Multivariate Analysis Of Mobile Phone Preferences Among Graduates: A Case Study Approach

P.T.N. Devindi, K.A.D. Deshani

(School Of ICT/, Nāgānanda International Institute For Buddhist Studies, Sri Lanka) (Department Of Statistics/ University Of Colombo, Sri Lanka)

Abstract

Today, mobile phones serve not only as communication tools but also as multifunctional devices capable of handling various tasks. Due to evolving customer needs and preferences, the mobile phone market remains highly competitive and constantly changing. This study identified and explored the factors associated with the mobile phone preference of the graduates of the Faculty of Science, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka. A questionnaire was designed to collect the data, and an online survey was conducted. Considering recently passed-out graduates, 338 were selected for the study based on a simple random sampling procedure. The data collected were analyzed using SPSS and RStudio. Basic features, camera features, performance and updated technology features, durability with safety standards, marketing strategy, pricing strategy, and brand were identified as the prominent factors associated with the mobile phone preference of the respondents using Factor Analysis, and each factor was further explored using Latent Class Analysis to investigate the hidden patterns among the respondents. Due to time limitations, this study was conducted as a case study, but using the same methodology, it can be extended to find the factors associated with the mobile phone preference of mobile users in Sri Lanka.

Keywords: Factor Analysis, Latent Class Analysis

Date of Submission: 01-04-2025

Date of Acceptance: 11-04-2025

I. Introduction

The evolution of the mobile phone has been a long journey over many decades. The mobile telecommunication industry has grown tremendously. In the telecommunication industry, the mobile phone has become an efficient and reliable mode of communication, connecting people within and across countries. The mobile phone is a fundamental and integral part of human life.

Nowadays, the mobile phone is not just a communication device but also a multifunctional tool that makes life easier. Innovative features and applications are continuously being added and updated to meet customer requirements. As a result of changing customer needs and preferences, the mobile phone market is highly competitive and dynamic.

Marketers have identified techno-centric customers as the most promising segment of the mobile phone market. Graduates can be considered one such customer segment. This study focuses on analyzing the mobile phone preferences of graduates in the Faculty of Science, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka. Although the study focuses on a limited group of graduates to identify factors influencing mobile phone preferences, this research can be extended to understand the preferences and decision criteria of graduates across Sri Lanka. The same methodology can be applied to gain better insight into the Sri Lankan phone market. The results will be highly valuable, especially for advertisers and phone sellers, as they can align their strategies with customer preferences.

II. Objectives

The mobile phone market is becoming increasingly competitive day by day. It is very important for advertisers as well as phone sellers to identify the factors that highly influence customers.

Researchers in other countries have identified factors affecting mobile phone purchase decisions based on their respective markets. However, in Sri Lanka, no similar research has been conducted to gain better insight into the local phone market. Even though this study was carried out with a limited group of people, the same methodology can be used to better understand the phone market in Sri Lanka.

The main objective of this study is to identify the factors associated with mobile phone preferences among graduates of the Faculty of Science, University of Kelaniya. In addition to this main objective, investigating patterns related to mobile phone preferences was also considered as a sub-objective to gain a deeper understanding of the identified factors.

III. Methodology

This study focused on factors associated with mobile phone selection among graduates of the Faculty of Science, University of Kelaniya. An online questionnaire was sent directly to randomly selected recent graduates of the Faculty of Science, University of Kelaniya, and data were collected. Multivariate techniques were used to analyze the data. Factor Analysis (FA) was conducted to identify the factors associated with mobile phone preferences. Furthermore, Latent Class Analysis was used to investigate the hidden patterns associated with customer preferences.

IV. Sampling Design (If Primary Data Is Used)

All primary data were gathered through an online survey, and a structured questionnaire was designed as a Google Form. The email addresses of the selected graduates were obtained from the book of the list of graduates issued at the convocation each year. The questionnaire link was sent via email to the selected graduates.

V. Statistical Design (If Primary Data Is Used)

This study employed a structured statistical design to analyze the factors influencing mobile phone selection among graduates of the Faculty of Science, University of Kelaniya. Primary data were collected using a structured online questionnaire designed as a Google Form. The questionnaire covered multiple variables, including demographic details, mobile phone preferences, and key selection factors.

The collected data were downloaded as an Excel file and analyzed using SPSS and RStudio. Factor Analysis (FA) was conducted to identify the key factors influencing mobile phone preferences, and Latent Class Analysis (LCA) was applied to explore hidden patterns within customer preferences. Since the study focused on the mobile phone market in Sri Lanka, data from graduates living abroad were excluded from the analysis.

