# **Expansion Maps In D-Metric And Tri D-Metric Spaces**

A.S.Saluja and Alkesh Kumar Dhakde

Deptt. Of Mathematics, J.H.Govt. P.G. College, Betul (M.P.), India IES College of Technology, Bhopal (M.P.), India

<u>ABSTRACT</u> In this paper, we obtain some results on fixed points for expansion mappings in D-metric and Tri D-metric spaces, introduced by Dhage [1] .Our results includes several fixed point results in ordinary metric spaces as special cases on the line of Maia [5]. <u>KEYWORDS AND PHRASES</u>: Fixed point, D-metric spaces, Expansion maps, etc. <u>SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION</u>: Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

### 1. INTRODUCTION:

Motivated by the measure of nearness, the concept of a D-metric space introduced by Dhage [1] is as follows:

A nonempty set X together with a function  $\rho: X \times X \times X \to [0,\infty)$ , is called a D-metric space with a D-metric  $\rho$ , denoted by  $(X, \rho)$ , if  $\rho$  satisfies the following properties:

(*i*)  $\rho(x, y, z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y = z$  (Coincidence) for all  $x, y, z \in X$ 

(*ii*)  $\rho(x, y, z) = \rho(\rho\{x, y, z\})$  (Symmetry) Where  $\rho$  is a permutation function.

(*iii*)  $\rho(x, y, z) \le \rho(x, y, a) + \rho(x, a, z) + \rho(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ . (Tetrahedral inequality)

A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$ , is said to be D-converges to a point  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \rho(x_m, x_n, x) = 0$ . Similarly, a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$ , is called D-Cauchy if  $\lim_{m,n,p\to\infty} \rho(x_m, x_n, x_p) = 0$ . A complete D-metric space is one in which every D-Cauchy sequence converges to a point in it. A subset S of a D-metric space X is called bounded, if there exists a constant K > 0, such that  $\rho(x, y, z) \leq K$  for all  $x, y, z \in S$ . The infimum of all such k is called the diameter of S and is denoted by  $\delta(S)$ .

Let  $f: X \to X$ , then the orbit of f at a point  $x \in X$  is a set in X, defined by  $O_f(x) = \{x, fx, f^2x, \ldots\}$ . Again a D-metric space is called f-orbitally bounded if there exists a constant M > 0 such that  $\rho(x, y, z) \le M$  for all  $x, y, z \in O_f(x)$ . A D-metric space is called f-orbitally complete if every D-Cauchy sequence in  $O_f(x)$  converges to a point in X.

It is known that the D-metric  $\rho$  is a continuous function on  $X^3$  in the topology of D-metric convergence which is Hausdorff, see Dhage [2].

In 1976, Rosenholtz [7] discussed local expansion mappings. Let (X,d) be an ordinary metric space. Then a mapping  $T: X \to X$ , expansive on a subset B of X, if d(Tx,Ty) > d(x, y) for all  $x, y \in B$  with  $x \neq y$ .

T is a Local expansion if every point in T has a neighbourhood B on which T is expansive.

In fact Rosenholtz proved, "If (X,d) be a complete metric space and  $T: X \to X$  be a self map of X onto itself satisfying;

 $d(Tx,Ty) > \lambda d(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  and  $\lambda > 1$ . Then *T* has a fixed point in *X* ". We need the following D-Cauchy principle developed by Dhage [3]. **Lemma 1:** (D-Cauchy principle): Let  $\{x_n\}$  be bounded sequence with D-bound K, satisfying:

(1.1.1)  $\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_p) \le \lambda^n K$ , for all  $n, p \in N$  with p > n, where  $0 \le \lambda < 1$ . Then  $\{y_n\}$  is a D-Cauchy sequence.

Throughout in this paper we use the symbol

 $\rho(x, y, z) \cdot \rho(x, y, z) = \{\rho(x, y, z)\}^2 = \rho^2(x, y, z)$ 

## 2. MAIN RESULTS:

**THEOREM** 2.1 : Let  $f: X \to X$  be a surjective mapping of a f-orbitally bounded and forbitally complete D-metric space  $(X, \rho)$ . If there exists non-negative reals  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_7$  with  $a_1 + a_3 + a_5 > 0, a_2 < 1$  and  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7 > 1$ , such that ; (2.1.1)

$$\rho^{2}(fx, fy, fz) \ge a_{1} \cdot \rho^{2}(x, y, z) + a_{2} \cdot \rho^{2}(x, fx, fz) + a_{3} \cdot \rho^{2}(y, fy, z) + a_{4} \cdot \rho(x, fx, fz)\rho(x, y, z) + a_{5} \cdot \rho(y, fy, z)\rho(x, y, z) + a_{6} \cdot \rho(x, fx, fz)\rho(y, fy, z) + a_{7} \cdot \rho(fx, fy, fz)\rho(x, y, z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $x \neq y \neq z$ . Then f has a fixed point in X.

**<u>PROOF</u>**: Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Since f is surjective, there exists an element  $x_1$  satisfying  $x_1 \in f^{-1}(x_0)$ . By the way we can take  $x_n \in f^{-1}(x_{n-1})$ ,  $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ .

