# Psychological Treatment Perceptions among Private Psychological Clinics Patients' in Riyadh City, Saudi Arabia

Bader F. Al- Shabrain<sup>1</sup>, Sami M. Alhabib, Ph.D.<sup>2</sup>

Master's student, Department of Health Administration, College of Business Administration, King Saud University, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Assistant Professor, Department of Health Administration, College of Business Administration, King Saud University, Riyadh, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

**Abstract:** Background: This study focuses on views of patients treated in private psychological clinics for the purpose of evaluating their perception about the treatment.

**Purpose :** To explore psychological patients' views on treatment in psychological private clinics, to identify the determinants influencing those patients' satisfaction with the service; for the purpose of evaluating the need of government intervention in improving private psychological clinics' environmentin Saudi Arabia.

*Methodology:* Data was collected using questionnaires; a random samplewas used to select the participants form private clinics; a sample consists of 667 patients from 13 hospitals and clinics, was used to represent Riyadh private hospitals and clinics. A descriptive analysis was used to analyze the data.

**Findings:** Researchers founda variation in satisfaction levels among participants about services provided in private'spsychological clinics. There is consensus that there is dissatisfaction about the cost of treatment; the time given by physician to see the patients; and waiting for appointments, and beds. Most participants respond positively on the results of treatment, on the communication between staff and physician in clinics, and on how physician explains the diagnosis of an illness.

**Conclusion:** Research results showed a general consent between participants that they are satisfied with most aspects of services provided by private psychological clinics, and the way physician communicates with them; and unsatisfied with the cost of treatment, the time physician spent with patient, and physiciansaccessibility out of working hours. Declaration of interest: no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work. **Keywords:** Psychiatry, Psychology, Cost, Communication, Private Clinic.

## I. Introduction

As the Saudi Community perceived the importance of psychological treatment, the demand for such services has increased,[1]. With such increased demand for psychological treatments, desirable clinical indicators are gradually augmented,[2]. Psychologistshave considered initiating private psychological clinics, to treaturgent cases, to take advantage of this increase of demand; and to participate in this important role in psychological treatment in Saudi Arabia.

The entryto the private sector of psychotherapy market has a positive impact on the community,[1], to judge these positive impacts, researchers willexplore the patient's perception about treatment in private psychological clinicsbyunavailing factors affecting patientdecision for treatment in these clinics. These factors are availability of the appointments and beds,[3];effectiveness of treatment;communication with physician or other staff who work in private clinics,[4, 5].Successful patient-physician relationship, is also,considered to be an important factor in the success of psychiatrics'treatment. Additionally, cost of treatment factor in private psychological clinics,demonstrate the burden incurred by patient who is receiving treatment at these clinics,[2].

Understanding these factors will help us evaluate the current status and expand our understanding about how physician and other staff communicates with their psychological patients in private clinics, to improve the patient's health outcomes through a clear communication, privacy and confidence,[6].

# II. Method

## **Population and Sampling:**

The population of this study is psychological patients who received treatment in 13 private psychological clinics in Riyadh city. The sample size was 667 patients. Researchers used a convenient sampling method in selecting participantsfor this study.

#### Measures and procedure:

The study used a questionnaire, prepared by the researchers, for collecting the data. The questionnaire consists of four parts: The first part covered socio-demographic information; the second part covered medical diagnosis of the patient's condition; and the third part, includes fourteen questions, covered factors enhancing of psychological patients to treat in private clinics, and communication between physician and patients. The researchers used five level Likert scale, ranging from "strongly agree" with a value of 5, until "strongly disagree" with a value of 1. The last part of the questionnaireasked participants about their satisfaction of all services that are provided in psychological private clinics.

#### Validity and Reliability:

To assure the validity, the following were conducted, first the researchers reviewed the relevant literature. Secondly, the study instrument were examined to develop drafts, then wasreviewed by expert in the relevant field.

Finally, instruments' reliabilitywas measured using Alpha Cranach's factor which was 0.87reflectinga good internal consistency.