VI. Geographical Area (If Primary Data Is Used),

The primary data for this study were collected from graduates of the Faculty of Science, University of Kelaniya, Sri Lanka. The geographical area for this study is limited to Sri Lanka, with a specific focus on the graduates who completed their studies at the University of Kelaniya. As the survey was conducted online, respondents were selected from a diverse range of locations within Sri Lanka.

Graduates who were residing abroad at the time of the survey were excluded from the data collection process, as the focus was on the mobile phone preferences of graduates living within Sri Lanka.

VII. Results

Factor analysis is a method of reducing many attributes into a few factors, and this method allows for interpreting the attributes in a simple and interpretable structure. In this study, to find the factors associated with a mobile phone preference, factor analysis was employed.

Validity of the factor analysis

To identify whether the variables are sufficient and correlated enough for factor analysis, all data were initially tested using the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) test and Bartlett's test, as shown below.

| Table VII.1 KMO and Bartlett's Test | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| KMO and Bartlett's Test | | | |
| Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy836 | | | |
| Approx. Chi-Square | 7351.033 | | |
| df | 903 | | |
| Sig. | .000 | | |
| | MO and Bartlett's Test and Bartlett's Test of Sampling Adequacy. Approx. Chi-Square df Sig. | | |

The above table (Table 7.1) presents the test results of the KMO test and Bartlett's test. The KMO test indicates whether enough items are predicted by each factor. If the KMO value is greater than 0.6, it is acceptable, and for values greater than 0.7, it is considered good. Here, the KMO value is 0.836, which is good. Bartlett's test should be significant (i.e., a significance value of less than 0.05), meaning that the variables are highly correlated enough to provide a reasonable basis for factor analysis. According to the Bartlett's test value, the test is significant. Therefore, based on both test results, it is suggested that the data are appropriate for the factor analysis process.

| Table VII.2 The | total | variance | is e | xplained | table |
|-----------------|-------|----------|------|----------|-------|
|-----------------|-------|----------|------|----------|-------|

| Commonant | Initial Eigenvalues | | |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Component | Total | % of Variance | Cumulative % |
| 1 | 12.097 | 28.132 | 28.132 |
| 2 | 3.761 | 8.747 | 36.879 |

| 3 | 2.762 | 6.424 | 43.304 |
|----|-------|-------|--------|
| 4 | 2.142 | 4.982 | 48.285 |
| 5 | 1.781 | 4.143 | 52.428 |
| 6 | 1.656 | 3.851 | 56.279 |
| 7 | 1.557 | 3.620 | 59.899 |
| 8 | 1.282 | 2.981 | 62.880 |
| 9 | 1.211 | 2.817 | 65.697 |
| 10 | 1.132 | 2.633 | 68.330 |
| 11 | 1.089 | 2.533 | 70.863 |

Table 7.2 presents a part of the table showing the total variance explained by the factors. As shown in Table 7.2, there are seven factors with eigenvalues (a measure of explained variance) greater than 1.0, which is a common criterion for a factor to be considered useful. This suggests that seven factors are useful. These factors cumulatively explain approximately 60% of the total variance.

Scree plot



Figure VII.1 Scree Plot

Figure 7.1 shows the scree plot of Eigenvalues which could be used for several factors to be retained in an exploratory factor analysis. The point where the slope of the curve is leveling off (the "elbow") is the number of factors that should be generated by the analysis. According to the above chart is difficult to point out where the scree begins. After about the 7th component, the scree plot slightly flattens out to some extent and there is a slight bend after about the 11th component which is the clearer break point when compared to the breaking point about the 7th component. Therefore both cases were concerned with identifying the best model.

Goodness of fit of the factor solution

To simplify the factor loadings and to obtain a clear and simple structure, rotation is needed. There are two main types of rotation: **orthogonal** (assumes no correlation between factors) and **oblique** (allows correlation between factors). In this analysis, **Varimax** (orthogonal) and **Promax** (oblique) rotations were applied to both the 7-factor and 11-factor model to get an interpretable model. Since both rotation methods yield similar factor loadings, selecting the most suitable method is challenging. There is no rule of thumb for choosing between orthogonal and oblique rotations in such cases. However, Tabachnick and Fidell (2007) suggest performing oblique rotation and examining the correlation matrix factor. If correlations exceed **0.32**, indicating at least **10% overlap in variance**, oblique rotation is recommended unless strong reasons favor orthogonal rotation. In this study, more than **10% of correlations exceed 0.32**, justifying the use of **Promax rotation**. The seven-factor model was selected as it provides a more interpretable structure. According to the correlation matrix, more than 10% of correlations exceed 0.32, indicating sufficient overlap in variance among factors. Based on this, Promax rotation of the 7-factor model was selected.

