}}(X^{C}, Y^{C}) &= [(\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+}\mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}X^{C}, (\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+}, \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}Y^{C}] \\ &- (\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+}, \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}[(\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+}, \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}X^{C}, Y^{C}] \\ &- (\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+}, \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}[X^{C}, (\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+}, \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}Y^{C}] \\ &+ (\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+}, \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}(\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+}, \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}[X^{C}, Y^{C}] \end{split}$$

In view of [1] ( pp 243)  $(\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+} \ \mu^{r}F^{2} \ )^{C}X^{C} = ( (\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+} \ \mu^{r}F^{2} \ ) X \ )^{C} + \gamma ( L_{X} (\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+} \ \mu^{r}F^{2} \ ))$   $L_{X}$  denotes the Lie derivative via X and  $\gamma(T)$  is a tensor field of type ( r , s-1) in T<sup>\*</sup>(M) for a tensor field T of type ( r , s) in M. If we further assume that  $\gamma ( L_{X} (\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+} \ \mu^{r}F^{2} \ )) = 0$  etc , we have  $N_{(F^{10})^{C}, (F^{10})} c ( X^{C}, Y^{C} ) = [ (\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+} \ \mu^{r}F^{2} \ )^{C} X )^{C} , (\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+} \ \mu^{r}F^{2} \ )^{C} Y )^{C} ]$ 

- $(\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+} \ \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}$ [ $((\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+} \ \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}X)^{C}, Y^{C}$ ]  $-(\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+} \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}[X^{C}, (\lambda^{r}F^{6}_{+} \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}Y]^{C}]$ +(\lambda^{r}F^{6}\_{+} \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C} (\lambda^{r}F^{6}\_{+} \mu^{r}F^{2})^{C}[X^{C}, Y^{C}]

Further, suppose that

N<sub>(F<sup>m</sup>)<sup>C</sup>, (F<sup>n</sup>)</sub>  $c(X^{C}, Y^{C}) = 0$  for  $m \neq n$  and Since  $(F^{6}X)^{C} = (F^{6})X^{C}$  as  $\gamma(L_{X} F^{6}) = 0$  etc., we arrive after simplification at the result

$$N_{(F^{10})^{C}, (F^{10})}c(X^{C}, Y^{C}) = \lambda^{2r} N_{(F^{6})^{C}, (F^{6})}c(X^{C}, Y^{C}) + \mu^{2r} N_{(F^{2})^{C}, (F^{2})}c(X^{C}, Y^{C})$$
(3.1)

Thus, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem (3.1)** : For (1,1) tensorfield F on the base space M admitting  $F_{\lambda,\mu}(10,-4)$  Hsu-structure, the Nijenhuistersors of  $(F^{10})^C$ ,  $(F^6)^C$  and  $(F^2)^C$  in  $T^*(M)$  are connected by the equation (3.1) provided the Lie derivatives X of various powers of F vanish and  $N_{(F^m)^C, (F^n)} c(X^C, Y^C) = 0$  for  $m \neq n$ .

Consequently in the cotangent bundle  $T^*(M)$ , the Hsu-structure induced by  $(F^{10})^C$  will be integrable iff the Hsustructures induced by  $(F^6)^C$  and  $(F^2)^C$  are integrable.

## References

- [1] Yano, K and Inshihara S.(1973) : Tangent and Cotangent bundles: Differential Geometry. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- [2] Verma, Navneet Kumar and Nivas, Ram (2011); On horizontal and Complete lifts from a manifold with  $f_{\lambda,\mu}$  cubic structure to its cotangent bundle.VSRD Technical and Non-Technical International Journal ,2(4) ,pp.213-218
- [3] Duggal, K.L. (1971): On different iable structures defined by Algebraic Equation 1, Nijenhuis Tensors, N.S., Vol 22 (2), pp. 238-242
- [4] L.J.S.K. Das, Nivas, Ram and Ali, S. (2003): Study of certain Structures defined on the cotangent Bundle of a differentiable manifold Math. Science Research Journal ,U.S.A.7(12) pp.477-488.
- Mishra R.S. (1984): Structures on a Differentiable Manifold and their Application. [5]
- [6] ChandramaPrakashan, 50-A, Balrampur house, Allahabad, India.
- [7] N.J. Hicks (1964), Notes on Differential Geometry., D.VanNostrand Company, Inc. Princeton New York.
- Nivas, Ram : On certain bundles in a differentiable manifold, Proceedings of the 45<sup>th</sup> Symposium in Finsler Geometry (held [8] jointly with 11th International Conference of Tensor Society), University of Tokyo, Japan Sept. 5-10, 2011, pp. 39 - 42.