Reliability Statistics of factors enhance treatment in private psychological clinics							
Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha N of Items						
.764	6						
Reliability Statistics for communication	Reliability Statistics for communication between physician and patients						
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items						
.896	8						

#### **Research design**:

The researchersused a descriptive approach to assess patient's perception about private psychological treatments clinics in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, because of lack of precedents imilar research.

#### **Statistical treatment:**

The data was analyzed using descriptive analysis such as: frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations. The researchers usedWindows Statistical Package for Social Science(SPSS) version 20.

Table 2: Socio-demographic data						
	Variables level	Frequency	%			
Gender	Male	384	57.6			
	Female	283	42.2			
	Total	667	100.0			
	Saudi	643	96.4			
Nationality	Non Saudi	24	3.6			
	Total	667	100.0			
	Single	280	42			
	Married	345	51.7			
Marital status	Divorced	33	4.9			
	Widowed	9	1.3			
	Total	667	100.0			
	Illiterate	11	1.6			
	High school, less	142	21.3			
Educational level	Diploma	83	12.4			
Educational level	Bachelors	359	53.8			
	Higher educations	72	10.8			
	Total	667	100.0			
	5 – 15 Y	13	1.9			
	> 15 – 25 Y	177	26.5			
Ago	> 25 – 35 Y	299	44.8			
Age	> 35 – 45 Y	133	19.9			
	> 45 Y	45	6.7			
	Total	667	100.0			

III. Results Table 2: Socio-demographic data

In this study as table 2 shows majority of the participants in this study were malerepresenting 57.6 percent of respondents; most participants were Saudi national representing 96.4 percent of respondents; and most of participants were married representing 51.7 percent of respondents, and least of participants were widowed representing 1.3 percent frespondents.

Most participants in this study carry bachelor degree representing 53.8 percentof respondents, and illiterate represents 1.3 percentof respondents. Most participants in this study, representing 44.8 percentof participants, were between 25 and 35 years old, and least participants representing 1.9 percent of participants, were between 5 and 15 years old.

	Variables level	Frequency	%		
	Social phobia	67	10		
	Anxiety	161	24.1		
Diagnosis	Depression	200	30		
	Compulsive obsession	88	13.2		
	Sentimental disorder	32	4.8		
	Schizophrenia	16	2.4		
	Unknown	103	15.4		
	Total	667	100.0		

Table	3:	Diagnosis
rabic	<b>J</b> •	Diagnosis

Majority of participants in this study were patients who was diagnosed with Depressionrepresenting 30 percentof respondents, followed bythose diagnosed Anxiety representing 24.1 percent of respondents, and least participants representing 2.4 percent was diagnosed with Schizophrenia (Table 3).

				_					
STATEMENTS		Strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	Strongly agree	Total	Mean	SD
The second is a second se	F	16	29	149	306	176	667	2.07	021
The reception staff dealing with merespectfully	%	2.4	4.3	22.3	45.9	25	100	3.87	.921
Nourse de line mitte une mente de lles	F	15	25	158	329	140	667	3.83	.878
Nurses dealing with me respectfully	%	2.2	3.7	23.7	49.3	21	100		
and the second second	F	38	77	145	277	130	667	3.58	1.099
Treatment results generally positive	%	5.7	11.5	21.7	41.5	19.5	100		
·····	F	73	128	114	253	99	667	3.27	1.239
Waiting for appointments is short	%	10.9	19.2	17.1	37.9	14.8	100	3.27	1.239
Number of beds is enough	F	45	92	277	186	67	667	3.21	1.023
Number of beds is enough	%	6.7	13.8	41.5	27.9	10	100		
Prices and costs of treatment appropriate	F	204	241	62	111	49	667	2.34	1.270
	%	30.6	36.1	9.3	16.6	7.3	100	2.54	1.270
Mean average							3.3	5	

## Table 4: Factors enhance treatment in private psychological clinics

Looking at table 2 it shows that participants are different in responding to factors enhance treatment in private psychological clinics with the mean range between from  $\mu$ = 3.87 to  $\mu$ = 2.34. The highest mean range was for the reception staff dealing with me respectfully. And the lowest mean prices and costs of treatment appropriate and mean average is 3.35