| Factor | Attribute | Factor Loadings |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| E (01 | Battery life | .943 |
| Factor 01 Basic features | Weight of the phone | .906 |
| | Charging hours | .869 |

Table VII.3 Factor interpretation

| Factor | Attribute | Factor Loadings |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| | Larger memory capacity | .676 |
| | Phone Size | .653 |
| | Ease of use physically | .624 |
| | Dual SIM | .608 |
| | Color screen | .543 |
| | Bluetooth / infrared facilities | .514 |
| | Screen Size | .483 |
| | Security type of the current phone | .477 |
| | Operation system friendliness | .365 |
| | Availability of Audio and video recording | .338 |
| | Availability of Back camera | .903 |
| F (02 | Back Camera Quality | .876 |
| <u>Factor 02</u> | Availability of Front Camera option | .871 |
| Camera leatures | Quality of the Front Camera | .741 |
| | Number of cameras available | .654 |
| D () 00 | Number of features available | .875 |
| Factor 03 | Operating System (eg Android / Windows) | .761 |
| Performance and updated technology | Network Quality (eg 2G,3G,4G) | .726 |
| leatures | Latest Technology | .701 |
| | After-sale service | .828 |
| Factor 04 | Safety standards of the phone in terms of radiation | .764 |
| Durability with safety standards | Guarantee and warranty | .740 |
| | Physical Durability | .617 |
| | Advertisement | .810 |
| D | Celebrity Influence | .769 |
| Factor 05 | Media reviews | .707 |
| Marketing strategy | Recommendation of someone | .624 |
| | 2nd hand market/post-purchase behavior | .525 |
| | Special offer | .797 |
| Factor 06 | Price | .764 |
| Pricing strategy | Method of payment | .589 |
| Factor 07 | Country of origin | .886 |
| Brand | Brand image | .749 |

According to the communality value of each factor, the range of the extraction is spread over 0.812 to 0.366. Communalities are the estimates of common variance among the derived factors.

Assessing model fit

The last step involves assessing the goodness of fit of the model. According to the residual matrix, about 66% of residuals in the model have absolute values less than 0.05. Therefore we can presume a good model fit. Finally, around 60% of the total variance is explained by the seven-factor model which is a good result.

VIII. Conclusion

The main conclusions obtained from the analysis are summarized as follows:

The factors associated with the mobile phone preferences of graduates of the Faculty of Science, University of Kelaniya, are Basic features Camera features, Performance and updated technology features, Durability with safety standards, Marketing strategy, Pricing strategy, and Brand

References

- [1] Boslaugh, S. (2012). Statistics In A Nutshell: A Desktop Quick Reference. " O'Reilly Media, Inc.".
- [2] Charry, K., Coussement, K., Demoulin, N., & Heuvinck, N. (2016). Marketing Research With IBM® SPSS Statistics: A Practical Guide. Routledge.
- [3] Corner, S. (2009). Choosing The Right Type Of Rotation In PCA And EFA. JALT Testing & Evaluation SIG Newsletter, 13(3), 20-25.
- [4] Costello, A. B., & Osborne, J. (2005). Best Practices In Exploratory Factor Analysis: Four Recommendations For Getting The Most From Your Analysis . Practical Assessment, Research, And Evaluation, 7.
- [5] Dien, J. (2010). Evaluating Two-Step PCA Of ERP Data With Geomin, Infomax, Oblimin, Promax, And Varimax Rotations.
- [6] Dziwornu, R. K. (2013). Factors Affecting Mobile Phone Purchase In The Greater Accra Region Of Ghana: A Binary Logit Model Approach. 3. Dziwornu, R. K. (2013). Factors Affecting Mobile Phone Purchase In The International Journal Of Marketing Studies, 5(6), 151.
- [7] Fabrigar, L. R., & Wegener, D. T. (2011). Exploratory Factor Analysis. Oxford University Press.
- [8] Finch, H. (2015). A Comparison Of Statistics For Assessing Model Invariance In Latent Class Analysis. Open Journal Of Statistics, 191.
- [9] Ganlari, D. (2016). A Study On Consumer Buying Behavior Of Mobile Phones. Journal Of Management In Practice (Online Only), 1(1).
- [10] Glasow, P. A. (2005). Fundamentals Of Survey Research Methodology. 18, 2013.