If 
$$x_m = x_{m-1}$$
 for some *m*, then  $x_m$  is a fixed point of *f*.  
Without loss of generality, we can assume  $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$  for every *n*. From (2.1.1), we have  
 $\rho^2(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1}) = \rho^2(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+p})$   
 $\ge a_1 \cdot \rho^2(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) + a_2 \cdot \rho^2(x_n, fx_n, fx_{n+p}) + a_3 \cdot \rho^2(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, x_{n+p})$   
 $+ a_4 \cdot \rho(x_n, fx_n, fx_{n+p}) \rho(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) + a_5 \cdot \rho(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \rho(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p})$   
 $+ a_6 \cdot \rho(x_6, fx_n, fx_{n+p}) \rho(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) + a_7 \cdot \rho(fx_n, fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+p}) \rho(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p})$   
 $= a_1 \cdot \rho^2(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) + a_2 \cdot \rho^2(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-1}) + a_3 \cdot \rho^2(x_{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+p})$   
 $+ a_4 \cdot \rho(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-1}) \rho(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) + a_5 \cdot \rho(x_{n+1}, x_n, x_{n+p}) \rho(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p})$ 

Thus,

$$(a_{1} + a_{3} + a_{5}) \cdot \rho^{2}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) + (a_{4} + a_{6} + a_{7}) \cdot \rho(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n+p-1}) \rho(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) - (1 - a_{2}) \cdot \rho^{2}(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n+p}) \le 0$$

Or,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{(2.1.2)} & \left(a_1 + a_3 + a_5\right)t^2 + \left(a_4 + a_6 + a_7\right)t - (1 - a_2) \leq 0 \ , \ \text{where} \\ \textbf{(2.1.3)} & t = \left\lfloor \rho(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) / \rho(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1}) \right\rfloor \\ \text{Let} \ g: \begin{bmatrix} 0, \infty \end{pmatrix} \to R \ \text{be the function} \\ \textbf{(2.1.4)} & g(t) = (a_1 + a_3 + a_5)t^2 + (a_4 + a_6 + a_7)t - (1 - a_2) \\ \text{Then from the hypothesis,} \ g(0) = a_2 - 1 < 0 \\ \text{and} \ g(1) = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7 - 1 > 0 \ . \end{array}$$

Let  $k \in (0,1)$  be the root of the equation g(t) = 0. Then,  $g(t) \le 0$  for  $t \le k$  and therefore

$$\rho(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq k \cdot \rho(x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n+p-1})$$
  
$$\leq k^{2} \cdot \rho(x_{x-2}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2})$$
  
$$\leq \dots$$
  
$$\leq k^{n} M$$

Where M is a D-bound of  $0_f(x)$ .

Now, an application of Lemma 2.1 yields that  $\{x_n\}$  is a D-Cauchy sequence. Since X is f-orbitally complete, there is a point  $x \in X$  such that,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ .

Now, we shall show that x is a fixed point of f.

Since, f is surjective there exists y in X, such that  $y \in f^{-1}(x)$ 

For infinitely many  $n, x_n \neq x$ , hence for such n, we have

$$\begin{split} \rho^{2}(x_{n}, x, x) &= \rho^{2}(fx_{n+1}, fy, fy) \\ &\geq a_{1}.\rho^{2}(x_{n+1}, y, y) + a_{2}.\rho^{2}(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, fy) + a_{3}.\rho^{2}(y, fy, y) \\ &+ a_{4}.\rho(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, fy).\rho(x_{n+1}, y, y) + a_{5}.\rho(y, fy, y).\rho(x_{n+1}, y, y) \\ &+ a_{6}.\rho(x_{n+1}, fx_{n+1}, fy).\rho(y, fy, y) + a_{7}.\rho(fx_{n+1}, fy, fy).\rho(x_{n+1}, y, y) \\ &= a_{1}.\rho^{2}(x_{n+1}, y, y) + a_{2}.\rho^{2}(x_{n+1}, x_{n}, x) + a_{3}.\rho^{2}(y, x, y) \\ &+ a_{4}.\rho(x_{n+1}, x_{n}, x).\rho(x_{n+1}, y, y) + a_{5}.\rho(y, x, y).\rho(x_{n+1}, y, y) \\ &+ a_{6}.\rho(x_{n+1}, x_{n}, x).\rho(y, x, y) + a_{7}.\rho(x_{n}, x, x).\rho(x_{n+1}, y, y) \end{split}$$

On letting  $n \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$0 \ge a_1 \cdot \rho^2(x, y, y) + a_2 \cdot \rho^2(x, x, x) + a_3 \cdot \rho^2(y, x, y) + a_4 \cdot \rho(x, x, x) \cdot \rho(x, y, y) + a_5 \cdot \rho(y, x, y) \cdot \rho(x, y, y) + a_6 \cdot \rho(x, x, x) \cdot \rho(y, x, y) + a_7 \cdot \rho(x, x, x) \cdot \rho(x, y, y) = (a_1 + a_3 + a_5) \cdot \rho^2(x, y, y)$$

Since,  $a_1 + a_3 + a_5 > 0$ , So x = y.