STATEMENTS		Strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	Strongly agree	Total	Mean	SD
Physician takes into account the confidential	F	20	11	82	292	262	667	4.15	.912
information	%	3	1.6	12.3	43.8	39.3	100	4.15	.912
Physician listens to me well	F	26	82	80	291	208	667	3.89	1.069
	%	3.9	9.3	12	43.6	31.2	100	3.69	1.009
Physician Discuss with me treatment methods	F	21	59	97	305	185	667	3.86	1.021
	%	3.1	8.8	14.5	45.7	27.7	100	5.00	1.021
Physician Receive me carefully	F	38	53	93	290	193	667	3.82	1.109
	%	5.7	7.9	13.9	43.5	28.9	100	5.82	1.109
Physician explain to me diagnosis	F	39	72	97	283	276	667	3.73	1.138
	%	5.8	10.8	14.5	42.4	26.4	100	3.73	1.156
The time spent with physician enough	F	75	132	98	246	116	667	3.29	1.276
	%	11.2	19.8	14.7	36.9	17.4	100	3.29	1.270
Physician discuss with me side effects of drugs	F	68	133	138	214	114	667	3.26	1.244
	%	10.2	19.9	20.7	32.1	17.1	100	5.20	1.244
Physician is accept to contact with me or with my	F	92	115	232	146	82	667	3.02	1.199
family members any time	%	13.8	17.2	34.8	21.9	12.3	100	5.02	1.199
Mean average							3.	63	

#### Table 5: Communication between physician and patients

Table 5 shows that participants vary in responding to communication between physician and psychological patients. The mean range between from  $\mu$ = 4.15 to  $\mu$ = 3.02. The highest mean range was for physician takes into account the confidential information while the lowest mean was physician is accept to contact with me or with my family members any time with mean average was 3.63

	Variables level	Frequency	%		
	Strongly disagree	62	9.3		
	Disagree	94	14.1		
Level of satisfaction	Neutral	172	25.8		
	Agree	257	38.5		
	Strongly agree	82	12.3		
	Total	667	100.0		

 Table 6: The level of satisfaction in psychological patients:

About fifty one percent of participants in this study agreed that they are satisfied with services in private psychological clinics. The highest percentage of respondents hassaid agree38.5 percent, and lowest percentage of respondentssaid strongly disagree by 9.3 percent (Table 3).

## IV. Discussion

Majority of participants in this study were male that may due to the fact that the researchers are male and they have difficulty access to female patients due to cultural restriction.Most of participants were married; this is probably because of the pressures of life and living conditions, which agree with previous study findings,[7].Age rangeof majority of participants' were from more than 15 to 35 years old, which support the conclusion of mental disorders were concentrated mostly in age groups from 15 through 44 years by Memish and others,[8].Most of participantssuffer from depression and anxiety, the result of this study supports the results reported byAl-Shehri and others,[7].Additionally, most of the participants in the study were Saudinationals; this may be causedbyhigh cost of treatment in these clinics which seems expensive for non-Saudis, and there is no insurance to cover such type of treatment; or because Non-Saudi prefersreturn home if they need this type of service.

The study findings showed that participants were satisfied with results of treatment in psychological private clinics and the way supporting staff dealt with them, which support the motion that private sector could produce more satisfied patients. On the other hand, percentage of dissatisfied respondents with length of appointments was not high, but it still problematic, because majority of patients prefer treatment in private clinics to avoidlength of appointments, which is the case of government hospitals, [9]. Majority of participants consider the cost of treatment in private clinics expensive, and patients has to bear all the cost with no medical insurance to share the cost of such treatment.

Most of participants agreed that physician properly welcome them, carefully listen to them, explain their case,describe appropriate methods of treatment, and maintain the confidentiality of their information. The results support study conclusion of Lee and others, [10], which link good listening and communication to patient satisfaction. On the other hand majority of participants agreed that time spent with physician was not enoughwhich contradicts results reported byBjørngaard and others,[4];physician didn'tdiscuss side effects of treatment; andphysicianwas not accessible outside working hours, which contradict with the recommendations suggested by Royal College of Physicians and the Royal College of Psychiatrists,[11].