DOI: 10.9790/7439-0202023640

- [11] Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (1998). Multivariate Data Analysis. Upper Saddle River: NJ: Prentice Hall.
- [12] Henry, C., Lapenu, C., Zeller, M., & Sharma, M. (2003). Microfinance Poverty Assessment Tool. The World Bank.
- [13] Hill, R. (1998). What Sample Size Is "Enough" In Internet Survey Research? Interpersonal Computing And Technology: An Electronic Journal For The 21st Century, 6(3-4), 1-12.
- [14] Israel, G. D. (1992). Determining Sample Size.
- [15] Juwaheer, T. D., Vencatachellum, I., Pudaruth, S., Ramasawmy, D., & Ponnusami, Y. (2014). Factors Influencing The Selection Of Mobile Phones In Mauritius. International Journal Of Innovation And Knowledge Management In The Middle East And North Africa, 3(1),65.
- [16] Karjaluoto, H., Karvonen, J., Kesti, M., Koivumäki, T., Manninen, M., Pakola, J., ... & Salo. (2005). Factors Affecting Consumer Choice Of Mobile Phones: Two Studies From Finland. Journal Of Euromarketing, 14(3), 59-82, 14(3), 59-82.
- [17] Lanza, S. T., Collins, L. M., Lemmon, D. R., & Schafer, J. L. (2007). PROC LCA: A SAS Procedure For Latent Class Analysis. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 671-694.
- [18] Lee, S. Y., Lee, D., Nam, C. R., Kim, D. Y., Park, S., Kwon, J. G., ... & Choi, J. S. (2018). Distinct Patterns Of Internet And Smartphone-Related Problems Among Adolescents By Gender: Latent Class Analysis. Journal Of Behavioral Addictions, 454.
- [19] M., S. (2013). Factors Affecting Consumer Buying Behavior Of Mobile Phone Devices. Mediterranean Journal Of Social Sciences, 4(12), 103.
- [20] Mihai, C. B., Rodney, A. R., & Jason, D. B. (2013). Online Instruments, Data Collection, And Electronic Measurements : Organizational Advancements. Hershey PA Information Science Reference.
- [21] Mokhlis, S., & Yaakop, A. Y. (2012). Consumer Choice Criteria In Mobile Phone Selection: An Investigation Of Malaysian University Students. 2(2), 203-21.
- [22] Nordhausen, K. (2009). Introductory Statistics With R, By Peter Dalgaard. International Statistical Review, 155-156.
- [23] Osborne, J. W. (2008). Best Practices In Quantitative Methods. Sage.
- [24] Plonsky, L. (2015). Advancing Quantitative Methods In Second Language Research. Routledge.
- [25] Sarantakos, S. (2012). Social Research. Macmillan International Higher Education.
- [26] Sekaran, U. (2009). Research Methods For Business: A Skill Building Approach. John Wiley & Sons.
- [27] Sell, A., Mezei, J., & Walden, P. (2014). An Attitude-Based Latent Class Segmentation Analysis Of Mobile Phone Users. Telematics And Informatics, 209-219.
- [28] Singh, S. (2003). Advanced Sampling Theory With Applications: How Michael"" Selected"" Amy (Vol. 2). Springer Science & Business Media.
- [29] Snedecor, G. W., & Cochran, W. G. (1983). Statistical Methods. Oxford And IBH Publishing Company New Delhi.
- [30] Stamatis, D. H. (2012). Essential Statistical Concepts For The Quality Professional. CRC Press.
- [31] Streiner, D. L. (2003). Starting At The Beginning: An Introduction To Coefficient Alpha And Internal Consistency. Journal Of Personality Assessment, 80(1), 99-103.
- [32] Streiner, D. L. (2003). Starting At The Beginning: An Introduction To Coefficient Alpha And Internal Consistency . Journal Of Personality Assessment, 80(1), 99-103.
- [33] Tabachnick, B. G., Fidell, L. S., & Ullman, J. B. (2007). Using Multivariate Statistics (Vol. 5). Boston: MA: Pearson.
- [34] Uddin, M. R., Lopa, N. Z., & Oheduzzaman, M. (2014). FACTORS AFFECTING CUSTOMERS'BUYING DECISIONS OF MOBILE PHONE: A STUDY ON KHULNA CITY, BANGLADESH. International Journal Of Managing Value And Supply Chains, 21.
- [35] Vangeest, J. B., Johnson, T. P., & Alemagno, S. A. (Eds.). (2017). Research Methods In The Study Of Substance Abuse. New York: NY: Springer.
- [36] Yahya, K. (2012). Accounting Function Outsourcing Among Iranian Smes And Its Impact On Firm Performance/Yahya Kamyabi (Doctoral Dissertation, University Of Malaya).
- [37] Zeller, R. A., Zeller, R. A., & Carmines, E. G. (1980). Measurement In The Social Sciences: The Link Between Theory And Data. CUP Archive.