Thus x is a fixed point of f.

This completes the proof.

**<u>COROLLARY</u>** 2.2: Let  $f: X \to X$  be a surjective mapping of a f-orbitally bounded and forbitally complete D-metric space X. If there exists a real constant k > 1, such that (2.2.1)  $\rho^2(fx, fy, fz) \ge k \cdot \rho^2(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $x \ne y \ne z$ . Then f has a fixed point in X.

**PROOF**: Proof of the corollary 2.2 follows easily from theorem 2.1.

3. It is possible that a D-metric space which is complete w.r.t. a D-metric but may not be complete w.r.t. another D-metric on X. In this section we consider a D-metric space with three D-metrics, i.e. a tri D-metric space and investigate some results on the fixed points on the line of Maia [5].

**THEOREM** 3.1: Let X be a D-metric space with three D-metrics  $\rho$ ,  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ . Let  $f: X \to X$  be a surjective mapping. If there exists non-negative reals  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_7$  with  $a_1 + a_3 + a_5 > 0$ ,  $a_2 < 1$  and  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7 > 1$ , such that the following conditions hold in X;

- (i)  $\rho_2(x, y, z) \le \rho_1(x, y, z) \le \rho(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .
- (ii) X is f-orbitally bounded and f-orbitally complete w.r.t.  $\rho_1$
- (iii) f is continuous w.r.t.  $\rho_2$ .
- (iv) f Satisfies condition (2.1.1) w.r.t.  $\rho$ .

Then f has a fixed point in X.

**<u>PROOF</u>**: Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Since f is surjective, there exists an element  $x_1$  satisfying  $x_1 \in f^{-1}(x_0)$ . By the same way we can take

$$x_n \in f^{-1}(x_{n-1})$$
,  $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ 

Then proceeding as in the proof of theorem (2.1), with similar arguments , we get

$$\rho(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \le k^n \rho(x_0, x_1, x_p)$$

Since,  $\rho_1 \leq \rho$  on  $X^3$ , we have

$$\rho_{1}(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq \rho(x_{n}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p})$$
$$\leq k^{n} \rho(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{p})$$

## $\leq k^n M$ , where M is a D - bound of $0_f(x)$ w.r.t. $\rho_1$

Now, an application of Lemma 2.1 yields that  $\{x_n\}$  is a D-Cauchy sequence in X.

w.r.t.  $\rho_1$ . Since X is f-orbitally complete w.r.t.  $\rho_1$ , there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$$

Again since,  $\rho_2 \leq \rho_1$  on  $X^3$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_2^{2}(x_n, x, x) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho_1^{2}(x_n, x, x) = 0$$

Or,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \rho_2^2(x_n, x, x) = 0$ 

This implies that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x w.r.t.  $\rho_2$ .

Now, by the continuity of f w.r.t.  $\rho_2$  it follows that

$$x = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} f x_{n+1} = f \left[ \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \right] = f x$$

Thus x is a fixed point of f. This completes the proof.

**<u>COROLLARY</u>** 3.2: Let X be a D-metric space with three D-metrics  $\rho$ ,  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ .Let  $f: X \to X$  be a surjective mapping. If there exists a real constant k > 1, such that, the following conditions hold in X;

(i)  $\rho_2(x, y, z) \le \rho_1(x, y, z) \le \rho(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ 

- (ii) X is f-orbitally bounded and f-orbitally complete w.r.t.  $\rho_1$
- (iii) f is continuous w.r.t.  $\rho_2$ .
- (iv) f Satisfies condition (2.2.1) w.r.t.  $\rho$ .
- Then f has a fixed point in X.

**PROOF:** Proof of the corollary 3.2 follows easily from theorem 3.1.

#### **<u>REFERENCES</u>**:

#### DHAGE, B.C.,

- [1] Generalized metric spaces and mapping with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 84 (1992), 329-336.
- [2] Generalized metric space and topological structure I, Analene, Stint, Univ. "AL. I. Cuza", Iasi 45 (1999).

- [3] On Kannan type maps in D-metric spaces, Jour. Nat. and Phy. Sci. II (1997). **DHAGE, B.C. AND RHOADES, B.E.**
- [4] A comparison of two contraction principles, Math. Sci. Res., Hot-Line, 3 (8), (1999), 49-53.

# MAIA, M.G.

[5] Un osscravazions sulle contrazoni metriche, Rend. Sem. Math. Padova, 40 (1968), 139-143.

## RHOADES, B.E.

[6] A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., (1976), 257-290.

#### **ROSENHOLTZ;**

[7] Local expansion derivatives and fixed points, Fund. Math., 91 (1976), 1-4.

#### WONG, S.Z., LI., B.Y., GAO, Z.M., ISEKI, K.;

[8] Some fixed point theorems on expansion mappings, Math. Japonica 29, 4(1984), 631-636.