Private psychological clinics are playing important role inRiyadh's habitant mental health. Community need to look for ways to deal with factors that leads to patients' dissatisfaction with services rendered.

## V. Limitations

Even though this study revealed some interesting results, several factors effected the conclusions of this study; firstly, researchers experienced difficulty collecting data from patients suffering from Depression which is reflected unconstructively on the sample size; secondly, the study is conducted only in Riyadh city for patients whose attending the private psychological hospitals and clinics, this makes its conclusions unrepresentative of Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Finally, there are no studies conducted on psychological patient satisfaction in Saudi Arabia which might enable researchers to compare their findings with.

## VI. Conclusion

This was the first study to investigate factors affecting psychological patients' perception about treatment inpsychological private clinics in Riyadh city, Saudi Arabia. The study was conducted with the purpose of improving patient satisfaction with services provided at these clinics.

Study findings showed ageneral consent between participants that they are satisfied with most aspects of services provided, and the way physician communicate with them; and unsatisfied with the cost of treatment, the time physician spent with patient, and physician's accessibility out of working hours.

#### References

#### Journal article:

- Koenig H. G., Al Zaben F, Sehlo M. G., Khalifa D. A., et al (2014). Mental Health Care in Saudi Arabia: Past, Present and Future. Journal of Psychiatry; 4: 113-130.
- [2]. Qureshi, N. A., Habeeb, A. A., & Koenig, H. G. (2013). Mental health system in Saudi Arabia: an overview. Neuropsychiatric Disease And Treatment, 1121.
- [3]. Galletly, C., Bell, S., Burton, C., & Turnbull, C. (2011). Bed accessibility in a private psychiatric hospital. Australasian Psychiatry: Bulletin Of Royal Australian And New Zealand College Of Psychiatrists, 19(2), 160-162. doi:10.3109/10398562.2010.540246
- [4]. Bjørngaard, J. H., Garratt, A., Gråwe, R. W., Bjertnaes, O. A., & Ruud, T. (2008). Patient experiences with treatment in private practice compared with public mental health services. Scandinavian Journal Of Psychology, 49(4), 385-392. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9450.2008.00658.x
- [5]. Fahmida, A., Wahab, M. A., & Rahman, M. M. (2009). Pattern of psychiatric morbidity among the patients admitted in a private psychiatric clinic. Bangladesh Journal Of Medical Science, 8(1-2), 23-28.
- [6]. Agarwal, A. K. (2012). Analysis of patients attending a private psychiatric clinic. Indian Journal Of Psychiatry, 54(4), 356-358. doi:10.4103/0019-5545.104824
- [7]. Al-Shehri, S., Sabra, A., Taha, A., Khamis, A., & Hafez, A. (2012). Depression and Anxiety among Males Attending Primary Health Care Centers, Eastern Saudi Arabia: Prevalence and Predictors. Life Science Journal-Acta Zhengzhou University Overseas Edition, 9(3), 128-133.
- [8]. Memish, Z. A., Jaber, S., Mokdad, A. H., AlMazroa, M. A., Murray, C. L., & Al Rabeeah, A. A. (2014). Burden of disease, injuries, and risk factors in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 1990-2010. Preventing Chronic Disease, 11E169. doi:10.5888/pcd11.140176
- [9]. Lee, J., &Korczak, D. (2014). Factors Associated with Parental Satisfaction with a Pediatric Crisis Clinic (PCC). Journal Of The Canadian Academy Of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 23(2), 118-127.
- [10]. Karlsson M., Nyström L., & Bergbom I (2012). To Care for the Patient: a Theory Based Clinical Application Research. International Journal of Caring Sciences; 5(2): 129-136.
- [11]. Royal College of Physicians and the Royal College of Psychiatrists (2003). The psychological care of medical patients: A practical guide (2nd Ed.). London: Royal College of Physicians and the Royal College of Psychiatrists.